INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW [IJLR – IF SCORE – 7.58]

VOLUME 6 AND ISSUE 6 OF 2026

APIS – 3920 - 0001 *(and)* ISSN - 2583-2344

Published by

Institute of Legal Education

https://iledu.in

EMPLOYMENT INEQUALITY IN THE AGE OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE: CHALLENGES AND POLICY RESPONSES

AUTHOR – KAMAL JANGRA* & DR SHUBHAM SINGH BANGLA**

* STUDENT AT SRM UNIVERSITY, HARYANA

** PROFESSOR AT SRM UNIVERSITY, HARYANA

BEST CITATION – KAMAL JANGRA & DR SHUBHAM SINGH BANGLA**,** EMPLOYMENT INEQUALITY IN THE AGE OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE: CHALLENGES AND POLICY RESPONSES, *INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW (IJLR)*, 6 (6) OF 2026, PG. 245-250, APIS – 3920 – 0001 & ISSN - 2583-2344.

Abstract

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is transforming labour markets across the world by increasing efficiency, automating routine tasks, and reshaping employment structures. While AI offers opportunities for productivity growth and innovation, it has also intensified employment inequality by disproportionately affecting low-skilled workers, women, informal labourers, and economically weaker sections. The replacement of repetitive jobs, unequal access to digital skills, and concentration of technological benefits among highly skilled professionals have widened income and opportunity gaps. In developing countries such as India, these challenges are further aggravated by informality, inadequate social security, and digital divide. This article examines the relationship between AI adoption and employment inequality, focusing on job displacement, wage polarization, algorithmic discrimination, and regional disparities. It also evaluates the adequacy of existing labour laws and policy frameworks in addressing these concerns. The study argues that inclusive regulation, reskilling initiatives, and equitable technological governance are essential to ensure that AI promotes shared prosperity rather than deepening labour market inequality.

Introduction

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has emerged as one of the most transformative technologies of the twenty-first century. From automated recruitment systems and predictive analytics to robotics and generative AI tools, AI is rapidly altering the nature of work across industries. Businesses increasingly rely on AI to improve productivity, reduce costs, and enhance decision-making. However, alongside these benefits, AI has raised serious concerns regarding employment inequality. The gains produced by technological advancement are often distributed unevenly, creating new divisions between skilled and unskilled workers, urban and rural populations, and digitally connected and excluded communities.

Historically, technological revolutions have displaced certain forms of labour while creating new opportunities. Yet, the speed and scale of AI-driven disruption are unprecedented. Routine and repetitive jobs in manufacturing, retail, transport, customer service, and administrative sectors are particularly vulnerable to automation. Workers lacking advanced technical skills may face unemployment, wage stagnation, or precarious gig-based employment. At the same time, highly skilled professionals in data science, engineering, and digital management often capture a disproportionate share of economic gains.

In countries like India, where a large portion of the workforce remains employed in informal and low-income sectors, AI-driven inequality

INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW [IJLR – IF SCORE – 7.58]
VOLUME 6 AND ISSUE 6 OF 2026
APIS – 3920 - 0001 *(and)* ISSN - 2583-2344
Published by
Institute of Legal Education
https://iledu.in

poses additional challenges. Limited access to quality education, digital infrastructure, and reskilling opportunities can exclude millions from the benefits of technological progress. Women, persons with disabilities, and marginalized communities may also experience compounded disadvantages if algorithmic systems reproduce existing social biases.

Another important concern is the use of AI in recruitment and workplace monitoring. Automated hiring tools may unintentionally discriminate through biased data, while AI-enabled surveillance can affect worker autonomy and dignity. Existing labour laws in many jurisdictions remain inadequately prepared to regulate these developments.

This article explores how AI contributes to employment inequality, analyses legal and policy gaps, and proposes measures such as skill development, stronger labour protections, ethical AI standards, and inclusive governance frameworks to create a fairer future of work.

Main Body

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has significantly transformed the global economy and labour market by introducing automation, data-driven decision-making, and intelligent systems capable of performing tasks once reserved for human workers. While these advancements promise higher productivity, innovation, and economic growth, they also generate serious concerns about employment inequality. Employment inequality refers not only to unequal access to jobs, but also disparities in wages, job security, career advancement, working conditions, and opportunities for skill development. In the age of AI, such inequalities are becoming more visible and complex because technological progress often benefits certain groups while disadvantaging others.

One of the most direct ways in which AI contributes to employment inequality is through job displacement. Automation technologies powered by AI are particularly efficient in performing routine, repetitive, and predictable tasks. Sectors such as manufacturing, customer service, transportation, retail, banking, and clerical administration have already experienced substantial automation. Machines can operate continuously, reduce human error, and lower long-term labour costs, making them attractive to employers. As a result, workers engaged in low-skilled or routine occupations are at greater risk of redundancy. Unlike previous industrial changes, AI can now perform not only manual tasks but also cognitive tasks such as data entry, document review, scheduling, and even basic customer interaction through chatbots.

This displacement does not affect all workers equally. Highly educated and technically skilled workers are more likely to adapt to the AI economy because they possess skills in programming, analytics, engineering, management, and creative problem-solving. These workers often find new opportunities in emerging industries such as machine learning, cybersecurity, cloud computing, and digital consulting. In contrast, workers with limited education or outdated skills face barriers in transitioning to new roles. Consequently, AI tends to widen the divide between high-skilled and low-skilled labour, producing a polarized labour market where middle-income jobs decline while high-income and low-wage precarious jobs increase.

Wage inequality is another major challenge. AI adoption increases the value of workers who can design, supervise, and complement intelligent systems, while reducing demand for those whose work can be substituted. This creates a premium for advanced skills and depresses wages in vulnerable occupations. In many economies, executives, data professionals, and technology specialists receive increasing compensation, while ordinary workers experience wage stagnation. The economic gains generated by AI are often concentrated among large corporations and investors who own the technology, rather than being shared broadly among workers. As a result, income inequality and wealth

concentration grow alongside technological progress.

The rise of the gig economy further demonstrates how AI can deepen employment inequality. Many digital platforms rely heavily on AI algorithms to allocate work, evaluate performance, set prices, and monitor workers. Ride-sharing drivers, food delivery personnel, freelance content workers, and warehouse staff are frequently managed through algorithmic systems. Although platform work offers flexibility and income opportunities, it often lacks traditional labour protections such as minimum wage guarantees, health insurance, paid leave, collective bargaining rights, and job security. Workers may be deactivated by automated decisions without meaningful explanation or appeal. Algorithmic management can therefore create a highly unequal relationship where technology companies exercise significant control while classifying workers as independent contractors.

Gender inequality is also an important concern in the AI-driven labour market. Women remain underrepresented in science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM) fields, which are among the fastest-growing sectors benefiting from AI expansion. At the same time, women are overrepresented in administrative support, clerical work, and service occupations that are vulnerable to automation. This dual disadvantage means women may lose jobs in automatable sectors while being excluded from higher-paying technological roles. Additionally, AI recruitment systems trained on historical employment data may reproduce existing gender biases. If past data favoured male candidates for leadership or technical positions, automated systems may continue to disadvantage female applicants unless carefully designed and audited.

Similar concerns arise regarding caste, race, ethnicity, disability, and regional inequality. AI systems learn patterns from data, and if the data reflects past discrimination, the output may perpetuate those inequities. Automated hiring tools may reject candidates from disadvantaged backgrounds based on proxies such as postal codes, educational institutions, speech patterns, or career interruptions. Persons with disabilities may face exclusion if digital systems are not designed with accessibility in mind. Rural populations may be left behind where internet access, digital infrastructure, and technical training remain inadequate. Therefore, employment inequality in the AI age is not only economic but also social and structural.

In developing countries such as India, the challenge is particularly serious because of the large informal workforce. Millions of workers depend on daily wages, small-scale retail, transport, agriculture, domestic work, and unregulated service sectors. These workers often lack written contracts, social security, pension coverage, and formal training opportunities. When AI-enabled automation enters sectors like logistics, banking, customer support, or retail, displaced workers may have limited safety nets. Unlike workers in highly developed economies with stronger unemployment benefits, informal workers may immediately lose income without adequate state support.

The digital divide further aggravates inequality in India and many other developing nations. Access to quality education, computers, internet connectivity, and digital literacy remains uneven across regions and income groups. Urban populations generally have better access to technology and training than rural communities. Private educational institutions may provide coding, robotics, and AI-related skills, while many public institutions struggle with infrastructure deficits. This creates a cycle where privileged groups gain future-ready skills and marginalized groups remain trapped in vulnerable employment. Unless governments invest in universal digital inclusion, AI may reinforce pre-existing socio-economic inequalities.

INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW [IJLR – IF SCORE – 7.58]

VOLUME 6 AND ISSUE 6 OF 2026

APIS – 3920 - 0001 *(and)* ISSN - 2583-2344

Published by

Institute of Legal Education

https://iledu.in

Another emerging issue is workplace surveillance. AI tools are increasingly used to monitor employee productivity, track attendance, analyse communications, and evaluate behaviour. In warehouses, offices, and remote work environments, workers may be constantly assessed through metrics generated by software. Excessive surveillance can undermine privacy, dignity, and mental well-being. It may also create stress and unrealistic productivity pressures. When workers are judged solely through algorithmic metrics, human context such as illness, caregiving responsibilities, or reasonable limitations may be ignored. This can disproportionately affect vulnerable workers and widen inequality within organizations.

Legal and regulatory systems across the world are still adapting to these changes. Traditional labour laws were designed around clear employer-employee relationships, fixed workplaces, and human managerial decisions. AI challenges each of these assumptions. Platform workers may not fit neatly into existing categories of employee or contractor. Automated hiring discrimination may be difficult to detect because decision-making models are opaque. Job losses caused by technological restructuring may not trigger adequate retraining obligations. Data privacy laws may not sufficiently regulate workplace monitoring. As a result, there is a pressing need to modernize labour law frameworks.

Governments can respond through inclusive policy measures. First, large-scale reskilling and upskilling programs are essential. Workers displaced by automation need affordable access to training in digital literacy, communication, advanced manufacturing, coding, healthcare support, green jobs, and other growth sectors. Lifelong learning must become a central labour policy objective rather than a one-time educational event. Public-private partnerships between governments, universities, and industry can help align training with future labour market needs.

Second, stronger social protection systems are necessary. Unemployment insurance, wage support, portable benefits, healthcare coverage, pension access, and transition assistance can reduce the shock of displacement. For gig workers, legal reforms may be required to guarantee basic protections regardless of contractual classification. Some jurisdictions have explored hybrid categories or presumptions of employment status where platforms exert significant control.

Third, algorithmic accountability must be ensured. Employers using AI in recruitment, promotion, termination, or surveillance should be required to conduct fairness audits, explain automated decisions, and provide avenues for human review. Anti-discrimination laws must extend clearly to algorithmic systems. Transparency obligations can help workers understand how decisions affecting them are made. Privacy safeguards should also limit excessive monitoring and data collection in the workplace.

Fourth, taxation and redistribution policies may need reconsideration. If AI substantially increases corporate profits while reducing labour demand, governments may need mechanisms to ensure that productivity gains benefit society broadly. This may include progressive taxation, investment in education, regional development programs, and support for innovation that complements rather than replaces human labour.

Fifth, inclusive innovation should be promoted. Not all AI applications eliminate jobs; many can augment workers by improving productivity and safety. In healthcare, AI can assist doctors rather than replace them. In agriculture, it can help farmers optimize yields. In education, it can support teachers with personalized tools. Policymakers should encourage human-centred AI that enhances work quality instead of treating labour solely as a cost to be minimized.

International cooperation is also important. AI is developed and deployed globally, while labour

protections remain largely national. Shared principles on ethical AI, digital rights, cross-border taxation, and responsible business conduct can help reduce regulatory gaps. Organizations such as the International Labour Organization and Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development have already highlighted the need for worker-centred AI governance.

Despite these risks, AI should not be viewed only as a threat. Historically, technology has also created new industries, occupations, and efficiencies that improved living standards. The central question is whether societies can govern AI in a way that distributes benefits fairly. If education systems remain unequal, labour laws outdated, and social protections weak, AI may deepen employment inequality. If regulation is proactive and inclusive, AI can become a tool for broader prosperity.

The future of work will likely involve collaboration between humans and machines rather than complete replacement of labour. Human strengths such as empathy, creativity, judgment, negotiation, and ethical reasoning remain difficult to automate fully. Policies should therefore focus on preparing workers for complementary roles where technology enhances human capabilities. Schools and universities must emphasize adaptability, critical thinking, and interdisciplinary learning. Employers must invest in workforce transitions rather than relying solely on layoffs. Governments must ensure that innovation aligns with social justice.

In conclusion, employment inequality in the age of Artificial Intelligence is a defining challenge of the modern economy. AI can increase efficiency and growth, but without proper safeguards it can also intensify job displacement, wage polarization, precarious platform work, discrimination, and exclusion of vulnerable groups. The impact is especially severe in developing economies with large informal sectors and digital divides. Addressing these issues requires a combination of labour law reform, education and training, social security expansion, algorithmic accountability, and inclusive economic planning. The goal should not be to resist technological progress, but to shape it so that the future of work remains fair, dignified, and accessible to all.

Conclusion

Artificial Intelligence has become a transformative force in the modern labour market, reshaping how businesses recruit, manage, and deploy human resources. While AI offers substantial benefits such as increased productivity, cost efficiency, innovation, and improved decision-making, it has simultaneously intensified existing patterns of employment inequality. The unequal effects of automation, algorithmic management, and digital transformation reveal that technological progress does not automatically result in social progress. Without careful regulation and inclusive policy design, AI may deepen disparities based on skill, income, gender, geography, and social background.

One of the most significant concerns is the displacement of routine and low-skilled jobs, particularly in sectors such as manufacturing, retail, transport, and administrative services. Workers who lack digital literacy or access to retraining opportunities are most vulnerable. At the same time, highly skilled professionals in technology-driven sectors continue to benefit disproportionately from AI-led growth, leading to wage polarization and concentration of economic gains. The rapid expansion of gig and platform work further raises concerns regarding job insecurity, absence of labour protections, and excessive algorithmic control.

In countries like India, where informal employment remains widespread, the challenge is more complex due to limited social security systems and unequal access to education and digital infrastructure. Marginalized communities, women, rural workers, and persons with disabilities may face additional barriers if AI systems replicate

historical bias or exclude those without technological access.

The future impact of AI on employment depends largely on policy choices made today. Governments must modernize labour laws, strengthen anti-discrimination protections, regulate automated decision-making, and ensure fair working conditions in digital labour platforms. Investment in lifelong learning, vocational reskilling, and universal digital access is equally essential. Businesses must adopt responsible AI practices that complement rather than replace human workers.

Ultimately, AI should be treated as a tool to enhance human potential, not widen inequality. A balanced approach that combines innovation with fairness, accountability, and social justice can ensure that the age of Artificial Intelligence creates opportunities for all sections of society rather than privileges for a few.

References

1. The Future of Employment, Carl Benedikt Frey & Michael A. Osborne.
2. International Labour Organization, *World Employment and Social Outlook Report*.
3. World Economic Forum, *Future of Jobs Report*.
4. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, *Employment Outlook*.
5. World Bank, *World Development Report*.
6. The Second Machine Age, Erik Brynjolfsson & Andrew McAfee.
7. Human Compatible, Stuart Russell.
8. Prediction Machines, Ajay Agrawal et al.
9. United Nations Development Programme, *Human Development Report*.
10. NITI Aayog, *National Strategy for Artificial Intelligence*.
11. Ministry of Labour and Employment, Labour Market Reports.
12. International Monetary Fund, *AI and Labour Market Studies*.
13. Weapons of Math Destruction, Cathy O'Neil.
14. Race After Technology, Ruha Benjamin.
15. UNESCO, *Recommendation on the Ethics of AI*.
16. European Commission, AI Regulatory Proposals.
17. Harvard Business Review, Articles on AI and Work.
18. McKinsey & Company, Global AI Reports.
19. PwC, AI and Jobs Reports.
20. Brookings Institution, Automation and Inequality Studies.
21. Oxford Martin School, Technology and Employment Research.
22. Stanford University, AI Index Report.
23. Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Work of the Future Task Force.
24. Reserve Bank of India, Economic Reports on Digital Economy.
25. Asian Development Bank, Technology and Employment in Asia Reports.