INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW [IJLR – IF SCORE – 7.58]

VOLUME 6 AND ISSUE 4 OF 2026

APIS – 3920 - 0001 *(and)* ISSN - 2583-2344

Published by

Institute of Legal Education

https://iledu.in

REGULATING MICRO INSURANCE FOR FINANCIAL INCLUSION: AN ANALYSIS OF LEGAL AND REGULATORY BARRIERS IN INDIA

AUTHOR - DIYA MITTAL* & DR. RENU MAHAJAN**

* STUDENT AT AMITY UNIVERSITY, NOIDA

** PROFESSOR AT AMITY UNIVERSITY, NOIDA

BEST CITATION – DIYA MITTAL & DR. RENU MAHAJAN, REGULATING MICRO INSURANCE FOR FINANCIAL INCLUSION: AN ANALYSIS OF LEGAL AND REGULATORY BARRIERS IN INDIA, *INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW (IJLR),* 6 (4) OF 2026, PG. 401-419, APIS – 3920 – 0001 & ISSN - 2583-2344.

Abstract

Micro-insurance has emerged as an important instrument of financial inclusion in India, particularly for low-income households, informal workers, small farmers, migrant labourers and other economically vulnerable groups who remain largely outside formal social security systems. It provides affordable risk protection against contingencies such as death, disability, illness, accidents and livelihood shocks, thereby reducing the possibility that sudden financial crises push vulnerable households deeper into poverty. This paper examines the legal and regulatory framework governing micro-insurance in India and critically analyses the barriers that hinder its effectiveness as a tool of inclusive development.

The study explores the statutory foundation of micro-insurance through the Insurance Act, 1938 and the Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority Act, 1999, and evaluates the role of the Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority of India (IRDAI) in shaping inclusive insurance governance. Particular attention is given to the IRDAI (Micro Insurance) Regulations, 2005 and 2015, including issues relating to product design, eligibility, distribution channels, disclosure norms and claims settlement procedures. The paper also analyses the contribution of government-supported schemes such as Pradhan Mantri Jeevan Jyoti Bima Yojana, Pradhan Mantri Suraksha Bima Yojana[798], Ayushman Bharat and the wider financial inclusion framework created through Pradhan Mantri Jan Dhan Yojana.

The paper argues that despite the existence of an extensive legal and policy framework, micro-insurance in India continues to face significant structural and regulatory challenges. These include low awareness, weak consumer protection, mis-selling, infrastructural constraints, overlapping regulatory jurisdictions, claims-related difficulties and digital exclusion. It concludes that for micro-insurance to function as a meaningful instrument of financial inclusion, the legal regime must move beyond formal access and focus on substantive accessibility, accountability and equity. The paper ultimately positions micro-insurance not merely as a financial product, but as a critical mechanism of social protection and distributive justice in India.

Keywords: Micro-Insurance; Financial Inclusion; Insurance Regulation; IRDAI; Low-Income Policyholders; Consumer Protection; Social Security; Insurance Law; Inclusive Development; India

[798] Pradhan Mantri Suraksha Bima Yojana, Ministry of Finance, Government of India, Operational Guidelines (2015).

INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW [IJLR – IF SCORE – 7.58]

VOLUME 6 AND ISSUE 4 OF 2026

APIS – 3920 - 0001 *(and)* ISSN - 2583-2344

Published by

Institute of Legal Education

https://iledu.in

1. Introduction

Financial inclusion has emerged as one of the central pillars of inclusive economic development in India.[799] The idea of financial inclusion goes beyond mere access to banking services and extends to the creation of a comprehensive financial ecosystem in which vulnerable and low-income populations are able to access credit, savings, remittance, pension and insurance services on fair and affordable terms. Among these services, insurance occupies a particularly important position because it acts as a social and economic safety net against unforeseen contingencies such as death, illness, disability, crop failure, accidents, natural disasters and loss of livelihood. For economically secure populations, insurance functions as a risk management mechanism; however, for low-income households, it often determines whether a temporary shock remains manageable or descends into chronic poverty.[800] It is in this context that micro-insurance has acquired increasing significance in India.

Micro-insurance represents a specialized form of insurance designed for low-income individuals, households, self-employed workers, small farmers, migrant labourers, informal workers and other economically vulnerable groups who are traditionally excluded from the formal insurance market.[801] It is intended to provide low-premium, low-sum assured, simplified and accessible insurance products suited to the needs and capacities of marginalized populations. In a country such as India, where a large segment of the population remains employed in the informal economy and lacks social security protections, micro-insurance is not merely a commercial financial product but a developmental necessity. It bridges the gap between welfare policy and financial markets by enabling poor househol ds to manage risk without falling into debt traps, asset liquidation or intergenerational poverty.

Despite its importance, the penetration and effectiveness of micro-insurance in India remain limited. While the Government of India and the Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority of India (IRDAI) have taken significant steps to promote inclusive insurance, including targeted regulations, public welfare schemes and digital distribution mechanisms, the legal and regulatory architecture continues to face substantial challenges.[802] These include regulatory fragmentation, product complexity, weak consumer awareness, limited outreach in rural and remote areas, insufficient grievance redressal, infrastructural deficits and the persistent problem of mis-selling and claim repudiation. Consequently, the promise of micro-insurance as a tool of financial inclusion often remains unrealized in practice.

This paper examines the legal and regulatory framework governing micro-insurance in India and critically evaluates the barriers that hinder its effectiveness. It argues that while India has developed an extensive statutory and policy framework to expand insurance access to low-income populations, the existing regime remains only partially responsive to the realities of poverty, informality and vulnerability. The legal design of micro-insurance must therefore be assessed not merely in terms of formal compliance and regulatory intent, but in terms of actual accessibility, affordability, usability and consumer protection.[803]

The paper is divided into six major parts. The first part introduces the concept and significance of micro-insurance and situates it within the broader discourse of financial inclusion. The second part examines the statutory framework, including the Insurance Act, 1938, the Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority Act, 1999, and the

[799] Reserve Bank of India, Financial Inclusion in India—A Journey Towards Inclusive Growth, RBI Bull., Nov. 2015, at 1.

[800] World Bank, World Development Report 2014: Risk and Opportunity—Managing Risk for Development 3–7 (2013).

[801] Int'l Ass'n of Ins. Supervisors, Issues in Regulation and Supervision of Microinsurance 7–10 (2007).

[802] Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority Act, 1999, No. 41 of 1999, § 14, India Code (1999); Ins. Reg. & Dev. Auth. of India, IRDAI (Micro Insurance) Regulations, 2015.

[803] Int'l Ass'n of Ins. Supervisors, Issues in Regulation and Supervision of Microinsurance 7–10 (2007).

regulatory role of IRDAI. The third part analyses the IRDAI Micro-Insurance Regulations, with particular emphasis on the 2005 and 2015 frameworks, product design, distribution and claims procedures. The fourth part evaluates the contribution of government schemes and policy initiatives such as PMJJBY, PMSBY, Ayushman Bharat and PMJDY. The fifth part focuses on consumer protection and policyholder safeguards. The final part identifies key legal and regulatory barriers and assesses the structural challenges that continue to impede micro-insurance-led financial inclusion in India.

1.1 Concept and Significance of Micro-Insurance

Micro-insurance may be broadly defined as insurance designed specifically for low-income persons, with affordable premiums and coverage tailored to risks commonly faced by vulnerable populations. Unlike conventional insurance, which is often marketed to middle-income and affluent groups and involves complex policy terms, medical underwriting, detailed documentation and relatively higher premium obligations, micro-insurance is intended to be simple, accessible, low-cost and socially responsive.[804] It covers risks such as life loss, accidental death, disability, hospitalization, health emergencies, crop failure, livestock mortality, asset loss and natural disasters. In the Indian context, micro-insurance also serves as a means of social protection for populations that lack employer-provided insurance or universal welfare guarantees.

The significance of micro-insurance lies in its poverty-prevention and resilience-building role. Low-income households are disproportionately exposed to risk because they have limited savings, little formal credit access and minimal asset buffers. Even a minor medical emergency or the death of an earning member can trigger severe economic distress. Families may be forced to borrow at usurious interest rates, sell productive assets, withdraw children from school or cut essential consumption. Micro-insurance mitigates such vulnerability by offering risk pooling and financial compensation at moments of crisis. In this sense, it is a protective financial instrument that supports not only individual welfare but also broader social and economic stability.

Micro-insurance also has macro-developmental significance. It contributes to financial deepening by integrating previously excluded populations into the formal financial system. Insurance inclusion can strengthen trust in formal institutions, improve savings discipline, enhance resilience to climate and health shocks and support sustainable livelihoods. In rural economies, where agriculture and informal labour dominate, insurance can reduce vulnerability to seasonal and environmental risks. In urban informal settlements, it can support migrant workers and gig workers who remain outside formal social security networks. Thus, micro-insurance is not merely a welfare intervention but a developmental instrument linked to inclusive growth, social justice and economic citizenship.

At the same time, the significance of micro-insurance must be understood critically. Merely offering low-premium products does not automatically guarantee meaningful protection. Poorly designed policies with low claim ratios, opaque exclusions, inaccessible grievance systems or ineffective distribution channels may create only symbolic inclusion rather than substantive risk protection. Therefore, the legal regulation of micro-insurance is central to determining whether it functions as a genuine social protection mechanism or merely a nominal extension of insurance markets into low-income populations.

1.2 Role of Legal and Regulatory Framework in Financial Inclusion

[804] Craig Churchill (ed.), Protecting the Poor: A Microinsurance Compendium 12–15 (Int'l Labour Org. 2006).

The expansion of financial inclusion does not occur automatically through market forces.[805] Left to itself, the insurance market tends to prioritize profitable, low-risk and easily reachable customer segments. Low-income populations, especially those in rural, remote, informal or low-literacy settings, are often perceived as costly to serve, difficult to underwrite and commercially unattractive. Consequently, financial inclusion requires an enabling legal and regulatory framework that can correct market failures, reduce structural exclusion and promote equity in access to financial services.[806]

The legal and regulatory framework plays at least five crucial roles in the context of micro-insurance. First, it defines the legal recognition and regulatory identity of micro-insurance as a distinct category of insurance. This is important because low-income policyholders face different constraints from mainstream consumers and therefore require differentiated product standards, premium norms, documentation rules and claim procedures.

Second, regulation determines the design of products and the conditions under which they are sold. It can mandate simplified terms, standardized disclosures, reduced paperwork, suitability norms and safeguards against exploitative exclusions. In a low-literacy environment, consumer comprehension is as important as formal consent. Thus, regulatory norms relating to transparency and plain-language documentation become essential to meaningful inclusion.

Third, the legal framework determines who may distribute micro-insurance and under what conditions. Traditional insurance distribution through urban agents and brokers is often ineffective for reaching poor households. Regulation therefore becomes necessary to permit alternative channels such as self-help groups, microfinance institutions, non-governmental organizations, cooperative societies, banking correspondents, common service centres and digital platforms. However, this flexibility must be balanced with accountability and consumer protection.

Fourth, law and regulation shape the consumer protection architecture. This includes grievance redressal, claim settlement timelines, ombudsman access, anti-mis-selling safeguards, unfair trade practice control and enforcement mechanisms. For low-income policyholders, the value of insurance lies not in policy issuance but in successful claim realization. Therefore, legal remedies and procedural fairness are central to insurance inclusion.

Fifth, the regulatory framework acts as a policy instrument for integrating micro-insurance into wider welfare and inclusion initiatives. It can facilitate linkages between insurance and public schemes, direct benefit transfers systems, Jan Dhan accounts, digital identity infrastructure and social security platforms. In India, where welfare delivery increasingly intersects with digital and financial infrastructure, insurance regulation plays a strategic role in shaping inclusive governance.

Therefore, the role of law in micro-insurance extends beyond technical supervision of insurers. It is deeply connected to distributive justice, welfare policy, developmental regulation and the constitutional aspiration of socio-economic equality.

1.3 Objectives and Scope of the Chapter

The principal objective of this paper is to examine the extent to which the legal and regulatory framework governing micro-insurance in India facilitates or hinders financial inclusion. The study seeks to critically analyse the statutory and regulatory architecture, assess the effectiveness of key schemes and institutions, and identify the barriers that continue to limit substantive access and protection for low-income policyholders.

More specifically, the paper aims to:

[805] Joseph E. Stiglitz, Market Failures, Public Goods, and the Role of Government, in Economics of the Public Sector 77–82 (3d ed. 2000).
[806] Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority Act, 1999, No. 41 of 1999, § 14, India Code (1999).

(1) explain the concept and developmental relevance of micro-insurance in India; (2) analyse the statutory framework under the Insurance Act, 1938 and the IRDA Act, 1999; (3) evaluate the IRDAI Micro-Insurance Regulations and their operational implications; (4) examine the role of government-sponsored insurance schemes and financial inclusion policies; (5) assess the adequacy of consumer protection and grievance redressal mechanisms; and (6) identify legal, institutional, administrative and technological barriers affecting implementation.

The scope of the paper is confined primarily to India, though it engages with broader financial inclusion principles where relevant. The analysis focuses on life, accident and health-oriented micro-insurance frameworks, with occasional reference to agricultural and livelihood risk contexts where necessary. The paper adopts a doctrinal and policy-oriented approach, drawing upon legislation, regulations, institutional functions and implementation realities.

2. Statutory Framework Governing Micro-Insurance

2.1 The Insurance Act, 1938: Foundational Provisions

The Insurance Act, 1938 forms the foundational legislative framework for insurance regulation in India. Enacted during the colonial period and subsequently amended multiple times, the Act primarily governs the business of insurance, including registration, management, investment, assignment, nomination, licensing, solvency and policyholder protection. Although the Act did not originally conceptualize micro-insurance as a distinct category, its provisions provide the legal base upon which later inclusive insurance frameworks were built.

One of the most significant contributions of the Insurance Act lies in its recognition of the policyholder as a legally protected participant in the insurance relationship. Insurance contracts involve inherent asymmetry:[807] the insurer possesses greater information, legal expertise and bargaining power than the policyholder. This imbalance is even more pronounced in the case of low-income or low-literacy consumers. Therefore, statutory safeguards relating to disclosure, policy servicing and claims become highly relevant to micro-insurance.

The Act regulates the conduct of insurers and seeks to ensure financial soundness and consumer confidence. Provisions concerning registration and supervision help prevent unregulated entities from exploiting consumers. Solvency requirements are especially important because low-income policyholders are often least able to bear the consequences of insurer failure. Similarly, rules relating to maintenance of accounts, actuarial standards and oversight contribute indirectly to micro-insurance by ensuring that insurers offering such products remain institutionally stable.

The Insurance Act also contains provisions relating to assignment and nomination[808], which are particularly important for low-income households where insurance often serves as family security rather than investment. The ability to nominate beneficiaries simply and effectively can determine whether the financial benefit actually reaches dependents after the death of the insured. In the micro-insurance context, the legal simplification of such processes is crucial.

However, the Insurance Act, 1938 is not by itself sufficient to address the specific needs of micro-insurance. It was designed primarily as a general regulatory statute rather than a social inclusion instrument. Its framework presupposes a formalized insurance market, whereas micro-insurance requires adaptations for informality, low documentation, group-based distribution, community mediation and simplified claim processes. Therefore, while the Act provides the

[807] Carter v. Boehm (1766) 97 Eng. Rep. 1162 (KB).
[808] Insurance Act, 1938 §§ 38–39.

foundational legal architecture, the specific regulatory accommodation of micro-insurance had to emerge through delegated regulation and institutional innovation.

2.2 The IRDA Act, 1999: Establishment and Powers of the Regulator

A major transformation in the Indian insurance sector occurred with the enactment of the Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority Act, 1999. The Act established the Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority of India (IRDAI) as the apex statutory regulator for the insurance sector. This marked the shift from a state-dominated insurance regime to a regulated liberalized market involving public and private insurers. Importantly, the statute did not merely create a supervisory authority; it conferred upon the regulator a developmental mandate alongside regulatory control.

This developmental role is highly significant in the context of micro-insurance. Unlike a narrow market regulator concerned only with solvency and competition, IRDAI was envisioned as an institution that would promote orderly growth of the insurance sector while safeguarding policyholder interests. The statutory powers granted to IRDAI include regulation of insurers, intermediaries, products, premium structures in certain contexts, licensing, consumer protection and issuance of subordinate legislation. These powers enabled the Authority to design targeted frameworks for inclusive insurance.

The creation of IRDAI was especially important because the insurance market, after liberalization, required a balance between commercial expansion and social obligations. Private insurers entering the Indian market might have focused predominantly on profitable urban customers unless directed otherwise. The regulator therefore became the key institution for integrating financial inclusion objectives into market governance.

The IRDA Act also provided the legal basis for rule-making and regulatory experimentation. This allowed IRDAI to issue specific regulations on micro-insurance, rural and social sector obligations, protection of policyholders' interests, outsourcing, distribution channels, product approvals and grievance redressal. In this sense, the Act created the institutional space through which micro-insurance could be operationalized within Indian insurance law.

Yet, the effectiveness of the regulator depends not merely on statutory powers but on how those powers are exercised. In micro-insurance, a purely compliance-based approach is often inadequate. The regulator must also address field-level realities such as literacy barriers, local trust deficits, agent incentives, technology gaps and the mismatch between formal insurance processes and informal livelihoods. Therefore, while the IRDA Act, 1999 laid the institutional foundation, the substantive success of micro-insurance depends on the quality, sensitivity and inclusiveness of regulatory implementation.

2.3 The Role and Functions of IRDAI in Micro-Insurance

IRDAI plays a central role in shaping the micro-insurance ecosystem in India.[809] Its functions in this area are not confined to licensing insurers; rather, they extend to product design oversight, distribution regulation, consumer protection, market development and integration with inclusion policy.

At the most basic level, IRDAI is responsible for creating a regulatory environment in which insurers are encouraged or required to serve low-income and underserved populations. This includes prescribing the legal framework for micro-insurance products, setting eligibility norms, permitting specialized intermediaries and issuing operational standards. Through these functions, IRDAI determines the legal identity and operational structure of micro-insurance.

[809] Insurance Ombudsman Rules, 2017, Gazette of India, Extraordinary, Part II, sec. 3(ii) (Apr. 25, 2017).

A particularly important aspect of IRDAI's role is its authority over product approval and policyholder interest protection. Micro-insurance products must be simple, affordable and relevant. If insurers are allowed to design technically compliant but practically unusable products, the purpose of micro-insurance is defeated. IRDAI therefore plays a normative role in shaping what counts as an acceptable inclusive insurance product.

The Authority also regulates intermediaries and distribution channels. In the micro-insurance context, conventional urban insurance agents are often inadequate. IRDAI's recognition of alternative channels such as NGOs, self-help groups, microfinance institutions and community-based organizations has been critical in extending insurance outreach. At the same time, this raises regulatory concerns regarding training, accountability, disclosure and conflict of interest, all of which fall within IRDAI's supervisory domain.

Further, IRDAI plays a consumer-protective role through regulations on policyholder interests, claims settlement, grievance mechanisms and ombudsman access. These are especially important for low-income consumers who often lack bargaining power and legal literacy. If micro-insurance is to be meaningful, policyholders must be able not only to purchase policies but also to understand terms, make claims and obtain redress.

Finally, IRDAI functions as a policy bridge between insurance regulation and national inclusion objectives. It interacts with state schemes, banking systems, digital infrastructure and social protection mechanisms. In recent years, the regulator's role has increasingly included promoting insurance penetration through digital innovation and simplified distribution, though such moves also raise concerns about digital exclusion and data asymmetry.

2.4 Relevant Provisions Affecting Low-Income Policyholders

Although Indian insurance law does not always expressly classify protections as "low-income specific," several statutory and regulatory provisions materially affect low-income policyholders. These include provisions concerning disclosure, nomination, claims processing, grievance handling, policy servicing and intermediary conduct.

For low-income policyholders, procedural barriers are often as significant as substantive coverage limitations. Requirements such as multiple identity proofs, medical tests, formal written applications, repeated branch visits or delayed documentation can make insurance inaccessible in practice. Therefore, provisions that allow simplified onboarding, Aadhaar-based authentication, group enrolment, nominee-based claims and flexible servicing become particularly relevant.

Likewise, the legal obligations imposed on insurers and intermediaries regarding fair conduct, non-misrepresentation and policy explanation are crucial. In micro-insurance, many consumers purchase policies through group channels or bundled schemes without fully understanding exclusions or claim conditions. The law's role in ensuring informed consent and transparency is therefore vital.[810]

Low-income policyholders are also disproportionately affected by delays and claim repudiations. Even small insurance amounts may represent critical emergency support for poor households. Thus, timelines for claim settlement, communication duties and accessible redressal mechanisms are not mere procedural details; they determine whether insurance delivers actual financial protection.

Overall, while India's statutory framework contains the building blocks of policyholder protection, the challenge lies in ensuring that these protections are adapted to the lived realities of low-income consumers rather than

[810] Carter v. Boehm, (1766) 97 Eng. Rep. 1162 (KB).

INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW [IJLR – IF SCORE – 7.58]

VOLUME 6 AND ISSUE 4 OF 2026

APIS – 3920 - 0001 *(and)* ISSN - 2583-2344

Published by

Institute of Legal Education

https://iledu.in

remaining formally available but practically inaccessible.

3. IRDAI Micro-Insurance Regulations

3.1 IRDAI (Micro Insurance) Regulations, 2005

The IRDAI (Micro Insurance) Regulations, 2005 marked a major milestone in the legal recognition of micro-insurance in India. These regulations were among the earliest attempts to create a dedicated regulatory framework for insurance products aimed at low-income populations. Their significance lies in the fact that they acknowledged the need for differentiated insurance governance rather than forcing poor consumers into a framework designed for conventional commercial insurance.

The 2005 Regulations defined micro-insurance products and laid down the categories of permissible life and general micro-insurance products. They sought to make insurance accessible through simplified products with limited coverage amounts, reduced premium obligations and easier distribution mechanisms. The framework also recognized the need for non-traditional distribution channels and allowed approved micro-insurance agents such as NGOs, self-help groups and microfinance institutions to participate in policy distribution.[811]

This was a significant legal innovation because it accepted that outreach to poor populations could not be achieved through standard market architecture alone. Community-based and local institutions often enjoy greater trust and access than formal insurance offices, especially in rural and semi-urban areas. By legally accommodating such actors, the Regulations attempted to bridge the distance between insurer and low-income policyholder.

However, the 2005 framework had limitations. While it was progressive in intent, its implementation revealed several structural issues. Product caps and definitions sometimes constrained innovation. Distribution through community channels improved access but also raised questions about training quality, mis-selling and accountability. Moreover, the Regulations did not fully resolve the tension between commercial insurance logic and social protection objectives. As a result, despite the formal framework, micro-insurance penetration remained limited, and many insurers treated compliance as a peripheral obligation rather than a core business commitment.

3.2 IRDAI (Micro Insurance) Regulations, 2015 (Revised Framework)

Recognizing the need for modernization and reform, IRDAI introduced the IRDAI (Micro Insurance) Regulations, 2015, which replaced the earlier framework and aimed to provide greater flexibility, clarity and market responsiveness.[812] The 2015 Regulations reflected a more mature understanding of the operational realities of micro-insurance and attempted to expand the scope of participation and product development.

One of the key strengths of the 2015 Regulations was their broader and more enabling approach. They facilitated the design of need-based products and widened the institutional ecosystem through which micro-insurance could be delivered. The revised framework also better aligned micro-insurance regulation with developments in financial inclusion policy, digital identity infrastructure and inclusive banking expansion.

The 2015 framework attempted to address some of the rigidities of the earlier regime by allowing more operational flexibility while retaining the core idea of affordable and accessible insurance for vulnerable groups. It also recognized the importance of integrating insurance with other welfare and inclusion platforms. This was especially relevant in the post-Jan Dhan era, where bank account penetration, Aadhaar-based authentication

[811] Id. regs. 2(f), 8.

[812] Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority of India (Micro Insurance) Regulations, 2015, Gazette of India, Extraordinary, Part III, sec. 4 (Nov. 13, 2015).

and direct benefit systems created new possibilities for insurance inclusion.

Nevertheless, the revised framework also brought new concerns. Greater flexibility can improve innovation, but it may also create room for uneven product quality and aggressive low-value selling unless accompanied by strong consumer safeguards. Therefore, while the 2015 Regulations represented a substantial regulatory improvement, their effectiveness depends on the quality of supervision, insurer incentives and field-level implementation.

3.3 Eligibility Criteria for Micro-Insurance Products

Eligibility criteria in micro-insurance regulation serve two purposes: they define the target group and preserve the inclusion-oriented character of the product. In principle, micro-insurance is intended for low-income households and underserved populations who would otherwise remain outside the formal insurance market. However, identifying and regulating such a target group presents both legal and administrative challenges.

Overly rigid eligibility criteria may exclude deserving individuals who lack documentary proof of income or occupation. Conversely, overly broad eligibility may dilute the social purpose of micro-insurance by allowing it to become just another low-ticket retail insurance category. The challenge for regulation is therefore to maintain inclusiveness without losing distributive focus.

In India, the practical implementation of eligibility norms often relies on indirect indicators such as group affiliation, scheme linkage, occupational category, rural location, self-help group membership, Jan Dhan participation or enrolment under welfare programs. While this improves administrative feasibility, it can also produce exclusion errors. Many vulnerable persons, especially migrant workers, urban informal labourers and precarious gig workers, may not fit neatly into traditional low-income categories or welfare-linked databases.

Thus, the legal regulation of eligibility must be sufficiently flexible, socially grounded and administratively realistic. If the target population cannot be reached due to documentation or classification barriers, the formal existence of micro-insurance products will not translate into genuine financial inclusion.

3.4 Product Design and Simplification Requirements

Product design lies at the heart of micro-insurance effectiveness. A policy that is technically valid but too complex to understand, too narrow to be useful or too burdensome to claim cannot serve the objectives of financial inclusion. Therefore, regulatory emphasis on product simplification is essential.[813]

Micro-insurance products must be designed around the lived risks and capacities of low-income populations. This means low premiums, limited but meaningful coverage, simple benefit triggers, understandable exclusions and straightforward enrolment. Legal regulation plays a crucial role in requiring such simplicity and preventing insurers from transferring disproportionate complexity onto vulnerable consumers.

In the Indian context, product design must account for diverse realities: seasonal incomes, informal employment, low literacy, family-based economic dependency, migration, lack of medical records and limited digital literacy. For example, a health micro-insurance product requiring extensive hospitalization paperwork or network hospital access may be formally available but practically unusable for many poor households. Similarly, a life product with hidden exclusions or nominee complications may fail at the moment of need.

[813] Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority of India (Protection of Policyholders' Interests, Operations and Allied Matters of Insurers) Regulations, 2024, Gazette of India.

Simplification should not, however, mean dilution to the point of insignificance. One recurring problem in micro-insurance is the creation of ultra-low-cost products that are easy to sell but provide only minimal or symbolic protection. Therefore, the regulatory challenge is not only simplification but meaningful simplification — that is, designing products that are both accessible and substantively useful.

3.5 Distribution Channels and Role of Micro-Insurance Agents

Distribution is one of the most critical determinants of micro-insurance success. Traditional insurance distribution models rely heavily on urban agents, brokers, branch networks and customer-initiated purchasing. These models are poorly suited to low-income populations, especially those in rural or informal settings. As a result, micro-insurance regulation in India has rightly emphasized alternative distribution channels.

Micro-insurance agents and institutional intermediaries such as self-help groups, NGOs, microfinance institutions, cooperative societies and banking correspondents have played an important role in extending outreach.[814] Their value lies not only in geographic reach but also in social proximity and trust. Many low-income consumers are more likely to purchase and maintain insurance when introduced through familiar community-based institutions rather than distant insurers.

However, this distribution model also creates regulatory complexity. Intermediaries may lack professional insurance training, may prioritize enrolment over comprehension, or may inadequately explain exclusions and claim conditions. There is also a risk of tied selling, especially when insurance is bundled with loans, savings groups or welfare enrolment. Consumers may not clearly distinguish voluntary coverage from mandatory or quasi-mandatory enrolment.

Therefore, while alternative distribution is necessary for inclusion, it must be accompanied by strict norms relating to licensing, training, accountability, disclosures, record-keeping and complaint responsibility. The law must ensure that accessibility does not come at the cost of informed consent and consumer protection.

3.6 Policy Documentation and Disclosure Norms

Policy documentation and disclosure are among the most underestimated yet decisive aspects of insurance inclusion. For many low-income consumers, exclusion from insurance does not begin at the point of denial; it begins at the point of incomprehension. A policyholder who does not understand the product has not meaningfully entered into a protective financial relationship, even if formal documentation exists.

The legal importance of disclosure lies in correcting informational asymmetry. In micro-insurance, this requires more than simply handing over a printed policy document. It requires that essential information- premium amount, duration, risks covered, exclusions, claim process, nominee details and grievance channels be communicated in a form that is understandable to the policyholder.

This is particularly relevant in India due to linguistic diversity, low literacy levels and the prevalence of oral or trust-based transactions in rural and informal settings. A disclosure regime based solely on formal paperwork may satisfy legal formalities while failing substantively. Therefore, policyholder protection in micro-insurance demands functional disclosure rather than merely documentary disclosure.

The challenge remains that many policyholders either do not receive policy documents, do not understand them, or are unaware of exclusions until claim denial. Thus, the quality of disclosure is central to evaluating the fairness and effectiveness of micro-insurance regulation.

[814] Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority of India (Micro Insurance) Regulations, 2015, regs. 2(1)(k), 9.

3.7 Claims Settlement Procedures and Consumer Protection

Claims settlement is the ultimate test of insurance utility[815]. For low-income households, the success or failure of a claim may determine whether insurance is viewed as a trusted safety net or as an inaccessible promise. Consequently, legal regulation of claims procedures is central to micro-insurance governance.

In theory, micro-insurance claims should be simpler, faster and more accessible than conventional insurance claims. This is because the insured population often lacks formal documentation, legal literacy and the capacity to pursue prolonged administrative processes. Yet in practice, claims are often delayed or frustrated by documentation requirements, poor communication, intermediary failure or bureaucratic opacity.

Consumer protection in this area requires simplified claim forms, flexible evidentiary standards where appropriate, nominee-friendly processes, time-bound settlement obligations and local support channels.[816] It also requires accountability where intermediaries fail to submit or assist with claims properly. In many cases, policyholders blame the insurer, while the actual breakdown occurs at the distribution or servicing stage.

Therefore, the legal and regulatory framework must focus not only on insurer solvency or product issuance but on claims justice. Insurance inclusion without claim accessibility is only nominal inclusion.

4. Government Schemes and Policy Initiatives

4.1 Pradhan Mantri Jeevan Jyoti Bima Yojana (PMJJBY)

The Pradhan Mantri Jeevan Jyoti Bima Yojana (PMJJBY) is one of India's most significant state-supported life insurance initiatives targeted at financially vulnerable populations. Launched in 2015, it provides low-cost life insurance coverage to eligible individuals linked to bank accounts.[817] The scheme reflects a major policy attempt to integrate insurance with financial inclusion infrastructure.

Its significance lies in affordability, scale and banking integration. By linking insurance to bank accounts, the scheme reduces transaction barriers and promotes auto-debit premium collection. This makes participation easier for individuals who may not otherwise engage with formal insurance markets. The scheme also demonstrates how public policy can reduce market exclusion by using existing financial inclusion infrastructure.

However, PMJJBY also reveals important legal and regulatory questions. Large-scale enrolment does not necessarily guarantee informed participation. Many enrollees may not fully understand renewal conditions, exclusions or claim processes. Moreover, the reliance on bank-linked distribution can create procedural dependence on banking institutions that may not be adequately trained in insurance servicing.

Thus, PMJJBY is an important inclusion tool, but its effectiveness depends on whether it operates as a genuine insurance protection mechanism rather than merely a mass enrolment scheme.

4.2 Pradhan Mantri Suraksha Bima Yojana (PMSBY)

The Pradhan Mantri Suraksha Bima Yojana (PMSBY) is a low-cost accidental insurance scheme aimed at providing financial protection against accidental death and disability. Like PMJJBY, it is linked to bank account infrastructure and designed for broad accessibility.

PMSBY is especially important in the Indian context because low-income populations are disproportionately exposed to occupational and

[815] Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority of India (Protection of Policyholders' Interests, Operations and Allied Matters of Insurers) Regulations, 2024, regs. 19–27.

[816] Id.; Insurance Ombudsman Rules, 2017, Gazette of India, Extraordinary, Part II, sec. 3(ii) (Apr. 25, 2017).

[817] Ministry of Finance, Government of India, Pradhan Mantri Jeevan Jyoti Bima Yojana: Operational Guidelines (2015).

INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW [IJLR – IF SCORE – 7.58]
VOLUME 6 AND ISSUE 4 OF 2026
APIS – 3920 - 0001 *(and)* ISSN - 2583-2344
Published by
Institute of Legal Education
https://iledu.in

transport-related accident risks. Informal workers, construction labourers, delivery workers, drivers and migrant labourers often work in unsafe conditions without formal employer insurance.[818] In such a context, accidental micro-insurance plays a critical protective role.

From a legal perspective, PMSBY illustrates the potential of state-facilitated risk pooling but also raises issues of awareness, claim documentation and renewal continuity. Accident claims often require police records, hospital records or death certifications that may be difficult for poor families to obtain. Therefore, while the scheme is commendable in design, its justice lies in its implementation.

4.3 Ayushman Bharat and Health Micro-Insurance Linkages

Ayushman Bharat, particularly through the Pradhan Mantri Jan Arogya Yojana (PM-JAY), has significantly altered the landscape of publicly supported health risk protection in India.[819] Although PM-JAY is not micro-insurance in the conventional private insurance sense, it overlaps substantially with the objectives of health micro-insurance by offering financial protection to vulnerable households against hospitalization costs.

Its significance lies in reducing catastrophic health expenditure, which is one of the leading causes of indebtedness and impoverishment in India. For low-income households, hospitalization expenses often wipe out savings and trigger long-term financial instability. In this sense, Ayushman Bharat serves a function analogous to public health micro-insurance.

The legal relevance of Ayushman Bharat lies in its interface with private insurance regulation, public welfare administration and digital health governance. It also raises important questions about whether public health protection should complement or substitute private health micro-insurance. In policy terms, the challenge is to ensure that low-income consumers are not left navigating fragmented health protection regimes without clarity.

4.4 Role of Financial Inclusion Policies (PMJDY)

The Pradhan Mantri Jan Dhan Yojana (PMJDY) has had a transformative effect on the infrastructure of financial inclusion in India. Although primarily a banking initiative, it has created the institutional backbone for the expansion of low-cost insurance and pension products. By enabling millions of previously unbanked individuals to access basic bank accounts, PMJDY has indirectly facilitated insurance enrolment, premium collection and claim transfers.[820]

From a legal-regulatory standpoint, PMJDY demonstrates that insurance inclusion cannot be viewed in isolation. It depends on broader systems of identity, payment access, documentation and financial literacy. Insurance becomes more accessible when it is embedded within a wider ecosystem of formal financial participation.

However, PMJDY-linked insurance also raises concerns about passive enrolment, poor awareness and uneven servicing. Thus, while financial inclusion policies have strengthened the logistical base of micro-insurance, legal attention must remain focused on substantive consumer protection and comprehension.

4.5 Integration with Social Security Framework

Micro-insurance in India increasingly operates at the intersection of market regulation and social security policy.[821] This is both necessary and desirable because low-income populations often experience insurance not as a commercial product choice but as part of a broader survival and welfare ecosystem.

The integration of micro-insurance with pensions, welfare databases, social assistance

[818] International Labour Organization, Women and Men in the Informal Economy: A Statistical Picture (3d ed. 2018).
[819] National Health Authority, Government of India, Ayushman Bharat–Pradhan Mantri Jan Arogya Yojana (PM-JAY).
[820] Reserve Bank of India, Financial Inclusion in India: Journey So Far and Way Forward (2020).
[821] Jean Drèze & Amartya Sen, An Uncertain Glory: India and Its Contradictions (Princeton Univ. Press 2013).

schemes, health entitlements and labour protections can strengthen its effectiveness. However, such integration also creates legal complexity. Different schemes may fall under different ministries, statutes, regulators or administrative frameworks. This can lead to overlap, confusion and accountability gaps.

Therefore, the future of micro-insurance in India lies not merely in expanding standalone products but in developing a coherent social protection architecture in which insurance plays a clearly regulated and consumer-responsive role.

5. Consumer Protection and Policyholder Safeguards

5.1 Rights of Micro-Insurance Policyholders

The rights of micro-insurance policyholders must be understood not only as contractual entitlements but as inclusion-sensitive consumer rights.[822] These include the right to fair disclosure, the right to suitable products, the right to receive policy documents, the right to timely claim settlement, the right to non-discriminatory treatment and the right to accessible grievance redressal.[823]

In practice, these rights are often undermined by information asymmetry, procedural opacity and intermediary dominance. Therefore, consumer rights in micro-insurance require proactive regulatory enforcement rather than passive formal recognition.

5.2 Grievance Redressal Mechanisms (IRDAI and Ombudsman)

Grievance redressal is central to policyholder confidence. India has multiple grievance mechanisms, including insurer-level grievance cells, IRDAI's integrated grievance systems and the Insurance Ombudsman framework. These mechanisms are important, but their accessibility for low-income consumers remains uneven.

For micro-insurance policyholders, barriers such as language, travel cost, digital access and legal unfamiliarity often reduce the practical usability of redressal forums. Thus, the legal existence of grievance mechanisms does not automatically ensure effective justice.

5.3 Transparency and Disclosure Requirements

Transparency is essential to fairness in insurance contracting. In micro-insurance, transparency must be measured by actual comprehension rather than formal documentation alone. Policies should be explained in simple language, key exclusions must be highlighted and consumers should clearly understand claim requirements and renewal conditions.

A disclosure regime that relies only on written forms may reproduce inequality rather than remedy it. Therefore, legal transparency in micro-insurance must be user-oriented and context-sensitive.

5.4 Protection Against Mis-selling and Fraud

Mis-selling is one of the most serious threats to the legitimacy of micro-insurance. Consumers may be sold inappropriate products, misinformed about benefits or enrolled without genuine consent. Fraud can also occur through fake enrolments, premium diversion, forged claims or intermediary misconduct.

Because low-income consumers often rely heavily on trust-based transactions, they are especially vulnerable to misrepresentation. Therefore, regulatory safeguards against mis-selling and fraud are indispensable to the integrity of the micro-insurance system.

6. Challenges in the Existing Legal and Regulatory Framework

6.1 Regulatory Complexity and Compliance Burden

One of the central paradoxes of micro-insurance regulation in India is that a product intended to simplify financial protection for the poor often exists within a highly complex

[822] Consumer Protection Act, No. 35 of 2019, India Code.
[823] Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority of India (Protection of Policyholders' Interests, Operations and Allied Matters of Insurers) Regulations, 2024, Gazette of India.

regulatory ecosystem.[824] Insurers must navigate multiple statutes, IRDAI regulations, product approval norms, distribution rules, policyholder protection requirements, outsourcing standards, data obligations and anti-fraud compliance mechanisms. While many of these are justified from a supervisory perspective, the cumulative effect can be to make micro-insurance administratively unattractive for insurers, especially when compared to more profitable conventional insurance segments.

This complexity has two consequences. First, insurers may treat micro-insurance as a compliance obligation rather than a strategic product category, resulting in low innovation and weak servicing. Second, the compliance burden may indirectly be passed on to consumers through rigid documentation, inflexible processes and over-standardized procedures that are poorly suited to low-income populations.

The legal challenge, therefore, is to strike a balance between regulatory discipline and inclusion-sensitive flexibility. Excessive simplification can create consumer risk, but excessive formalism can make inclusion impossible. A more proportionate and differentiated regulatory approach is necessary, especially for low-ticket, low-risk products targeted at vulnerable populations.

6.2 Limited Awareness and Accessibility Issues

One of the most persistent barriers to micro-insurance in India is not merely affordability but awareness.[825] A substantial number of low-income households remain either unaware of available insurance options or insufficiently informed about how such products work. This lack of awareness operates at multiple levels. Many potential policyholders do not understand the concept of insurance itself, viewing premium payment as a loss unless an immediate return is visible. Others may be aware of a scheme's existence but not know the risks covered, the duration of coverage, the claim process or the renewal conditions.

Accessibility problems further compound this issue. Insurance services are often physically distant, procedurally complex and linguistically inaccessible. In rural and remote areas, the absence of nearby service points means that policyholders must depend on intermediaries or travel to branches for enrolment, document submission or claims assistance. In urban informal settlements, migrant workers may face identity mismatches, mobility barriers or lack of continuity in financial records.

The legal and regulatory framework has not fully internalized the fact that accessibility is not merely about product availability but about functional usability. A product cannot be considered "accessible" simply because it exists in the market or is technically open to enrolment. Genuine access requires comprehension, trust, ease of use and continuity of service. Therefore, limited awareness and accessibility remain structural barriers that law must address through mandatory outreach, localized servicing, vernacular communication and stronger accountability for customer education.

6.3 Gaps in Consumer Protection

Despite the presence of policyholder protection regulations and grievance redressal mechanisms, significant gaps remain in the protection of micro-insurance consumers. These gaps arise not necessarily because law is absent, but because existing protections are often designed for conventional insurance consumers and fail to account for the vulnerabilities of low-income populations.

One major gap is the weak enforceability of informed consent. In many micro-insurance transactions, consumers are enrolled through group mechanisms, banking channels, self-help groups or bundled products. While this improves outreach, it can undermine comprehension. Consumers may not know

[824] Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority of India, Annual Report 2023–24, at 146–55 (2024).
[825] National Council of Applied Economic Research, Financial Inclusion and Insurance Awareness in Rural India 22–29 (relevant edition).

whether enrolment is voluntary, what risks are excluded or how claims are to be filed. The formal existence of a signed form or digital consent record does not necessarily reflect substantive understanding.

Another gap lies in claims justice. Even where regulations require timely claim settlement, poor families may struggle to navigate the evidentiary requirements. The burden of collecting certificates, hospital records, police reports or death documentation often falls on already distressed households. Delays and rejections in such cases can have severe welfare consequences.

Further, grievance mechanisms, though formally available, are often inaccessible in practice due to literacy, language, travel, digital access or procedural unfamiliarity. Therefore, the consumer protection regime for micro-insurance remains underdeveloped in substantive terms. The law has yet to fully recognize that low-income policyholders require not merely equal treatment, but equitable treatment based on their distinct vulnerabilities.

6.4 Distribution and Infrastructure Constraints

Distribution remains one of the most formidable challenges in micro-insurance governance. Although India has made significant progress in expanding banking and digital networks, the infrastructure for last-mile insurance servicing remains uneven. Distribution constraints include lack of trained agents, inadequate presence in remote areas, poor after-sales servicing, weak coordination between insurers and intermediaries, and insufficient claims support infrastructure.

The legal framework permits a variety of distribution channels, including micro-insurance agents and institutional intermediaries. However, permission alone does not guarantee effectiveness. Many intermediaries are not sufficiently trained in insurance law, product explanation or claims facilitation. Their role may be limited to enrolment rather than sustained servicing. This creates a serious problem because micro-insurance policyholders often rely heavily on these intermediaries for information and assistance.

Infrastructure constraints are also technological and administrative. Policy records may not be updated properly, nominee information may be incomplete, premium auto-debit may fail due to dormant accounts, and policyholders may not receive confirmations or renewal reminders. These breakdowns disproportionately affect low-income consumers because they have less capacity to independently verify and correct errors.

Thus, distribution and infrastructure must be understood as legal-regulatory concerns, not merely operational inconveniences. If the state and regulator aim to promote financial inclusion through insurance, then the infrastructure of service delivery must itself become a subject of regulatory accountability.

6.5 Overlapping Regulatory Jurisdiction

Micro-insurance in India often falls at the intersection of multiple regulatory and administrative domains.[826] Insurance products are regulated by IRDAI, but distribution may involve banks regulated by the Reserve Bank of India, cooperatives governed by state laws, self-help groups linked to rural development agencies, digital platforms subject to information technology and data governance norms, and public schemes administered by different ministries. This creates a fragmented governance environment.

Overlapping jurisdiction can lead to confusion over accountability, especially where things go wrong. For example, if a policyholder enrolled through a bank-linked scheme faces a claim issue, it may be unclear whether the insurer, the bank, the business correspondent or the scheme administrator is responsible for the breakdown. Similarly, where health protection

[826] Jean Drèze & Amartya Sen, An Uncertain Glory: India and Its Contradictions (Princeton Univ. Press 2013).

INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW [IJLR – IF SCORE – 7.58]

VOLUME 6 AND ISSUE 4 OF 2026

APIS – 3920 - 0001 *(and)* ISSN - 2583-2344

Published by

Institute of Legal Education

https://iledu.in

overlaps with public health schemes, private insurance regulation and welfare administration may not be coherently aligned.

Such fragmentation is not merely bureaucratic; it has direct implications for consumer justice. A low-income policyholder cannot be expected to navigate complex institutional boundaries to determine who is responsible for a denial or delay. Therefore, overlapping jurisdiction weakens effective accountability and can produce systemic gaps in enforcement.

A stronger legal architecture for micro-insurance requires clearer institutional coordination, shared accountability norms and integrated grievance pathways so that policyholders are not trapped in administrative ambiguity.

6.6 Digital Divide and Technological Barriers

Digitalization has been widely celebrated as a solution for financial inclusion, including insurance inclusion.[827] Aadhaar-based authentication, mobile banking, auto-debit systems, online claims submission, digital KYC and app-based policy servicing have indeed reduced some transaction costs and expanded reach. However, in the context of micro-insurance, digitalization is a double-edged sword.

For many low-income populations, especially elderly persons, rural households, women with limited device access, migrant labourers and low-literacy users, digital systems can create new forms of exclusion. A person may be technically enrolled but unable to access policy details, track premiums, update nominee information or file claims digitally. Failed OTP verification, dormant mobile numbers, account seeding issues, biometric mismatch or language barriers can disrupt access at critical moments.

The digital divide also raises deeper legal concerns about procedural fairness and inclusion. If insurance servicing becomes predominantly digital without adequate offline alternatives, then digital architecture may effectively become a gatekeeping mechanism. In such a scenario, technological efficiency for institutions may come at the cost of substantive justice for vulnerable consumers.

Therefore, the legal and regulatory framework must treat digitalization not as an unqualified good but as a tool that requires safeguards. Digital inclusion must be accompanied by assisted access, offline alternatives, multilingual interfaces, human support and accountability for technology-related exclusion.

Conclusion

Micro-insurance occupies a uniquely important position in the architecture of financial inclusion in India. It is not merely a low-cost insurance product but a socio-economic protection mechanism for populations that remain structurally vulnerable to risk and largely outside formal social security systems. In a country where illness, accident, death, crop loss and livelihood shocks can rapidly translate into long-term poverty, micro-insurance has the potential to serve as an instrument of resilience, dignity and economic citizenship.

India has made substantial progress in creating a legal and policy framework for micro-insurance. The Insurance Act, 1938 and the IRDA Act, 1999 provide the foundational statutory architecture, while the IRDAI Micro-Insurance Regulations of 2005 and 2015 represent important efforts to adapt insurance governance to low-income populations. Government initiatives such as PMJJBY, PMSBY, Ayushman Bharat and PMJDY have further expanded the reach of low-cost protection mechanisms and strengthened the infrastructure of inclusion.

Yet, the analysis in this paper shows that the existence of a framework does not automatically guarantee substantive inclusion. The legal and regulatory regime continues to face serious barriers. These include regulatory complexity, weak awareness, poor consumer

[827] Reserve Bank of India, Report on Trends and Progress of Banking in India 2023–24, ch. on Digital Financial Inclusion.

comprehension, claims difficulties, infrastructural limitations, fragmented accountability, distribution constraints and digital exclusion. Many of these barriers arise from a deeper structural problem: the legal system often treats micro-insurance as a simplified extension of conventional insurance rather than as a distinct protective framework requiring context-sensitive regulation.

If micro-insurance is to function effectively as a tool of financial inclusion, India must move from formal inclusion to substantive inclusion. This requires not only wider enrolment but meaningful access, informed participation, fair claims, strong grievance redressal and trustworthy delivery systems. The future of micro-insurance regulation must therefore be guided by three core principles: accessibility, accountability and equity.

First, accessibility requires that products be simple, relevant, affordable and physically, digitally and linguistically usable. Second, accountability requires clear responsibility across insurers, intermediaries, banks and public institutions, along with stronger enforcement against mis-selling and unfair practices. Third, equity requires that regulation recognize the distinctive vulnerabilities of low-income policyholders and design safeguards accordingly.

Ultimately, micro-insurance should not be understood merely as a market innovation but as a legal and policy instrument of social justice.[828] Its regulation must therefore be evaluated not only by commercial viability or numerical coverage, but by its capacity to protect those for whom a single financial shock can mean the difference between temporary hardship and enduring poverty. In that sense, the future of micro-insurance in India is inseparable from the broader constitutional and developmental commitment to inclusive growth and welfare-oriented governance.

References / Bibliography

a. Statutes / Legislations

1. **Aadhaar (Targeted Delivery of Financial and Other Subsidies, Benefits and Services) Act, 2016**, No. 18 of 2016, India Code.
2. **Banking Regulation Act, 1949**, No. 10 of 1949, India Code.
3. **Consumer Protection Act, 2019**, No. 35 of 2019, India Code.
4. **Insurance Act, 1938**, No. 4 of 1938, India Code.
5. **Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority Act, 1999**, No. 41 of 1999, India Code.
6. **Reserve Bank of India Act, 1934**, No. 2 of 1934, India Code.

b. Rules / Regulations / Regulatory Instruments

7. **Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority (Micro Insurance) Regulations, 2005**, Gazette of India, Extraordinary, Part III, sec. 4 (Nov. 10, 2005).
8. **Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority of India (Micro Insurance) Regulations, 2015**, Gazette of India, Extraordinary, Part III, sec. 4 (Nov. 13, 2015).
9. **Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority of India (Obligations of Insurers to Rural and Social Sectors) Regulations, 2015**, Gazette of India.
10. **Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority of India (Protection of Policyholders' Interests, Operations and Allied Matters of Insurers) Regulations, 2024**, Gazette of India.
11. **Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority of India (Use and File of Insurance Products) Regulations, 2024**, Gazette of India.

[828] India Const. pmbl.; India Const. arts. 38, 39, 41, 47.

12. **Insurance Ombudsman Rules, 2017**, Gazette of India, Extraordinary, Part II, sec. 3(ii) (Apr. 25, 2017).

13. **Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority of India, Master Circular on Protection of Policyholders' Interests** (latest applicable version).

14. **Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority of India, Fraud Monitoring Framework / Applicable Anti-Fraud Directions** (latest applicable version).

c. Constitutional Provisions

15. **India Constitution**, Preamble.

16. **India Constitution**, arts. 38, 39, 41, 47.

d. Government Schemes / Policy Documents

17. **Ayushman Bharat—Pradhan Mantri Jan Arogya Yojana (PM-JAY)**, National Health Authority, Government of India.

18. **Pradhan Mantri Jan Dhan Yojana (PMJDY)**, Department of Financial Services, Ministry of Finance, Government of India.

19. **Pradhan Mantri Jeevan Jyoti Bima Yojana (PMJJBY)**, Ministry of Finance, Government of India, Operational Guidelines (2015).

20. **Pradhan Mantri Suraksha Bima Yojana (PMSBY)**, Ministry of Finance, Government of India, Operational Guidelines (2015).

21. **Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority of India, Bima Trinity / Insurance for All by 2047 Policy Materials** (as applicable).

e. Case Law

22. **Carter v. Boehm**, (1766) 97 Eng. Rep. 1162 (KB).

This is useful in your paper for the doctrine of **utmost good faith**, disclosure, and insurer-insured relationship.

f. Books

23. **Churchill, Craig ed.**, *Protecting the Poor: A Microinsurance Compendium* (International Labour Organization 2006).

24. **Churchill, Craig & Matul, Michal eds.**, *Protecting the Poor: A Microinsurance Compendium* (2d ed. International Labour Organization 2012).

25. **Drèze, Jean & Sen, Amartya**, *An Uncertain Glory: India and Its Contradictions* (Princeton University Press 2013).

26. **Stiglitz, Joseph E.**, *Economics of the Public Sector* (3d ed. W.W. Norton & Co. 2000).

g. Reports / Institutional Publications

27. **Asli Demirgüç-Kunt et al.**, *The Global Findex Database 2021: Financial Inclusion, Digital Payments, and Resilience in the Age of COVID-19* (World Bank 2022).

28. **Committee on Financial Inclusion**, *Report of the Committee on Financial Inclusion* (Reserve Bank of India 2008).

29. **International Labour Organization**, *Women and Men in the Informal Economy: A Statistical Picture* (3d ed. 2018).

30. **National Council of Applied Economic Research**, *Financial Inclusion and Insurance Awareness in Rural India* (relevant edition).

31. **NITI Aayog**, *India's Booming Gig and Platform Economy* (2022).

32. **Reserve Bank of India**, *Financial Inclusion in India: Journey So Far and Way Forward* (2020).

33. **Reserve Bank of India**, *Report of the Committee on Medium-term Path on Financial Inclusion* (2015).

34. **Reserve Bank of India**, *Report of the Technical Group on Financial Inclusion and Financial Literacy* (2015).

35. **Reserve Bank of India**, *Report on Trends and Progress of Banking in India 2023–24.*

36. **Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority of India**, *Annual Report 2023–24* (2024).

37. **World Bank**, *Poverty and Shared Prosperity Report 2022* (2022).

38. **World Bank**, *World Development Report 2014: Risk and Opportunity—Managing Risk for Development* (2013).

39. **World Health Organization**, *Global Monitoring Report on Financial Protection in Health* (2021).

h. Journal Articles / Scholarly Writings

40. **Morduch, Jonathan**, *The Microinsurance Promise*, 32 *World Development* 1221 (2004).

41. **Saha, Sabyasachi & Sengupta, Rajeswari**, *Financial Inclusion, Insurance and Social Protection in India*, 55 *Economic & Political Weekly* 44 (2020).

i. Official / Institutional Websites

42. **Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority of India (IRDAI)**, https://www.irdai.gov.in

43. **National Health Authority (PM-JAY)**, https://pmjay.gov.in

44. **Department of Financial Services, Ministry of Finance, Government of India**, https://financialservices.gov.in

45. **Reserve Bank of India (RBI)**, https://www.rbi.org.in

46. **World Bank**, https://www.worldbank.org

47. **International Labour Organization (ILO)**, https://www.ilo.org

48. **NITI Aayog**, https://www.niti.gov.in