



INDIAN JOURNAL OF  
LEGAL REVIEW

VOLUME 6 AND ISSUE 5 OF 2026

INSTITUTE OF LEGAL EDUCATION



## INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW

APIS – 3920 – 0001 | ISSN – 2583-2344

(Open Access Journal)

Journal's Home Page – <https://ijlr.iledu.in/>

Journal's Editorial Page – <https://ijlr.iledu.in/editorial-board/>

Volume 6 and Issue 5 of 2026 (Access Full Issue on – <https://ijlr.iledu.in/volume-6-and-issue-5-of-2026/>)

### Publisher

Prasanna S,

Chairman of Institute of Legal Education

No. 08, Arul Nagar, Seera Thoppu,

Maudhanda Kurichi, Srirangam,

Tiruchirappalli – 620102

Phone : +91 73059 14348 – [info@iledu.in](mailto:info@iledu.in) / [Chairman@iledu.in](mailto:Chairman@iledu.in)



© Institute of Legal Education

**Copyright Disclaimer:** All rights are reserve with Institute of Legal Education. No part of the material published on this website (Articles or Research Papers including those published in this journal) may be reproduced, distributed, or transmitted in any form or by any means, including photocopying, recording, or other electronic or mechanical methods, without the prior written permission of the publisher. For more details refer <https://ijlr.iledu.in/terms-and-condition/>

## A STUDY ON CAPITAL GAIN TAX EXCEMPTION IN COURT APPROVED AMALGAMATION UNDER SECTION 47 OF THE INCOME TAX ACT 1961

**AUTHOR** – SAMPRITASAI A.R, STUDENT AT SCHOOL OF LAW. VELS INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE, TECHNOLOGY AND  
ADVANCED STUDIES PALLAVARAM, CHENNAI – 600 117.

**BEST CITATION** – SAMPRITASAI A.R., A STUDY ON CAPITAL GAIN TAX EXCEMPTION IN COURT APPROVED  
AMALGAMATION UNDER SECTION 47 OF THE INCOME TAX ACT 1961, *INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW*  
(*IJLR*), 6 (5) OF 2026, PG. 918-924, APIS – 3920 – 0001 & ISSN – 2583-2344. DOI –

<https://doi.org/10.65393/v6i598>

### Introduction

Corporate amalgamation is a pivotal strategy for firms seeking to strengthen their market presence, achieve operational efficiencies, and unlock financial synergies. In India, such mergers and restructurings are governed by a complex interplay of corporate and tax laws designed to balance business growth with stakeholder protection. The Companies Act, 2013, along with the Income Tax Act, 1961, provide the legal and fiscal framework that regulates amalgamations, requiring judicial oversight primarily through the National Company Law Tribunal (NCLT).

This framework aims to uphold principles of transparency, fairness, and tax neutrality while facilitating efficient corporate reorganization. However, practical challenges such as procedural delays, conflicting stakeholder interests, and diverse judicial interpretations continue to influence the landscape. This article explores the legal architecture and tax implications of amalgamations in India, examining legislative provisions, regulatory roles, judicial pronouncements, and existing challenges to offer a comprehensive understanding of this critical aspect of corporate restructuring.

### 1.1 Economic Dimension of Capital Gains Tax as a Tax Model for Corporate Amalgamation

Capital gains tax is levied on income arising from the sale or transmission of a capital asset. According to Section 2(14) of the IT Act, 1961, a "capital asset" includes property owned by the taxpayer—movable or immovable, tangible or intangible—including saleable securities and shares.

During a merger or amalgamation, the transferor company transfers assets such as land, machinery, stock-in-trade, or shares to the transferee company. Under normal tax rules, such transfer would be treated as a "transfer," triggering capital gains tax based on the difference between consideration and

the asset's cost.

This tax burden can be a financial strain for companies seeking to restructure.

The Income Tax Act seeks to ensure tax neutrality to facilitate enterprise reorganizations and maintain ongoing economic activity. Tax neutrality implies that restructuring does not attract additional taxation unless it generates concrete economic gains for shareholders or stakeholders. To uphold this, exemptions have been developed protecting certain transfers from CGT during amalgamation, enabling companies to reorganize without immediate tax liability and building a financially stronger foundation.

### 1.2 Legal Provisions: Section 47 of the

## Income Tax Act

Section 47 of the Income Tax Act codifies the principle of tax neutrality by listing specific arrangements exempt from capital gains tax. These exemptions apply to transfers occurring in the context of amalgamations, demergers, or reorganizations subject to prescribed conditions.

Key provisions typically include:

- The amalgamation must occur between two Indian companies as legally defined.
- The transferee company must hold a minimum percentage of shares in the resulting company continuously for a specified period.
- The amalgamation scheme must be sanctioned by the relevant court or tribunal (now the NCLT) following the prescribed legal process.
- Shareholding continuity in the transferee company must be maintained. These criteria ensure that reorganizations are not treated as tax avoidance but as bona fide corporate restructurings.

### 1.3 Judicial Authorizations in Adoption of the NCLT

Court approval of the amalgamation scheme is indispensable. The NCLT conducts a comprehensive review considering the interests of all stakeholders and compliance with legal requirements.

Court sanction serves several crucial functions:

- Legitimizes the amalgamation process, making it binding on all parties, including dissenting shareholders and creditors.
- Confirms that the company has followed a fair and lawful procedure, a prerequisite for tax authorities to accept the transfer as exempt under Section 47.

- Provides confidence to tax authorities, minimizes litigation risk, and ensures legitimate access to tax benefits from restructuring.

### 1.4 Interactions Between Company Law and Tax Law

Corporate mergers under the Companies Act, 2013, are regulated alongside tax implications under the Income Tax Act. This dual regulatory framework ensures:

- Legitimacy and transparency in the restructuring process.
- Prevention of abuse of tax provisions through sham transactions.
- Protection of firm value and continuity without imposing undue tax burdens.

Coherence between these laws is critical for effective and tax-efficient corporate restructuring.

### 1.5 Issues and Challenges in Judicial Disputes

In practice, application of the tax neutrality provisions is often complex and controversial. Common issues include:

#### 1.5.1 Diverging Interpretations of Exemptions

Tax authorities sometimes deny exemptions citing:

- Failure to preserve requisite shareholding continuity.
- Non-compliance with NCLT sanctioned procedures.
- Insufficient evidence proving bona fide nature of the amalgamation. Such disputes often result in refusal or withdrawal of tax exemptions, increasing tax liabilities and causing protracted litigation.

#### 1.5.2 Jurisdictional Inconsistencies

Courts and tribunals across different states

apply provisions unevenly, exacerbating uncertainty—each bench may interpret statutes and precedents differently without harmonization.

## 1.6 Implications for Corporate Restructuring

These uncertainties discourage companies from pursuing mergers or add significant costs related to compliance and litigation risk. Caution arising from fear of retrospective tax demands hampers growth strategies and efficient restructuring.

## 1.7 Comparative Perspectives (Optional)

Internationally, tax neutrality in mergers is adopted similarly. For example:

- The United States allows tax-free reorganizations under the Internal Revenue Code if continuity conditions are met.
- The United Kingdom provides corporate restructuring relief permitting deferral of capital gains tax for eligible asset transfers.

India's provisions align broadly with such practices, but procedural requirements under both company and tax law introduce additional challenges.

## 1.8 Policy and Practice Recommendations

### 1.8.1 Legislative Clarifications

To minimize confusion, the legislature could:

- Provide clearer guidance on continuity requirements and asset transfer conditions.
- Standardize documentation for exemption claims.
- Introduce expedited processing or pre-clearance mechanisms.

### 1.8.2 Judicial Consistency

Definitive rulings from higher courts or unified NCLT benches are essential to harmonize interpretations nationwide, enhancing predictability and reducing litigation.

### 1.8.3 Strengthening Stakeholder Protection

Balancing restructuring facilitation with minority shareholder and creditor protection via enhanced disclosures and independent verification is necessary.

## 1.9 Conclusion

This chapter assessed the nexus between capital gains tax provisions and judicial sanctions governing corporate amalgamations in India. Section 47's tax neutrality fosters efficient reorganizations without immediate tax burdens. Court approval via the NCLT is a critical legitimacy safeguard. Nonetheless, inconsistent judicial interpretations and enforcement issues prevail, necessitating clear legislative guidelines and uniform judicial application to foster a conducive business environment and economic growth. Further research on judicial trends, legislative changes, and comparative models can inform comprehensive solutions.

### References (Indicative)

- The Income Tax Act, 1961 – Sections 2(14), 47.
- The Companies Act, 2013.
- Judgments and orders of the NCLT on corporate amalgamations.
- Academic articles on corporate restructuring and taxation.
- Tax advisory and legal expert reports.

## 2.1 Idea and Definition of Amalgamation

### 2.1.1 Definition under Companies Act, 2013

The Terms of Amalgamation The Companies Act, 2013 makes no provision on "amalgamation" specifically as definition. Nevertheless, Section 230 – Compromise, Arrangement, and Reconstruction (Section 230) – is generally applicable to amalgamations as an agreement involving the transfer of assets and liabilities of one company or more to another company, or the creation of a new company. Amalgamation is generally

interpreted to be a merger of two or more companies where one company continues to exist and the other(s) merge in it; the consequence being a single legal entity. Under section 2(1B) of the Income Tax Act, 1961 (for the purpose of tax treatment), “amalgamation” indicates the transfer of all assets and liabilities of one or more companies to another company.

### 2.1.2 Interpretation by the Courts

Indian courts have frequently interpreted amalgamation as follows:

- The Supreme Court ruled that in *Sundaram Finance Ltd v. NEPC India Ltd* (1999), the assimilation of one company into another resulted in a transfer of assets, liabilities, and obligations.
- In *K. Bhuvania v. CIT* (1991) the court held that amalgamation must incorporate by total transfer the undertaking of the combining company.

### 2.1.3 Amalgamation in Terms of Merger

Although often used to interchange this term, there really is one important distinction:

- **Amalgamation** usually entails the joining of two or more companies, when one company maintains its own existence while others go away.
- **Merger** occasionally symbolizes the formation of a completely new company so as to absorb the merged firms. Practically, in India, there is an extensive overlap of the two due to the provisions of the Companies Act and tax laws.

## 2.2 Historical Development of Amalgamation Laws in India

### 2.2.1 Pre-Independence Period

The company regulatory environment of India before independence was rudimentary, and amalgamations barely regulated. It was based on the English Companies Acts, but had limited provisions concerning mergers and reengineering.

### 2.2.2 Companies Act, 1956 – The Initial

### Framework

The Companies Act, 1956 marked the first comprehensive legislation regulating companies in India. It introduced Sections 391 to 394, providing for “arrangement and reconstruction,” which covered schemes of amalgamation. However, the procedure was often complex and judicially controlled by courts, which restricted the pace and efficiency of amalgamations.

### 2.2.3 Transition to the Companies Act, 2013

The Companies Act, 2013 updated the 1956 Act to conform to contemporary corporate governance standards applicable around the world. The Act established the National Company Law Tribunal (NCLT) as a specialized bench for the hearing of amalgamation matters where Sections 230 to 240 made merger proceedings easier and quicker.

## 2.3 Statutory Provisions Concerning Amalgamations

### 2.3.1 Companies Act, 2013

The Companies Act, 2013, is the primary law governing amalgamations in India. Its key features include:

- **Sections 230 to 240:** These sections delineate procedures, and they introduce substantive clauses for the scheme of arrangement and amalgamation. The process involves approval by creditors and members, followed by sanction by the NCLT.
- **Section 230:** This section gives companies the ability to compromise or make arrangements with creditors or members, subject to tribunal approval.
- **Section 232:** Related to mergers and amalgamations and requires a particular scheme of arrangement to be granted by the tribunal.
- **Section 66:** Regards to share capital reduction, commonly used during amalgamations.
- The definitions of amalgamation are

generally diffused, but provisions in Section 2(1) relate to such key terms as "scheme of arrangement."

### 2.3.2 SEBI Regulations

- **SEBI (Substantial Acquisition of Shares and Takeovers) Regulations, 2011:**

Disclosure and offer requirements during acquisition procedures central to amalgamations.

- **SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements)**

**Regulations, 2015:** Regulates continuous disclosures of amalgamating listed companies, serving as mechanisms for gaining investor trust.

### 2.3.3 Provisions of the Income Tax Act

Tax laws encourage amalgamation by creating a tax benefit and exemption if the amalgamation meets certain criteria:

- **Section 2(1B):** Definition of amalgamation for tax purposes.

- **Section 72A:** Permits carry forward of losses and unabsorbed depreciation of amalgamating companies in the resultant company.

- Tax benefits are contingent on certain circumstances relating to share exchange, continuity of business and approval.

## 2.4 Procedure for Amalgamation According to Companies Act, 2013

### 2.4.1 Initiate and Get Board Approval

The procedure begins with the companies' boards approving resolutions for the draft scheme of arrangement or amalgamation, and convening meetings of the shareholders and creditors.

### 2.4.2 Approval by Shareholders and Creditors

Members and creditors are met separately by the NCLT or under the Companies Act. Approval needs a majority in number representing three-fourths in value of those present and voting.

### 2.4.3 Application to National Company Law Tribunal (NCLT)

After approval, companies lodge a petition with the NCLT to sanction the scheme. All aggrieved parties, creditors, members and authorities need notice of the hearing.

### 2.4.4 Hearing and Sanction by the NCLT

The tribunal takes a detailed approach, protecting the interests of minorities and other parties. It can approve or modify the scheme, or reject it. The scheme is enforced through judicial scrutiny to be fair, just, and equitable.

### 2.4.5 Registration with the Registrar of Companies (ROC)

Once the application has been approved, an order of the NCLT and the scheme should be filed with the ROC to effect registration and the effect of amalgamation.

### 2.4.6 Post-Amalgamation Compliance

There are certain procedures required for companies - including the filing of returns, issuance of shares, record updates, or notifications to stock exchanges for listed entities. Employees' rights and contracts are also protected.

## 2.5 The Role of Regulatory Authorities in Amalgamation

### 2.5.1 NCLT

National Company Law Tribunal (NCLT) is the adjudicating authority empowered to sanction schemes of amalgamation. It ensures compliance with statutory provisions, hears objections, and protects minority shareholders.

### 2.5.2 Regional Director, Ministry of Corporate Affairs (MCA)

The Regional Director scrutinizes schemes, approves meetings, and may investigate alleged frauds or oppression related to amalgamations.

### 2.5.3 Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI)

SEBI oversees amalgamation in listed companies to ensure disclosures meet investor

protection standards and takeover regulations are complied with.

## 2.5.4 Reserve Bank of India (RBI)

In cases involving foreign investment, cross-border amalgamations, or foreign companies, RBI's approval or compliance with FEMA (Foreign Exchange Management Act) regulations is mandatory.

## 2.6 Judicial Pronouncements on Amalgamation

### 2.6.1 Landmark Supreme Court Judgments

The Supreme Court has clarified the scope and procedural safeguards in amalgamations. For instance, *Swiss Ribbons Pvt Ltd v. Union of India* (2019) upheld the constitutionality of the NCLT framework and stressed efficient resolution mechanisms.

### 2.6.2 Important High Court Decisions

High courts have adjudicated on shareholder dissent, valuation disputes, and procedural irregularities, further shaping the implementation of amalgamation law.

### 2.6.3 Impact of Judicial Interpretations

Judicial decisions have reinforced the importance of transparency, protection of minority interests, and validation of procedure as critical for lawful amalgamations.

## 2.7 Challenges and Issues Under the Current Legal Framework

### 2.7.1 Delays in Approval Process

Complex procedural requirements and heavy caseload of NCLT often delay sanctioning amalgamations, affecting business continuity.

### 2.7.2 Conflicts Between Various Stakeholders

Disputes between promoters, minority shareholders, creditors, and employees can complicate and stall amalgamation processes.

### 2.7.3 Ambiguities in Tax and Regulatory Provisions

Uncertainties in tax definitions and changing regulatory policies sometimes hinder smooth

amalgamation and integration.

**2.7.4 Cross-Border Amalgamations and Foreign Investment Compliance** Stringent FEMA, RBI regulations, and international tax treaties introduce complexity for multinational enterprises seeking amalgamation.

## 2.8 Comparative Overview: Legal Framework of Amalgamation in Other Jurisdictions

### 2.8.1 United States

Amalgamation is governed primarily by state laws such as Delaware General Corporation Law, emphasizing shareholder voting, disclosure, and federal securities regulations. The process often involves significant judicial oversight and antitrust review.

### 2.8.2 United Kingdom

The Companies Act 2006 governs mergers and amalgamations, utilizing procedures like schemes of arrangement sanctioned by courts alongside regulatory approvals by the Competition and Markets Authority.

### 2.8.3 Singapore and Other Asian Jurisdictions

Singapore offers streamlined amalgamation processes with a strong emphasis on efficiency and investor protection, offering useful benchmarks for India.

## Conclusion

The regulatory regime governing corporate amalgamations in India represents a vital mechanism enabling enterprises to consolidate resources, enhance financial health, and remain competitive. Through the Companies Act, 2013, and supporting tax provisions like Section 47 of the Income Tax Act, the law strives to ensure tax neutrality and safeguard the rights of minority shareholders, creditors, and other stakeholders. The adjudicatory role of the NCLT has streamlined the merger process, imparting judicial legitimacy and reducing uncertainties. Nevertheless, challenges such as inconsistent judicial interpretations, procedural complexities, and regulatory

ambiguities persist, often leading to delays and increased litigation risks. Addressing these issues through clearer legislative guidance, harmonized judicial decisions, and stronger stakeholder protections is essential to foster an efficient and investor-friendly amalgamation environment. By aligning legal practice with business realities, India can better leverage corporate amalgamations as engines of economic growth and corporate renewal.

### References

1. Companies Act, 2013, Government of India.
2. Income Tax Act, 1961, Government of India.
3. SEBI (Substantial Acquisition of Shares and Takeovers) Regulations, 2011.
4. SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015.
5. *Swiss Ribbons Pvt Ltd v. Union of India*, (2019) 4 SCC 17.
6. K.Vengadam, "The Law Relating to Mergers, Acquisitions and Amalgamations", Eastern Law House.
7. Vishal Vashishtha, "Corporate Restructuring, Mergers and Acquisitions," Taxman Allied Services.
8. Ministry of Corporate Affairs, Guidance Note on Scheme of Arrangement and Amalgamation, MCA Circulars.
9. Reserve Bank of India – FEMA Notifications and Guidelines.





GRASP - EDUCATE - EVOLVE



**INSTITUTE OF LEGAL EDUCATION**

*(Managed by I.L.E. EDUCATIONAL TRUST)*

NO. 08, ARUL NAGAR, SEERA THOPPU,  
MARUDHAANDA KURICHI, SRIRANGAM - 620102,  
TAMILNADU, INDIA.

ISSN 2583-2344



9 772583 234004