



INDIAN JOURNAL OF
LEGAL REVIEW

VOLUME 6 AND ISSUE 4 OF 2026

INSTITUTE OF LEGAL EDUCATION



INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW

APIS – 3920 – 0001 | ISSN – 2583-2344

(Open Access Journal)

Journal's Home Page – <https://ijlr.iledu.in/>

Journal's Editorial Page – <https://ijlr.iledu.in/editorial-board/>

Volume 6 and Issue 4 of 2026 (Access Full Issue on – <https://ijlr.iledu.in/volume-6-and-issue-4-of-2026/>)

Publisher

Prasanna S,

Chairman of Institute of Legal Education

No. 08, Arul Nagar, Seera Thoppu,

Maudhanda Kurichi, Srirangam,

Tiruchirappalli – 620102

Phone : +91 73059 14348 – info@iledu.in / Chairman@iledu.in



© Institute of Legal Education

Copyright Disclaimer: All rights are reserve with Institute of Legal Education. No part of the material published on this website (Articles or Research Papers including those published in this journal) may be reproduced, distributed, or transmitted in any form or by any means, including photocopying, recording, or other electronic or mechanical methods, without the prior written permission of the publisher. For more details refer <https://ijlr.iledu.in/terms-and-condition/>

RIGHT TO STRIKE BY WORKMEN IN THE LIGHT OF FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS

AUTHOR – KRITHIKA.R, STUDENT AT TAMILNADU DR. AMBEDKAR LAW UNIVERSITY (SCHOOL OF EXCELLENCE IN LAW)

BEST CITATION – KRITHIKA.R, RIGHT TO STRIKE BY WORKMEN IN THE LIGHT OF FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS, *INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW (IJLR)*, 6 (4) OF 2026, PG. 559-561, APIS – 3920 – 0001 & ISSN – 2583-2344.

ABSTRACT

The right to strike by workers or workers in their place of work is honored as one of the most important means for avenging their grievances. It enables the workers to protest inclusively against their employer in order to bring their issues to notice, especially when there has been a breakdown of normal communication. Strikes are significant as they help correct the balance between capital and labour. still, the right to strike isn't a Abecedarian Right in India as compared to the rights guaranteed under Part III of the Constitution. This composition analyses the scope of the right to strike and its relationship with Composition 19 of the Constitution. This composition further studies how the right to strike cannot be categorised under freedoms guaranteed under Composition 19(1)(a), Composition 19(1)(b), or Composition 19(1)(c). Also, this composition will further go on to bandy judicial pronouncements of the Supreme Court of India stating that the right to strike by workers isn't a Abecedarian Right but a statutory right conferred by the council. Later, this composition briefly discusses laws dealing with the Right to Strike, similar to the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, and the Industrial Relations Code, 2020.

KEY WORDS: Right to Strike, Workmen, Fundamental Rights, Article 19, Collective Bargaining, Industrial Disputes, Labour Law, Trade Unions, Public Interest, Industrial Relations, Statutory Rights, Labour Reforms, Industrial Disputes Act 1947, Industrial Relations Code 2020, Constitutional Law.

INTRODUCTION

honored as one of the most important munitions that allows workers to state their issues employers fail to notice, the right to strike allows workers in their work places helps them requital their grievances. In an employer-employee relationship, there always exists a difference of power in favour of the employer. Strikes help bridge that inequality and allow the workers to negotiate from a position of strength. Therefore, strikes enable the workers to come together as a collaborative force in order to state their demands. The Constitution of India guarantees certain Fundamental Rights to its citizens under Part III of the Constitution. Since strikes in work places involves workers coming together to inclusively state their demands, it

can be fluently argued that the right to strike falls within the dimension of Composition 19 of the Constitution. Composition 19(1)(a) guarantees the right to freedom of speech and expression; Composition 19(1)(b) guarantees the right to assemble peacefully and without arms; and Composition 19(1)(c) guarantees the right to form associations or unions. Therefore, it can be fluently demonstrated that the right to strike by workers comes within the dimension of any one of these three freedoms. Still, that isn't the case. The Supreme Court of India has formerly answered this question by holding that the right to strike cannot be treated as a Fundamental Right and is only a statutory right. Thus, this composition seeks to bandy how the

right to strike by workers in their place of work is dealt with under Indian law.

OBJECTS OF THE STUDY

* To determine the part of the right to strike in Indian labour law and the constitution.

* To dissect the connection between the right to strike and the abecedarian rights.

* To separate between the right to form associations with respect to strike action. To study the judicial outlook with respect to the right to strike as interpreted by the courts, substantially the Supreme Court of India.

* To estimate the laws governing strikes, videlicet the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, and the Industrial Relations Code, 2020.

* To understand how the balancing of interests is done between the workers' rights and societal requirements.

NEED FOR THE STUDY

* The nebulosity associated with the Right to strike will always be there.

* There's always a need to unfold on the compass and position of this right with respect to India.

* Increase in privatization, contract work, aggregator app motorists, etc. have made employer and hand relations complex.

* The Industrial Relations Code, 2020, brought in new amendments to understand the freedom left for workers to strike against their employer.

* At times Supreme Court of India has overreached its boundaries by assessing restrictions on essential service providers and striking a direct blow at the right to strike.

* Strike conduct has a societal impact as well. So, it's important to see how the balance is achieved between both parties.

1. STRIKES RIGHT.

Strikes are the most common mode of protest by the working class. In most cases, when workers refuse to work en masse to demand

better stipend, better working conditions, and humane treatment at the plant Strikes will take place. Since workers don't generally have logrolling power in most cases, a similar collaborative kick helps put forth their problems.

Strike right cannot be looked at as a commodity enjoyed by workers in insulation. Since strikes basically halt the functioning of the concerned association as well as vex the public from time to time, it cannot be said to be enjoyed absolutely.

2. INDIGENOUS POSITION IN INDIA

It's a popular misconception that strikes fall under the dimension of abecedarian rights since it's related to freedom of speech and all other forms of freedoms under Composition 19, videlicet to assemble peaceably and without arms and to form associations or unions.

The Hon'ble Supreme Court of India has constantly affirmed that while workers have an abecedarian right to form associations or unions, they don't have an abecedarian right to strike.

3. LEGAL REGULATION OF STRIKE

As bandied over, since the right to strike isn't a abecedarian right, it can be regulated by ordinary legislation, and hence, the right to strike in India is governed by labour laws in force. One of these conditions is that workers shall give previous notice of strike to employers before engaging in similar exertion, which allows time to resolve the matter without stopping the product and/ or operation.

workers who are integral to furnishing essential services, i.e., Public mileage services, are mandatorily needed to give notice. The strike shall not be fairly admissible if a legal proceeding is underway in respect of the disagreement, similar legal proceedings include concession or arbitration proceedings.

The Industrial Relations Code, 2020, has amended the provision of notice period, and workers belonging to all diligence have to

mandatorily give a notice period of a minimum of 14 days before going on strike.

4. SERVE OF THE BAR

The bar has importantly set the boundaries of the right to strike. Although they admit that strikes play a vital part in labor relations, they've emphasized that this right must be exercised with certain limitations.

The Supreme Court of India has stressed that strikes mustn't disrupt public order or essential services. For instance, strikes in areas such as healthcare or public transportation can immediately impact people's everyday lives. In these situations, the courts generally favor limitations to guard the public interest.

This system demonstrates that the bar seeks to save a balance rather than wholly championing or opposing strikes.

5. EFFECTS ON SOCIETY AND COMMUNITY WELFARE

Although strikes help workers, they can also pose challenges for the public. Critical services might be disrupted, companies could face losses, and diurnal routines may be impacted. For illustration, a transportation strike can leave individuals trapped, while a sanitarium strike can endanger lives.

Due to these goods, the law enforces specific restrictions on strikes. The thing isn't to stop workers from demonstrating, but to make certain that this conduct doesn't negatively impact society overall.

6. EVOLVING GEOGRAPHY OF EMPLOYMENT AND ARISING DIFFICULTIES

The character of work has changed remarkably in recent times. multitudinous workers are presently involved in the gig economy or are engaged in temporary contracts. These workers constantly warrant robust unions or sanctioned safeguards, which complicates their ability to coordinate strikes.

Contemporaneously, tougher legal regulations have increased the challenges for organized

labor to strike. This has sparked worries regarding whether workers retain sufficient authority to negotiate successfully.

7. DEMAND FOR AN INDIFFERENT SYSTEM

The right to strike holds significance, yet it should be exercised with care. workers ought to be allowed to state their issues, but this mustn't compromise public well-being. A balanced strategy involves permitting strikes when demanded, but within respectable boundaries. This also entails promoting discussion and discussion before taking similar drastic conduct. Eventually, labor law aims to ensure balance among employers, workers, and society. When employed rightly, strikes can enhance this balance rather than dismembering it.

CONCLUSION

The right to strike is a pivotal part of labor relations, allowing workers to inclusively endorse for their requirements. nevertheless, the Supreme Court of India has verified that it isn't an indigenous abecedarian right. Rather, it's a governed statutory annuity regulated by the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 and the Industrial Relations Code of 2020. Its efficacy stems from its deliberate and legal operation, guaranteeing both protection for workers and stability in society.

REFERENCES

1. Industrial Disputes Act, 1947
2. Industrial Relations Code, 2020
3. . Constitution of India, Article 19
4. . All India Bank Employees' Association v. National Industrial Tribunal
5. T.K. Rangarajan v. Government of Tamil Nadu
6. Kameshwar Prasad v. State of Bihar
7. Supreme Court of India Judgments
8. O.P. Malhotra, Law of Industrial Disputes
9. . P.L. Malik, Industrial Law
10. . Government Reports on Labour Law Reforms