INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW [IJLR – IF SCORE – 7.58]

VOLUME 6 AND ISSUE 2 OF 2026

APIS – 3920 - 0001 *(and)* ISSN - 2583-2344

Published by

Institute of Legal Education

https://iledu.in

COPYRIGHT ISSUES FOR AI-GENERATED WORKS

AUTHOR - HARSH JEWEL, STUDENT AT SCHOOL OF LAW, CHRIST (DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY), BANGALORE

BEST CITATION – HARSH JEWEL, COPYRIGHT ISSUES FOR AI-GENERATED WORKS, *INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW (IJLR)*, 6 (2) OF 2026, PG. 681-686, APIS – 3920 – 0001 & ISSN - 2583-2344

ABSTRACT:

Artificial intelligence (AI) is developing at a rapid pace, which has resulted in the creation of AI-generated works in a variety of creative fields. This has created complex legal issues related to copyright. This abstract looks at the complex problems related to AI-generated material copyright protection. Because AI-generated works are defined by their creation through autonomous algorithms, they raise questions about authorship, originality, and ownership that contradict traditional copyright norms. This abstract discusses the main difficulties in determining the "author" or creator of AI-generated content and evaluating its originality, as well as the intricacies of copyright law in handling the distinctive character of AI-generated products. It also explores case studies and legal precedents that show how difficult it is for legislators and courts to modify conventional copyright laws to allow AI-generated works.Potential remedies, such as amendments to current copyright laws and the creation of attribution and ownership guidelines, are taken into consideration. There is also discussion of ethical issues regarding creating a balance between the rights of AI developers and creators and the advancement of innovation within a controlled framework. The objective of this abstract is to clarify the complex relationship that exists between AI technology and copyright law, highlighting the necessity of a flexible and complex legal framework to deal with the rapidly changing field of AI-generated works.

This abstract addresses major issues and the need for a flexible and complex legal framework to accommodate these developments. It offers a brief overview of the difficulties presented by AI-generated works inside the copyright system.

KEYWORDS: Artificial Intelligence, Copyright, Authorship, Originality, Ownership, Intellectual Property Rights

I. INTRODUCTION

Artificial intelligence(AI) has grown in importance in the modern era since it is now required for the majority of technology applications. AI's entry into a wide range of industries, including healthcare, aviation, space exploration, education, and the entertainment sector (including games, movies, music, and art) has completely changed our way of life. In order to assure efficiency and eliminate errors, a tendency has developed in all countries to automate the majority of tasks and minimise human interaction. "The development of full artificial intelligence could lead to the end of the human race," according to a statement made by Professor Stephen Hawking. Additionally, he claimed that "it would take off on its own, and re-design itself at an ever increasing rate," meaning that "humans, who are limited by slow biological evolution, couldn't compete and would be replaced."

The fact that the Google AI system has developed to the point where it can produce a child of its own is remarkable. To "such a high level that it outperforms every other human-built AI system," the parent AI is training the

INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW [IJLR – IF SCORE – 7.58]
VOLUME 6 AND ISSUE 2 OF 2026
APIS – 3920 - 0001 *(and)* ISSN - 2583-2344
Published by
Institute of Legal Education
https://iledu.in

child AI. The parent AI, which serves as a controller, assesses the performance of the kid AI. The performance of the young AI is enhanced by the knowledge thus obtained. To increase the effectiveness and sophistication of the kid AI, this procedure is repeated thousands of times.

The world over has acknowledged AI's growing relevance in the fields of creativity and innovation. A new artificial intelligence system called GPT-3 was recently unveiled by the OpenAI artificial intelligence lab in the United States. It was designed to "learn the ins and outs of natural language by analysing thousands of digital books, the length and breadth of

Wikipedia, and nearly a trillion words posted to blogs, social media, and the rest of the internet." The system was trained for several months. In addition to writing poetry, the GPT-3 inter alia also "translates languages and even writes its own computer programs," answers trivia questions, summarises emails, and writes poetry. It is capable of handling various "human skills" and comprehending the "vagaries of human language.". In addition to the mentioned above, AI may listen to different recordings and create music, artwork, short novels, and local news items. Gaming also benefits greatly from AI.

AI has led to significant problems and difficulties for copyright law. This article explores the role artificial intelligence plays in creating artistic, musical, and poetic works, among other forms of artistic expression. The paper will also go over the problems with deepfakes and authorship in AI-generated content.

II. ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE

The term "Artificial Intelligence" was coined by John McCarthy in 1956.

As of right now, the term "artificial intelligence" has no legal definition. "The ability of machines to do things that people would say require intelligence" is one definition of "artificial intelligence." "The science of making computers do things that require intelligence when done by humans" is how Ray Kurzweil characterised artificial intelligence in 1990. The

"ability of machines to perform cognitive tasks like thinking, perceiving, learning, problem-solving, and decision-making" is the standard definition of artificial intelligence (AI). The three categories of AI systems identified by WIPO are – (i) "expert (or knowledge-base) systems"; (ii) "perception systems"; and (iii) "natural language systems".

AI is a field with numerous subfields, "such as machine learning, robotics, language processing, and deep learning," and should not be viewed as a single technology. Hence, "deep learning" and "machine learning" are two subcategories of AI. A built-in algorithm in the computer software, for machine learning purposes, "allows it to learn from data input, and to evolve and make future decisions," either on its own or in accordance with instructions. Put another way, by using the programmer's inputs as a guide, machine learning algorithms create new content on their own, independent of the programmer.The majority of AI examples, including "computers that play chess to self-driving cars," are evidently significantly dependent on "deep learning" and "natural language processing."

Artificial intelligence can be used to create two types of creative works: "AI-generated" work and "AI-assisted" work. The term "generated autonomously by AI" also refers to works that are produced by AI without the need for human input. AI may "change its behaviour during operation to respond to unanticipated information or events" in this type of job, which could result in work that wasn't planned or expected. Conversely, the "AI-assisted" works are produced with a great deal of human involvement.

III. UNDERSTANDING AI-GENERATED WORKS

Understanding artificial intelligence (AI)-generated art is essential to understanding the progression of creative expression made possible by AI. Artificial intelligence (AI)-

generated content is produced by sophisticated algorithms and machine learning models that are intended to produce material on their own, frequently mimicking human ingenuity. These pieces span a variety of media, such as visual art, music, literature, and even inventions.

1. Algorithmic Creation: AI-generated works stem from algorithms programmed to analyze and interpret vast datasets. These algorithms use deep learning, neural networks, and generative adversarial networks (GANs) among other techniques to produce content that either imitates or innovates upon preexisting patterns, styles, or attributes found in the dataset.

2. Learning from Data: AI systems pick up knowledge from large datasets by assimilating the nuances, patterns, and styles found in the input data. For example, an AI algorithm can produce paintings by researching thousands of previous works of art, imitating particular art movements, or even coming up with completely original and new styles.

3. Adaptive Creativity: AI is creative when it can integrate and adjust previously learnt pieces to create fresh, original content. These programs can create prose in the voice of a certain author, write music evocative of a genre, or mimic the style of a specific artist.

4. Human-AI Collaboration: It's becoming more and more typical for human creators to work with AI systems. AI tools are used by writers, singers, and artists to support their creative processes, allowing AI's skills to either improve or inspire their own work.

5. Legal and Ethical Implications: There are important legal and ethical issues brought up by the development of AI-generated works. As human authorship is frequently at the center of traditional copyright regimes, it can be difficult to assign ownership and rights to content created by artificial intelligence. Determining the novelty and originality of AI-generated works also calls into question long-standing ideas about creativity in the context of copyright law.

Understanding AI-generated works involves navigating the intricate intersection between technological innovation, creativity, legal frameworks, and ethical considerations. It necessitates a nuanced approach to appreciate the potential, challenges, and evolving landscape of content creation in the era of artificial intelligence.

IV. AI-GENERATED WORKS AND COPYRIGHT LAW

Since the 1970s, computer programs have been widely used to generate copyrighted works. The ownership of copyright was not a major issue for computer-generated works. The rationale for this was that computer programs were viewed as only instruments to facilitate creative endeavours, for which human involvement was necessary in order to get the desired results. These programs were similar to stationery in that they needed to be used by people in order to produce works. Now, everything is totally different. With artificial intelligence (AI) in place, computer programs are no longer only tools; they can now produce works on their own by making choices.

In a very short period of time, AI has the potential to generate a huge amount of labor with less effort. Because AI produces creative works, they can be eligible for copyright protection across all jurisdictions. It is possible to conclude that the condition of using "skill and judgement" in originality has been reached because of the "programming and parameter on which such AI actually compiles and creates the work."20 On the other hand, when AI generates the work, there won't be an author. Human intervention is present in AI-assisted works. Therefore, in the case of the latter, the person who caused the work to be created by using artificial intelligence may claim himself to be the author, but the same is not true where the work has been created by AI itself without any human intervention. The issue of authorship in such cases has puzzled all countries of the world. There can be three broad possibilities

with respect to the authorship issue – (i) the copyright system should recognize authorship for AI; (ii) there should be no authorship in AI-generated work and the work should fall into the "public domain"; and (iii) there should be sui generis law rather than copyright law to protect such works.

The author is encouraged to use his abilities, labour, and judgement to create more creative works by the copyright protection. "Human creativity" and "machine creativity" would be placed on an equal footing if AI was acknowledged as an author and its creations were shielded by copyright laws. On the other hand, it would imply that human creation is valued above machine creativity if AI-generated works were not covered by copyright laws. In the long run, human creativity is likely to be eliminated whether machine creativity is valued more highly than human ingenuity or if both are placed on an equal footing.

There may be a number of problems when AI is thought of as the author of the AI-generated item. Artificial intelligence may not provide perfect work. The AI may use biassed and toxic language which may result in defamation or obscenity; incite violence on the lines of caste, creed or religion; or produce any other undesired result. Since the AI has not been acknowledged as a person, it will be challenging to resolve its legal and criminal accountability in such a situation.. At the most such work may be deleted or in worst cases that AI software may be banned, but till then, it may be too late and irreparable damage might have been caused by that work. Another issue is that if the AI generated work happens to be "substantially similar" to an existing work which may have copyright, how will the AI be held as an infringer in such a case? Further, if AI is treated as an author, it will not be entitled to transfer ownership in the work, in absence of personhood.

Furthermore, AI will find it challenging to protect the author's rights under copyright law and to bargain for royalties with third parties. It will be difficult to make AI the author of the work because doing so is likely to cause more problems than it will solve.

V. CHALLENGES IN COPYRIGHTS AI-GENERATED WORKS

As AI technology develops, copyright regulations governing content created by AI face a number of issues. These challenges arise from the unique nature of AI-generated works and the current legal framework, which was not designed to deal with such situations. The following are some of the main challenges:

1. Ownership of the work: According to copyright act law, traditional copyright law is based on the idea that since people create original works, they should have the right to copyright protection. However, when AI systems create creative works autonomously without direct human interaction, the boundaries of authorship are blurred, raising questions about who should own the copyright.

2. Creativity Requirement: Generally, works that exhibit a particular level of creativity and originality are granted copyright protection. It can be challenging to determine whether a work that was entirely produced by a machine satisfies the requirements for copyright protection, even though AI algorithms may produce information that does not fall under this category.

3. Identifying Infringement: As AI systems generate vast amounts of content, it becomes more challenging to identify instances of copyright infringement. The creation of new techniques and instruments to identify unauthorised usage may be necessary for the monitoring and enforcement of copyrights for works created by AI.

AI-generated content and AI as an author are not specifically defined in the Indian Copyright Act, 1957. Original works of authorship, such as literary, artistic, musical, and theatrical works, are protected in India by copyright laws. A work's creator or author usually receives copyright, which gives them the only authority

INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW [IJLR – IF SCORE – 7.58]
VOLUME 6 AND ISSUE 2 OF 2026
APIS – 3920 - 0001 *(and)* ISSN - 2583-2344
Published by
Institute of Legal Education
https://iledu.in

to duplicate, distribute, exhibit, and perform the work. Since AI-generated content does not have a human author in the traditional sense, determining copyright ownership and affirming it as copyright content can be challenging.

VI.POTENTIAL SOLUTIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

1. Redefining Authorship:

i. Shared Authorship: Acknowledge AI and people as coauthors; in order to be eligible for copyright protection, there must be a minimal amount of human input. This might entail developing standards for gauging human contribution, such prompting, editing, and directing the creative process.

ii.Legal Attribution Framework: Create a structure that allows authorship to be assigned to AI models, possibly using a special designation or identification. Transparency would be facilitated, appropriate credits could be made, and possible legal accountability may be allowed.

iii. Human Oversight Mechanisms: Put in place procedures for human oversight of AI development to make sure that the work produced complies with moral and legal requirements. Clear ethical norms for developers and users, algorithmic audits, and review boards may all be part of this.

2. Fair Use for AI Training Data:

i. Extending the Scope of Fair Use: Allow the use of copyrighted material under certain conditions, such as transformative use, limited copying, and educational purposes. This will broaden the definition of fair use for AI training data. This would safeguard copyright holders' rights and give legal stability to AI developers.

ii.Data Licensing Models: Develop standardised licensing models for datasets used in AI training, making them easily accessible and affordable for developers. Based on usage restrictions and the type of data, these models might provide tiers of access.

iii.Copyright Filters and Other Data Sources: Include potentially infringing data in training datasets by identifying and flagging it using AI-powered copyright filters. Promote the creation and application of substitute data sources, like open-access databases and methods for creating synthetic data.

3. Transparent Licensing for AI-Generated Works:

i. Standardised Licensing Frameworks: Create frameworks that are standardised and explicitly specify ownership, usage rights, and attribution obligations for works created by artificial intelligence. These frameworks ought to be flexible enough to accommodate the various types of AI-generated content, including text, audio, and visuals.

ii. Open-Source Licensing Models: Promote the use of open-source licensing models for artificial intelligence (AI)-generated works to foster innovation and teamwork while guaranteeing just pay for artists. This could entail templates such as Creative Commons licensing that include non-commercial and precise attribution requirements.

iii.Blockchain-Powered Copyright Management: Examine how blockchain technology might be used to monitor and control who owns the copyright to works created by artificial intelligence. This might offer a transparent and safe approach for licensing and rights management.

4. International Harmonization of Copyright Laws:

i. Global Copyright Treaties: Promote international agreements and treaties that harmonize copyright regulations among jurisdictions, taking into account the global nature of artificial intelligence and guaranteeing equitable treatment for producers and consumers everywhere.

ii. Cross-border Collaboration: To exchange best practices and create cohesive answers to the problems with AI copyright, promote cooperation between international

copyright organisations, legal professionals, and legislators.

iii. Education and Public Awareness: Educate and raise public awareness of the copyright concerns pertaining to works created by AI. Campaigns for education, training courses, and easily available materials for producers, consumers, and attorneys could all be part of this.

Through the implementation of these potential solutions and recommendations, we can effectively negotiate the intricate legal terrain of AI copyright and secure a future in which intellectual property rights, creativity, and innovation coexist peacefully.

VII. CONCLUSION

Artificial intelligence will play a bigger and bigger part in every aspect of our daily life. Its uses must be governed by the law. Artificial Intelligence will remain a crucial component of intellectual property rights, especially copyright. The copyright community is under pressure to come up with a workable solution for all nations due to the authorship and ownership difficulties surrounding AI-generated works. Every regulation has its own shortcomings, and there is no perfect guideline to deal with this problem. Giving non-human authorship to AI-generated works will have serious consequences. It's also a bad idea to release AI-generated works into the public domain because this will deter AI programmers and the companies that own the AI from making additional investments in the field. The WIPO is making a lot of effort to resolve these problems. The sui generis system would be a preferable choice, or this problem might be resolved by certain clauses in the copyright laws of the nations that are especially designed for artificial intelligence and works created by AI. In any event, human creativity need to be valued above that of machines, and AI-generated works ought to receive less protection. Thus, it is imperative that we adopt a balanced strategy now.

References :

1.https://www.arenesslaw.com

2.https://www.ili.ac.in

3.LU, Y. (2024). AI-Generated Content and Its Legal Status Under Copyright Law. Journal of Education, Humanities and Social Sciences.

4.Sun, F. (2025). Research on the Copyright Ownership of AI-generated Works. Lecture Notes in Education Psychology and Public Media.

5.Gaffar, H., & Albarashdi, S. (2025). Copyright Protection for AI-Generated Works: Exploring Originality and Ownership in a Digital Landscape. Asian Journal of International Law.

6.Generative AI Copyright Disclosure Act (2024). U.S. Congress.

7.Zarya of the Dawn copyright case (2023). U.S. Copyright Office.

8.Cranfield University Library. (2025). AI and copyright – AI and Generative AI.