



INDIAN JOURNAL OF  
LEGAL REVIEW

VOLUME 6 AND ISSUE 6 OF 2026

INSTITUTE OF LEGAL EDUCATION



## INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW

APIS – 3920 – 0001 | ISSN – 2583-2344

(Open Access Journal)

Journal's Home Page – <https://ijlr.iledu.in/>

Journal's Editorial Page – <https://ijlr.iledu.in/editorial-board/>

Volume 6 and Issue 6 of 2026 (Access Full Issue on – <https://ijlr.iledu.in/volume-6-and-issue-6-of-2026/>)

### Publisher

Prasanna S,

Chairman of Institute of Legal Education

No. 08, Arul Nagar, Seera Thoppu,

Maudhanda Kurichi, Srirangam,

Tiruchirappalli – 620102

Phone : +91 73059 14348 – [info@iledu.in](mailto:info@iledu.in) / [Chairman@iledu.in](mailto:Chairman@iledu.in)



© Institute of Legal Education

**Copyright Disclaimer:** All rights are reserve with Institute of Legal Education. No part of the material published on this website (Articles or Research Papers including those published in this journal) may be reproduced, distributed, or transmitted in any form or by any means, including photocopying, recording, or other electronic or mechanical methods, without the prior written permission of the publisher. For more details refer <https://ijlr.iledu.in/terms-and-condition/>

## EMERGENCY ARBITRATION IN INDIA: LEGAL RECOGNITION AND PRACTICAL CHALLENGES

**AUTHOR** – NITI NANCY, L.L.M. STUDENT, CHANAKYA NATIONAL LAW UNIVERSITY, PATNA

**BEST CITATION** – NITI NANCY, EMERGENCY ARBITRATION IN INDIA: LEGAL RECOGNITION AND PRACTICAL CHALLENGES, *INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW (IJLR)*, 6 (6) OF 2026, PG. 369-375, APIS – 3920 – 0001 & ISSN – 2583-2344.

### ABSTRACT

*Emergency arbitration has become a vital practice in the modern dispute resolution process; parties are allowed to seek immediate interim redress in presence of the constitution of arbitral tribunal. The concept has become more and more relevant in India in connection with the rise of institutional arbitration and international business deals. Even though the current state of affairs with emergency arbitrators under the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 does not yet explicitly acknowledge it, there has been a gradual recognition of emergency awards as a result of judicial practice, especially since the 2015 and 2019 amendments. Cases accepted as landmark, like the Amazon.com NV Investment Holdings LLC v. Future Retail Ltd. cases have stated that emergency arbitrator orders are enforceable in some situations. Nevertheless, there are the more practical difficulties like the feasibility questions, absence of statutory details and discrepancies in judicial understanding. This paper critically analyses the legal status of emergency arbitration in India and points out at the procedural and institutional challenges, which still hinder its successful introduction.*

### INTRODUCTION

This has played a major role in enhancing the development of arbitration as an alternative to conventional litigation in the face of the rising sophistication of commercial transactions, as well as the need to issue prompt solutions to disputes. Some of the recent trends in the area include the concept of emergency arbitration where parties are given an interim relief immediately in case of circumstances that demand urgent action even before the arbitral tribunal has been established. The mechanism has become common in major international arbitration institutions like the International Chamber of Commerce (ICC) and the Singapore International Arbitration Centre (SIAC), because of its rising significance in international business.

The Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996, adapted on the UNCITRAL Model Law, is

applicable to arbitration in the Indian context. The Act, however, does not specifically permit emergency arbitration and as such, this creates a grey area as to whether it is legal or not. Contrary to this legislative silence, the Indian courts have been appreciating the importance of emergency arbitration, especially within the context of globalization and the necessity to reconcile the domestic arbitration practices with world practices.

The judicial rulings have been quite instrumental in developing the law of emergency arbitration in India. In the case of Amazon.com NV Investment Holdings LLC v. Future Retail Ltd. the Supreme Court made an important move by confirming the enforcement of an order of an emergency arbitrator in accordance with Section 17(1) of the Act. This ruling was an indication that India was going to treat arbitration pro-arbitration and the move

further strengthened India in its quest to become an arbitration-friendly location.

However, a number of challenges still persist, such as uncertainty over the process, restriction of implementation, and the absence of comparable institutional structures. This paper aims to provide a study of how emergency arbitration is legalized in India and critically analyse the practical challenges that prevent its common application.

## MAIN BODY OF THE TEXT

### 1.1 Idea and Development of Emergency Arbitration.

A more recent innovation in alternate dispute resolution (ADR) is emergency arbitration which is based on the requirement to provide urgent interim relief before establishing a formal arbitral institution. Conventionally, litigants were required to come to national courts in cases involving urgent relief, although such parties had agreed to settle their disputes by means of arbitration. This was self-contradictory, given that it sabotaged the autonomy of parties, as well as the effectiveness of arbitration as a dispute resolution tool. It is through emergency arbitration that such a gap is addressed; emergency arbitrator can be appointed within a very limited time period—either 24–72 hours—to give interim relief that spares the status quo before the arbitral tribunal can be constituted.

Its idea started with institutional rules of arbitration, especially in those jurisdictions with highly developed arbitration regimes. Organizations like ICC, SIAC, LCIA, and HKIAC have been providing elaborate emergency arbitration in their arbitration processes. These conditions state schedules, actions as well as the enforceability of emergency resolutions. In the course of time, emergency arbitration has become a regular part of the international commercial arbitration particularly in prominent cross-border disputes and where the waiting period may cause irreversible damage.

However, in India, it has been slow to uptake the practice of emergency arbitration, and has

done so, primarily via judicial adjudication to the exclusion of legislation. As institutions of arbitration grow in popularity among Indian parties with the inclusion of emergency arbitration clauses, absence of this statutory recognition has posed interpretation issues. The increase in the use of emergency arbitration, however, shows how India is changing towards a more modern and globally oriented arbitration system.

### 1.2 Statutory Framework of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996.

The main law that regulates arbitration in India is the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 (hereinafter the Act). The Act, based on UNCITRAL Model Law, was aimed at ensuring efficient and reasonable dispute settlement. Nonetheless, this does not clearly specify and describe the notion of an emergency arbitrator. This exclusion has been at the heart of the issue of the legal status and enforceability of emergency arbitration in India.

The Act in Section 9 gives the authority to courts to award interim measures before, during or after arbitral proceedings. Section 17, however, gives power to the arbitral tribunals to provide interim relief upon being constituted. This is because the absence of clarity occurs between the process of invocation of arbitration, and the constitution of the tribunal; in which case, emergency arbitration is usually applicable. The fact that an emergency arbitrator is not specifically mentioned in the definition of an arbitral tribunal under Section 2(1)(d) brings the question of whether the orders given by one can be considered to be the same as those of a tribunal.

In the 2015 amendment to the Act, the section 17 has been enhanced and now the interim orders of the arbitral tribunal are legally enforceable as interim orders of a court. In this amendment, the judicial intervention was greatly minimized thus bringing an excellent move towards arbitration. Nevertheless, it was silent on the position of emergency arbitrators thus leaving a gaping hole in the law.

The 2019 Amendment again attempted institutionalizing arbitration in India but once again, has not actually incorporated the provisions of emergency arbitration. Consequently, the legal framework is still considered immature, which extensively depends on judicial interpretation and party agreements to plug in the loopholes.

### 1.3 Recognition of emergency arbitration by courts in India.

The Indian courts have been very instrumental in defining and establishing the concept of emergency arbitration in the absence of any explicit legislative provisions. The courts have moved at a slow pace towards a pro-arbitration position, especially in implementing the emergency arbitrator orders.

The HSBC PI Holdings (Mauritius) Ltd. v. Avitel Post Studioz Ltd. case was one of the first to consider this question, the Bombay High Court recognizing the legitimacy of emergency arbitration proceedings conducted on an institutional basis. Though the court did not directly in terms enforce the emergency award, it awarded interim relief under Section 9, to this extent the court did not make a direct award of the importance of emergency arbitration.

The ground-breaking case would be Amazon.com NV Investment Holdings LLC v Future Retail Ltd., in which the Supreme Court of India clearly stated that emergency arbitrator orders were enforceable under Section 17(1) of the Act. In the case, the Court ruled that when an emergency arbitrator is appointed under institutional rules which the parties have agreed in writing, it constitutes an "arbitral tribunal". They thus can enforce their orders in India.

This ruling signified the beginning of the Indian arbitration jurisprudence, in that it not only stated the principle of party autonomy, but also brought Indian law into line with international arbitration practices. The Court pointed out that parties should respect and enforce institutional rules, such as emergency arbitration provisions, in cases where it agrees.

Nevertheless, in spite of such a constructive view, there is judicial inconsistency. The interpretations among different High Courts have been different and it is still uncertain how emergency awards will be enforced. This inconsistency highlights the need for a clear legislative framework to ensure uniformity and predictability.

### 1.4 Institutional Arbitration in fostering Emergency Arbitration.

The institutional arbitration has played a significant role in enhancing the practice of emergency arbitration in India. Key arbitration platforms present detailed guidelines of emergency arbitration including methods of appointment, timeframes and enforcement.

Indian arbitration centres like the Mumbai Centre for International Arbitration (MCIA) and the Delhi International Arbitration Centre (DIAC) have included emergency arbitration rules in their regulations. The goal of these institutions is to offer a well-organized and effective dispute resolution system to make India a good arbitration destination.

Parties can circumvent the shortcomings of the statutory framework by contracting out to emergency arbitration which they do through the adoption of institutional rules. This upholds the doctrine of party autonomy, which forms a foundation of arbitration law. Through institutional arbitration, the involved parties are able to receive well-established arbiter procedures, as well as, trained arbiters, which guarantees prompt and efficient resolution of immediate conflicts.

Nevertheless, the range of institutional arbitration is not so prevalent in India as compared to ad hoc arbitration in spite of these benefits. Ad hoc arbitration is still desired by many parties as a cost factor and because of unawareness. This bias prevents prevalence in the use of emergency arbitration, which is mainly facilitated by institutional mechanisms.

### 1.5 Enforcement Challenges of Emergency Arbitrator Orders

Admission of emergency arbitrator orders is one of the greatest predicaments of emergency arbitration in India. Although the ruling by the Supreme Court has provided clarity to the legal standpoint, to some degree, the Amazon case, real-life challenges still exist.

To begin with, the unclear statutory status causes confusion in the enforcement processes. Contradictory rulings and wasted time Courts might interpret it differently, resulting in time-wasting. This defeats the very intent of emergency arbitration that is to offer fast and efficient rescue.

Secondly, there is a special concern with enforcement problems in international arbitration. Emergency awards made outside India and might have further challenges in enforcing it since the award is not clearly included in the provisions of the foreign award in the act. This puts foreign entities desiring to implement emergency aid in India in doubt.

Third, it lacks clarity in the process of carrying emergency orders. This is unlike the court orders where enforcement mechanisms have already been established and in case of emergency awards, enforcement must be through judicial intervention. Such reliance on the courts may result in time wastage and excess expenses.

### 1.6 Practical Challenges and Limitations

Besides the challenges related to enforcement, other real world obstacles impede the effective use of emergency arbitration in India. The insensitivity of legal practitioners and businesses is one huge challenge. The concept and advantages of emergency arbitration are unknown to many parties hence underutilization.

The other difficulty is the price of the institutional arbitration. Other charges are also possible during the process of emergency arbitration and this may scare away parties particularly when it comes to domestic

disputes. Such cost factor makes ad hoc arbitration popular.

Also, logistical constraints of carrying out emergency arbitration processes on short time frames exist. Getting access to arbitrators, scheduling hearings and documenting them in such a short term may not be very easy, especially when the dispute is too complex.

Also, lack of a common structure among institutions makes the practice and the results inconsistent. Rules and standards are different in various institutions and this can cause confusion and uncertainty.

### 1.7 Comparative Law to International Jurisdiction.

Comparative analysis has shown that some jurisdictions have effectively incorporated emergency arbitration in their laws. Other countries including Singapore and Hong Kong clearly and specifically identified emergency arbitrators in their arbitration laws, which had brought certainty and clarity.

Singapore has made an amendment to the International Arbitration Act to introduce emergency arbitrators as part of the definition of arbitral tribunal. This guarantees that tribunal orders are to be enforced in the same way as emergency awards. On the same note, Hong Kong has included those provisions that acknowledge emergency relief accorded by arbitrators.

These jurisdictions along with having strong institutional frameworks and conducive judicial systems are preferred international arbitrating's seats, too. The success they have demonstrated emphasises the role of clarity in legislation and institutional facilitation of emergency arbitration.

Conversely, the use of judicial interpretation in India makes it uncertain and undermines its popularity as an arbitration venue. Even though recent judicial events are rewarding, there is need to reform the legislation in order to attain a parity with the most esteemed arbitration jurisdictions.

### 1.8 Need for Legislative Reform and Future Prospects

The increasing relevance of emergency arbitration in international business renders that legislative acceptance in India is required. Having emergency arbitration provisions as part of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act would help resolve the existing uncertainties and create a clear legal framework.

This reform should consist of a definition of an emergency arbitrator, how to appoint someone and the procedure, and also clearly define that emergency awards are enforceable. This would increase the degree of certainty to the law and decrease judicial interpretation.

Also, institutional arbitration and creating awareness among the parties are critical elements in effective implementation of emergency arbitration. Building up capacity and expertise could be achieved with training programs, policy programs, and cooperating with international bodies.

The future of the arbitration in emergency in India is bright, based on the attitude of judicial system towards arbitration and the growing tendency in the interest of institutional arbitration. Through proper changes in the law and institutional backing, India can become a major arbitration centre in the region.

Finally, it can be concluded that emergency arbitration is a concept of great improvement and a progressive step towards solving the dispute resolution process in a hurried business world, needing some interim protection of the litigants. Although India has achieved significant milestones by judicial recognition, it still faces a number of challenges especially when it comes to statutory interpretation as well as enforcement.

The combination of judicial innovation and legislative inertia has developed a special but indeterminate design of emergency arbitration in India. A thorough and well-coordinated legal framework is necessary in order to promote its potentials to the fullest. India can become an

increasingly more credible and attractive arbiter by converging its legislation with international standards, as well as by reinforcing internal mechanisms that would make India a favourable arbiter.

### CONCLUSION

Emergency arbitration has become an essential instrument in the current dispute management, especially in situations of a complex commercial nature where immediate and efficient interim relief is required. Its dynamics in India represent a dynamic interaction between judicial creativity and legislative silence. Although the statute of arbitration and conciliation, 1996 does not expressly acknowledge emergency arbitration, judicial decision, in which the landmark case of Amazon.com NV investment Holdings LLC versus Future retail Ltd. is the most notable, has made tremendous steps in making it acceptable in the legal system. This pro-arbitration approach by the judiciary has strengthened party autonomy and complied Indian arbitration practices with that of other practices internationally.

Nonetheless, even in light of these progressive developments, there are a few structural and practical issues. This gap in the form of no clear statutory regulated provisions still makes ambiguity on the issue of status and enforceability of emergent arbitrator orders especially in cross-border disputes. Unreliability of judicial interpretation and enforcement through court intervention further undermine effectiveness that emergency arbitration is meant to bring about. Also, lack of awareness, high institutional cost and still favourable attitude of ad hoc arbitration limit its application in India.

A comparative study of such jurisdictions as Singapore and Hong Kong could underline the role of legislative clarity and institutional support in the development of a strong emergency arbitration system. India needs to actively engage in including express provisions

in its arbitration law so that it is uniform, predictable, and easily enforceable.

Finally, although India is certainly on a path towards becoming an arbitration-conducive jurisdiction, only with the help of an extensive legislative change as well as reinforcement of institutional functions, the full potential of emergency arbitration will become a reality. The gap between statutory and judicial support will not just boost the confidence of domestic and international stakeholders but it will also make India a competitive global seat of arbitration.

## REFERENCES

### A. Statutory & International Instruments

1. Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 (India).
2. UNCITRAL Model Law on International Commercial Arbitration, 1985 (as amended in 2006).
3. Singapore International Arbitration Act, 1994 (as amended).
4. Hong Kong Arbitration Ordinance (Cap. 609).

### B. Case Laws

5. *Amazon.com NV Investment Holdings LLC v. Future Retail Ltd.*, (2021) Supreme Court of India.
6. *Ashwani Minda v. U-Shin Ltd.*, 2020 SCC OnLine Del 1648.
7. *Raffles Design International India Pvt. Ltd. v. Educomp Professional Education Ltd.*, (2016) SCC OnLine Del 5521.
8. *HSBC PI Holdings (Mauritius) Ltd. v. Avitel Post Studioz Ltd.*, 2014 SCC OnLine Bom 102.
9. *Plus Holdings Ltd. v. Xeitgeist Entertainment Group Ltd.*, Bombay High Court.
10. *Centrotrade Minerals & Metal Inc. v. Hindustan Copper Ltd.*, (2017) 2 SCC 228.

### C. Books & Commentaries

11. Gary B. Born, *International Commercial Arbitration* (Kluwer Law International).
12. Redfern & Hunter, *Law and Practice of International Commercial Arbitration*.
13. Avtar Singh, *Law of Arbitration and Conciliation* (Eastern Book Company).
14. O.P. Malhotra & Indu Malhotra, *The Law and Practice of Arbitration and Conciliation*.
15. Sundra Rajoo, *Law, Practice and Procedure of Arbitration*.

### D. Journal Articles & Research Papers

16. A. Gupta & S. Neogi, "Emergency Arbitration in India: A Critical Analysis," NUJS Law Review.
17. "Emergency Arbitration: A Comparative Analysis of Global Practices," International Journal of Legal Studies.
18. "Recognition and Enforcement of Emergency Arbitration in India," Argus Partners.
19. "Emergency Arbitration Under Indian Law: Navigating a Complicated Maze," IAMC Blog.
20. "Enforcement of Emergency Arbitration Decisions," Oxford Academic.

### E. Online Articles & Reports

21. "Enforceability of Emergency Arbitration Awards in India," Daily Jus (2025).
22. "Indian Supreme Court Issues Landmark Decision on Emergency Arbitration," Debevoise & Plimpton.
23. "Emergency Arbitration: A Legal Lifeline or a Paper Tiger?" Cyril Amarchand Mangaldas Blog.
24. "Emergency Arbitration in India: Between Judicial Endorsement and Legislative Silence," Fox & Mandal.



INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW [IJLR – IF SCORE – 7.58]

VOLUME 6 AND ISSUE 6 OF 2026

APIS – 3920 – 0001 (and) ISSN – 2583-2344

Published by  
Institute of Legal Education

<https://iledu.in>

25. "Emergency Arbitration in India: Key  
Cases & Enforcement Issues,"  
Maheshwari & Co.





GRASP - EDUCATE - EVOLVE



**INSTITUTE OF LEGAL EDUCATION**

*(Managed by L TO J LAW ASSOCIATES)*

NO. 08, ARUL NAGAR, SEERA THOPPU,  
MARUDHAANDA KURICHI, SRIRANGAM - 620102,  
TAMILNADU, INDIA.

ISSN 2583-2344



9 772583 234004