

CENTRAL BANK DIGITAL CURRENCY (CBDC) AND ITS IMPACT ON MONETARY SOVEREIGNTY

AUTHOR – NAVAMI ANILKUMAR, AMITY INSTITUTE OF ADVANCED LEGAL STUDIES, AMITY UNIVERSITY, NOIDA

BEST CITATION – NAVAMI ANILKUMAR, CENTRAL BANK DIGITAL CURRENCY (CBDC) AND ITS IMPACT ON MONETARY SOVEREIGNTY, *INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW (IJLR)*, 6 (6) OF 2026, PG. 587-594, APIS – 3920 – 0001 & ISSN – 2583-2344. DOI – <https://doi.org/10.65393/IJLRV6I6461>

ABSTRACT

The introduction of Central Bank Digital Currency (CBDC) is a game-changer in the world of global money. Given the digital realm reforming monetary systems, central banks are getting keen on CBDCs to offer a state-backed alternative to private digital currencies and payment systems. This paper aims at carrying out a brief analysis of CBDCs and their impact on monetary sovereignty in view of globalization, technological disruption and rising decentralized finance. Central Bank Digital Currencies (CBDCs) hold promise for bolstering the state's monetary policy. However, they also pose various challenges to the financial stability of states and cross-border payments involving CBDCs. The study also mentions the changing character of the role of central banks such as the Reserve Bank of India and compares international approaches like the digital yuan of China and the digital euro of the European Union. The paper concludes that CBDCs represent an instrument to strengthen monetary sovereignty as well as a catalyst to redefine monetary sovereignty in the digital age.

INTRODUCTION

The Money has changed from bartering to metallic coins, paper currency, and now digital currency. Cryptocurrencies like bitcoin have been rising in value at a rapid rate in recent years. As a result, the dollar value and stock markets have been challenged. Decentralized digital currencies trade without a central authority which threatens to undermine the sovereignty of money. #Centralization

In this context, countries' central banks around the world have started to investigate the Central Bank Digital Currency (CBDC). It is a digital form of the sovereign currency that the state issues and regulates. CBDCs are regulated by an authority unlike cryptocurrencies which are decentralized and do not have a backing of a central authority over them. In a rapidly digitalizing economy, states are striving to gain more control over the monetary and financial system. At the heart of this debate surrounding

the eurozone members and their exceptional trade ties with Europe lies monetary sovereignty. The emergence of digital currencies private and state raises questions of the future of this sovereignty. The study aims to critically analyze the interrelationship between CBDCs and monetary sovereignty. The implementation of CBDCs allow the central banks to strengthen their control over the monetary authority and also reveal the risks it carry.

UNDERSTANDING CBDC

A Central Bank Digital Currency, or CBDC, is basically the next big thing for money. Think of it as digital cash, issued directly by a country's central bank, totally official—just like the paper bills in your wallet. CBDCs blend the trust and backing of government-issued money with the easy, instant feel of modern digital tech. Unlike private cryptocurrencies, which can be unpredictable, CBDCs are fully regulated and

guaranteed by the government. People can rely on them. In the end, you get the stability and security you're used to, but now with all the speed and convenience of going digital.

CBDCs aren't just another way to pay online or through your phone. They're more than a digital wallet or internet banking—they're money straight from the central bank, like the cash in your hand but in digital form. When you hold CBDC, you're holding funds backed by the government, not by some commercial bank. That matters because it cuts out credit risk and helps people trust the system more. Take India, for example—the Reserve Bank of India's work on the digital rupee isn't just about keeping up with tech. It's really about giving people a secure, government-backed alternative to cash.

CBDCs usually fall into two main groups: retail and wholesale. Retail CBDCs are made for everyone—people and businesses use them for things like paying bills, sending money, or saving. They matter, especially when it comes to financial inclusion, because they let folks who don't have a regular bank account still take part in the digital economy. Wholesale CBDCs work differently. Only financial institutions use them, mostly for things like interbank payments or handling big financial transactions. These make the whole system run smoother and faster, and they help cut down on settlement delays and risks.

Tech-wise, CBDCs aren't all built the same way. Some run on distributed ledger technology, which is similar to blockchain, while others use more traditional, centralized databases that the central bank controls. The decision boils down to what the central bank wants—like whether they need more speed and control, or if they want better transparency and system resilience. Centralized setups let banks react faster and keep tighter control. Distributed systems open things up a bit more and add resilience. Lately, a lot of central banks, including the Reserve Bank of India, are trying out hybrid systems to get a little bit of the best of both worlds.

CBDCs don't all look the same—their design depends a lot on what governments want to achieve. Some are account-based, which means you need to prove who you are to use them. Others work more like cash; if you have it, you own it, no questions asked. Account-based setups make transactions easy to track, which helps fight money laundering and other illegal stuff. On the other hand, token-based systems keep things more private, almost like handing over a \$20 bill—no record, just the exchange. Figuring out how to offer enough privacy but still prevent abuse is one of the trickiest parts of getting CBDCs right¹¹⁶.

There's also something called "programmability"—central banks can build rules straight into the digital currency. Take spending limits, for example, or automatic tax collection—CBDCs can make those things a breeze for governments. Sure, it makes certain rules easier to enforce. But there's another side to it. Too much control can seriously cross the line and feel pretty invasive. People get uneasy about governments having that kind of power, which makes strong safeguards and fair rules absolutely crucial.

CBDCs aren't just dropping out of nowhere, either. They're arriving in a world where cash is fading, digital payments rule the day, and cryptocurrencies are turning things upside down. Now you've got private companies and decentralized networks stepping into the money business, slowly chipping away at what used to be the government's job. CBDCs are one way for governments to jump back in and keep pace with all this new tech.

It's not just about what happens inside one country, either. CBDCs could totally reshape cross-border payments, making them quicker, cheaper, and easier to trace. Still, this opens a new can of worms for managing things like foreign exchange rates, capital flows, and rules between countries. As CBDCs roll out and catch

¹¹⁶ Raphael Auer & Rainer Böhme, "The Technology of Retail Central Bank Digital Currency," (2020) Bank for International Settlements Quarterly Review.

on, their impact on the global financial system will get only bigger.

MONETARY SOVEREIGNTY

Monetary sovereignty really just means a country has the power to make its own money, decide its value, and call the shots on its monetary policy. For a nation's independence, that's huge—it lets leaders steer the economy when they need to. Central banks usually handle all this. They decide how much cash should be out there, keep an eye on inflation, and try to manage how their money stacks up against other currencies.

But honestly, it's not as straightforward as it used to be. Money moves across borders in seconds these days, thanks to globalization. Now you've got digital payment platforms and cryptocurrencies thrown into the mix, and suddenly, governments just can't keep a firm grip on things the way they once did

Now, digital currencies that aren't tied to any particular country slip past traditional rules. Governments can't regulate them the way they do regular money. That's where central bank digital currencies (CBDCs) come in. By creating their own digital money, states get a chance to take back some of that lost control. Basically, CBDCs let central banks update the system and keep their say in the financial world as things keep changing.

CBDC AS A TOOL FOR STRENGTHENING MONETARY SOVEREIGNTY

Central Bank Digital Currencies (CBDCs) are becoming a crucial tool for governments that want to hold onto their control over money in this fast-changing, digital-first world. With private cryptocurrencies and big international payment companies chipping away at the old model—where states ran the show—CBDCs¹¹¹⁷ give central banks a way to keep their authority without ignoring technology's progress. So, what we're really seeing here is central banks making a deliberate move to update how they do

things, staying relevant in finance while not letting go of what really matters to their role.

Probably the clearest way CBDCs back up a country's monetary sovereignty is by strengthening the government's exclusive right to issue money. This is a big deal—being able to create money has always marked out who's really in charge. But Bitcoin and other cryptocurrencies aren't tied to any one country, and that shakes up the old power dynamic. These alternatives might be creative, but they also chip away at the state's grip on monetary policy and regulation. When a central bank rolls out a digital currency backed by the state, it offers something stable and official—something people can trust more than unregulated digital coins. In effect, CBDCs help keep the state in the driver's seat.

Take India as an example. The Reserve Bank of India started working on the digital rupee, showing it's serious about protecting its monetary turf. By providing a digital currency that's both safe and part of the legal framework, the RBI is making sure digital payments run through systems it can supervise. That matters a lot, especially as cash use drops and more people in India are turning to digital payments. CBDCs also bring new strength to monetary policy itself. Traditional tools—interest rate tweaks, open market operations—often work slowly and aren't always precise. A CBDC changes things because it lets regulators track what's happening in the economy almost instantly. That means they can fine-tune policies faster, and even send money straight to people's accounts in a crisis, no middleman needed. Not only does this make things more efficient, it also helps central banks directly shape economic outcomes.

There's another interesting twist: programmability. CBDCs can be coded with rules that, say, restrict certain payments or set deadlines for using a stimulus payment. If handled carefully, these features give policymakers a sharper, more flexible set of tools. Done right, this keeps the state's authority

¹¹¹⁷ Reserve Bank of India, Concept Note on Central Bank Digital Currency (October 2022).

strong, making monetary policy more adaptable to real-world needs.

Financial inclusion gets a leg up, too. In lots of developing countries, banks don't serve everyone, so many people stay outside the formal financial system. That limits how well monetary policy and regulation work. A retail CBDC that anyone can use, no bank account needed, brings more people into the system, expanding the reach and effectiveness of monetary policy.

CBDCs can also cut down on a country's dependence on foreign payment networks and private financial companies. With a lot of cross-border payments currently funneled through international players, countries sometimes lose grip on their financial independence—something that's risky when global tensions flare up or markets get shaky. Building a domestic digital currency, like the RBI's digital rupee, helps create a stronger local payments system and reduces reliance on outside networks. Finally, there's the question of transparency and fighting financial crime. Digital currencies can make it easier for authorities to spot and track illegal activity like money laundering or tax evasion, because every transaction creates a clear, traceable record. This makes the system both more secure and more trustworthy—key ingredients for true monetary sovereignty.

Of course, none of this is without its headaches. More state control and greater insight into payments can boost sovereignty, but they can also spark real worries about privacy and government overreach. Too much surveillance could backfire, making people distrustful and resistant to using a CBDC. That's why getting the right balance matters; central banks have to design these systems with care, making sure the benefits don't come at the expense of basic rights.

CHALLENGES TO MONETARY SOVEREIGNTY IN THE CBDC ERA

CBDCs often get a lot of attention for boosting a country's control over its own money, but it's not that simple. The same things that make CBDCs exciting—easy digital access, crossing borders, plugging into the global financial system—also stir up tricky new problems. Instead of just giving governments more power, they can actually start to change, or even limit, what we've always thought of as monetary sovereignty.

One big headache is currency substitution, especially for smaller and developing countries. Once you have CBDCs that work smoothly with each other, people and businesses might find it easier—and safer—to use a well-known foreign digital currency rather than their own. This “digital dollarization” means that if a major economy successfully pushes its CBDC overseas, it can start to spread its influence and chip away at the independence of other states. Suddenly, local central banks can't manage their own money supply, inflation, or exchange rates like before—their authority gets watered down. Cross-border CBDC payments create a whole other layer of trouble. Sure, sending money abroad gets cheaper and much faster, which everyone loves. But these new perks mess with existing rules. Every country has different laws on data, taxes, and financial rules. There's no global roadmap for managing CBDCs, so businesses can jump between countries to sidestep regulations. This weakens national rules and can make monetary policy less effective back home.

CBDCs also make capital flow volatility more intense. Money can zip across borders in a heartbeat, so when people get nervous, huge sums can flee a country overnight. For emerging economies, that's a recipe for financial instability and loss of control. Central banks suddenly find it even harder to steer the economy. Cybersecurity is another huge concern. These are digital systems, after all. Hackers love nothing more than a big,

centralized target, and CBDCs serve that up. A major breach could shatter trust, rock the financial system, and slow down the entire economy. Unlike old-school banks, where risks are spread out, a CBDC often means one fat target. Central banks have to invest a lot in locking these systems down if they want to keep money—and sovereignty—safe. Then there's the data issue. CBDCs will churn out mountains of transaction data. In theory, this helps regulators keep a better eye on the economy. But it also raises real fears about snooping and privacy. Governments could end up knowing way too much about what people do with their money, and that can spook the public. If folks feel watched, they might push back hard against using a CBDC. Trust gets shaky if transparency outweighs privacy. Take India, for example. The Reserve Bank of India has to thread the needle: keep things secure, respect privacy, and make it all easy to use. That's a tough balance in any democracy, where people already worry about government overreach.

CBDCs could also shove commercial banks out of the way. People might start keeping their money directly with the central bank. This is great for inclusion and cutting risk, but if everyone does it, banks lose deposits. Without those, they can't lend as much, which hurts credit and dims economic growth. When banks get weaker, so does the power the state holds over its own financial system. And don't overlook the tech angle. Running a national digital currency requires cutting-edge technology—secure networks, encryption, data tools. Not every country has that in-house. A lot might end up relying on foreign tech companies, which opens the door for new dependencies and even political risks. If outsiders control critical parts of the system, governments lose some of their grip. Finally, having different countries develop their own CBDCs with their own rules and tech can really split up the world's financial system. Without shared standards, things get messy; it's harder to move money across borders, international trade slows down, and new "currency clubs" can start to form. This

splintering makes it much tougher for countries to work together and for global finance to run smoothly.

Comparative Global Approaches

The way countries are rolling out Central Bank Digital Currencies (CBDCs) is all over the map — there's definitely no simple template. Each nation is shaping its own approach, looking to its economy, political goals, tech know-how, and how it likes to regulate things. When you compare how the world's going about it, you pick up on all sorts of lessons. Some countries see CBDCs as a tool for tightening control over their money, others want more innovation, and some are clearly thinking about their place on the world stage. In the end, there's not one "right" way, but a bunch of interesting models that will probably shape where CBDCs head next.

Take China. They're out ahead with the digital yuan, or e-CNY, and they're doing it the "Chinese" way: strong state control and an eye on surveillance. The digital yuan is built to plug right into the payment platforms everyone already uses, but the central bank never lets go of the wheel. That matches China's bigger picture — they want financial systems that go hand-in-hand with government goals. Internally, it helps them keep tight reins over their money. But there's a geopolitical angle too. By pushing the digital yuan for cross-border trade, China's making a real play to get less tangled up with the US dollar and boost its own influence globally. So, for China, a CBDC isn't just about tech — it's about power, both at home and abroad.

Now, look at Europe. They're moving carefully with the digital euro. The European Central Bank is big on privacy, protecting consumers, and keeping the financial system steady. They're not rushing in — they've spent a ton of time listening to banks, regulators, and the public. The digital euro isn't meant to take over; it's supposed to sit alongside current payment systems, so regular banks still have their spot. This says a lot about the EU's mindset: find new solutions, but keep

checks and balances and make sure citizens' rights don't get trampled along the way.

The US sits in a different spot. The Federal Reserve isn't in a hurry. They're studying, running pilots, and thinking through the knock-on effects (like how it'd hit the banking system, what happens to privacy, and whether it could shake up financial stability). Let's be real: the dollar's global grip means the US doesn't need to rush into a digital version. Still, watching what others do has nudged US policymakers to dig deeper into what a digital dollar could look like. Stability and confidence matter—to Americans and the world—so they're treading carefully.

India's worth mentioning too. The Reserve Bank of India has started pilot programs with the digital rupee, eyeing both retail and wholesale use. India's focusing on getting more people into the financial system, speeding up payments, and keeping everything under proper oversight. Thanks to its strong digital backbone—think widespread mobile Internet and payment platforms—India's betting on a CBDC that's easy to use and secure. But they're not rushing; they're rolling it out bit by bit, testing and fixing problems as they come up. They know launching a new kind of money isn't simple. Sweden's got its own motivation: cash is disappearing fast there. The central bank's e-krona project is about making sure everyone can still get state-backed money, even if everything goes digital. It's not just about crypto-anxiety; it's a straight-up answer to how people use money now.

The Bahamas? They're one of the first to go live with a retail CBDC, the Sand Dollar. Their main goal is financial inclusion—making it much easier for people across the islands, even in hard-to-reach places, to use digital payments. The Sand Dollar is a good reminder that every country has its own needs, and the best CBDC is the one that fits local priorities.

When you stack these examples side by side, a few big takeaways pop up. There's just no universal blueprint—each country picks what fits. The tension between control and innovation

shows up everywhere: China goes with strong control, Europe leans into privacy, and others land somewhere in between. And the speed varies wildly, from fast-track projects to slow, careful research. All these differences matter for monetary sovereignty. Pulling off a CBDC rollout can tighten a country's grip on its own money, and maybe even expand its clout abroad. But with more than one CBDC model out there, we could also see a more fragmented system, maybe new "currency blocs" where different digital monies rule different regions.

Then there's the international side. As CBDCs start crossing borders, countries will face issues like making their systems work together, lining up regulations, and figuring out how data gets shared. If they don't team up, the promise of CBDCs could get bogged down by a bunch of friction and confusion. So, how countries collaborate will matter as much as what they do at home. When you look at how different countries are tackling CBDCs, you see a mix of ambition, caution, innovation, and sometimes, power-play. Whether the goal is reshaping global trade, bringing more people into the financial fold, or just keeping up with technology, CBDCs are rewriting the rules of monetary sovereignty. The journeys might not look the same, but underlying it all is the same challenge: making money systems fit a digital, interconnected world.

The Future of Monetary Sovereignty in a Digital World

Monetary sovereignty isn't what it used to be. It used to mean something straightforward: countries made the rules and kept their money on lock within their own borders. But now? Digital technology has thrown open the gates. Money slips across borders like they're not even there. Central Bank Digital Currencies, or CBDCs, aren't just another option on the policy shelf. They're changing the game for what it means to actually control your own currency.

And there's something else happening: national currencies aren't standing alone as much anymore. They're weaving together, creating

networks where payments zip across the world in an instant, usually for pennies. That's a huge promise, but it only works if people can get on the same page. Without shared standards and actual teamwork, the whole thing could devolve into chaos, with systems that just get in each other's way.

So really, the whole idea of monetary sovereignty is shifting. It's not just about what one country does for itself. It's about who can connect and cooperate

Power isn't really about borders anymore—it's about who can connect the most people and build the strongest networks. Digital money doesn't care about geography; it moves where the internet flows. So if a country rolls out a fast, secure, and widely-used CBDC, its influence stretches way past its own boundaries. But if you're slow to adapt, you get left behind—people stop paying attention. Central banks are right in the middle of all this change. Look at the Reserve Bank of India. These days, it isn't just making sure there's enough cash in the ATMs. Now, it's building and protecting digital financial systems, juggling huge amounts of data, and racing to stay ahead of hackers. That means central banks need people who can handle tech innovation, not just monetary policy. Honestly, even the idea of sovereignty is shifting—owning your digital infrastructure is starting to matter just as much as managing physical assets.

Programmable money takes things even further. CBDCs can be set up to work automatically—picture government relief money that instantly lands in people's accounts, only works for a set time, or can only be spent on certain things, all coded in from the start. This gives policymakers more control and accuracy, but it raises a tough question: where's the line? Central banks have to use these tools wisely, without interfering too much in people's lives. And what about privacy? Digital currencies create a ton of data. Yes, this can help catch criminals or shape better policy, but it also opens the door to surveillance. People worry

about that—and for good reason. For CBDCs to really work, governments have to protect privacy, allow for some level of anonymity, but still give regulators enough oversight to keep things safe. In democracies, this really matters—push too far, and people just won't accept it. On the global stage, CBDCs from powerhouse economies can really shake things up. If the digital euro or yuan catches on worldwide, the US dollar could fade a little, making the world's currency system more balanced. That sounds great in theory, but it turns digital currency into a new kind of global contest. Countries like India have a real shot to jump ahead with this, but it won't be easy. The digital rupee isn't just about moving cash online. It's about including more people in the financial system and getting a bigger voice in the world economy. But India has a tough job—keeping up with tech, untangling complex regulations, facing global rivals, and keeping everything running smoothly. The real challenge is finding that sweet spot between big leaps forward and staying safe.

As digital money moves between countries, it's obvious that no single government can call all the shots. Issues like cross-border transactions, taxes, money laundering, and data sharing all need countries to line up their rules. That's a job not just for individual states, but for international groups and agreements—another shift away from the old idea of complete, unquestioned national sovereignty. And it's not just about CBDCs. Blockchain, AI, and a rush of new fintech startups are launching fresh digital assets and payment methods all the time. Central banks need to keep up or risk losing control. Adaptability and a willingness to innovate are now as crucial for sovereignty as the authority itself.

In the end, monetary sovereignty won't look anything like it used to. We're headed toward a mixed system—old state power blended with new forms of digital governance. Sovereignty will become fluid and dynamic, always changing as tech evolves. CBDCs will sit at the

core—not just as tools for control but as launchpads for new ideas.

CONCLUSION

The introduction of Central Bank Digital Currency (CBDC) is a game-changer in the world of global money. Given the digital realm reforming monetary systems, central banks are getting keen on CBDCs to offer a state-backed alternative to private digital currencies and payment systems. Central Bank Digital Currencies (CBDCs) have shaken up the world of money in a way we haven't seen in decades. As everything in finance gets a digital makeover, the old rules about who controls money and how it works are up for grabs. This paper took a close look at the knotty relationship between CBDCs and monetary sovereignty, focusing on how central banks are using digital currency both to keep their grip on national money and to steer big changes in the global economy.

For a long time, monetary sovereignty was simple: if you were the state, you got to issue the country's currency and make the rules about it. But now, thanks to things like Bitcoin, global payment apps, and cross-border banking, that clean division isn't holding up. Governments have lost some of their muscle when it comes to managing money. That's where CBDCs come in. These digital currencies are the state's answer, designed to push back against the rise of private, often borderless, alternatives. With CBDCs in their toolkits, central banks can tighten their hold on how money moves and keep their place at the center of their own financial systems. Digging into the details, CBDCs actually offer some real advantages. They make monetary policy more nimble and targeted, let central banks run programs or interventions directly, and help bring more people into the formal financial world. Plus, they mean countries rely less on foreign payment rails or private companies just to move money around, which strengthens economic independence. For instance, look at the Reserve Bank of India—its careful rollout of a digital rupee shows how

central banks can add innovation without throwing their existing systems off balance. CBDCs are proof of that shift. They give governments new ways to steer their economies, but they also force them to play by global standards. The real test will be whether central banks can find the right balance: control versus openness, privacy versus oversight, inventiveness versus stability. So what's next for central banks like the Reserve Bank of India? Their job isn't just moving money around anymore. They're on the front lines, shaping and running digital financial systems. Their decisions—how they juggle technology, legal rules, and ethical questions—will shape what “monetary sovereignty” means in the decades ahead. To wrap things up: CBDCs are a double-edged sword. They can help countries overhaul their financial plumbing, sharpen policy tools, and reclaim control. But actually pulling this off means walking a tightrope—embracing the benefits without stumbling over the risks and uncertainties. As the financial world keeps evolving at breakneck speed, the idea of sovereignty will keep changing too, molded by digital realities and constant global flux. In the end, central banks have to find a way to make CBDCs work while protecting what really matters: public trust, stability, and the kind of confidence that keeps a currency strong. That's the real challenge for the next generation of policymakers.