

ONLINE DISPUTE RESOLUTION IN INDIA: A COMPREHENSIVE ANALYSIS OF ITS LEGAL, TECHNOLOGICAL, AND JUDICIAL FRAMEWORK

AUTHOR – ADV. PRAYAG SHAM SASANE, LLM II YEAR, DES'S SNFLC, PUNE

BEST CITATION – ADV. PRAYAG SHAM SASANE, ONLINE DISPUTE RESOLUTION IN INDIA: A COMPREHENSIVE ANALYSIS OF ITS LEGAL, TECHNOLOGICAL, AND JUDICIAL FRAMEWORK, *INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW (IJLR)*, 6 (2) OF 2026, PG. 16-26, APIS – 3920 – 0001 & ISSN – 2583-2344.

I. Introduction

1.1. Context and The Imperative for Change

The Indian legal system is currently grappling with an immense and well-documented burden of pending cases, which stands as a significant impediment to both the efficient delivery of justice and the nation's economic progress.¹ With over 3 crore cases pending in Indian courts, this figure is projected to exceed 15 crore by 2050 if the current rate of disposal and new filings persists.³ This staggering backlog is not merely a statistical anomaly; it is a fundamental challenge to the constitutional right to property and the human right to dignity, and it actively erodes the credibility of constitutional governance.³ The delays in dispute resolution can also hinder economic reforms and deter foreign investment, as businesses are wary of protracted litigation.³

In this context, Online Dispute Resolution (ODR) has emerged as a strategic imperative, representing a systemic response to this national crisis.⁵ ODR is more than a simple technological upgrade; it is a transformative policy initiative designed to create a parallel, highly efficient ecosystem for dispute resolution. The primary objective is to "contain" disputes before they escalate and enter the formal court system, thereby easing the burden on the judiciary and serving as a critical component of India's broader economic revival strategy.⁵ This report provides a comprehensive analysis of ODR, examining its foundational principles, legal underpinnings, judicial endorsement, and the critical challenges that must be addressed for its widespread and equitable adoption.

II. Defining Online Dispute Resolution (ODR): A Paradigm Shift

2.1. ODR as an Evolution of ADR

Online Dispute Resolution is an innovative branch of dispute resolution that leverages technology to facilitate the resolution of disputes between parties.¹ It is frequently regarded as the online equivalent of Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR), as it fundamentally incorporates the same three primary out-of-court mechanisms: negotiation, mediation, and arbitration.¹ However, this characterization only captures a part of ODR's essence. The defining feature of ODR is its origin from the "synergy between ADR and ICT," or Information and

Communication Technology.¹ This synergy allows ODR to transcend the geographical and logistical limitations of traditional dispute resolution, making the process faster, more accessible, and more convenient.⁸ ODR is not simply about replicating offline procedures in a digital space; it is about creating a fundamentally different medium for dispute resolution, one that is designed to be streamlined from initial filing to final settlement while upholding due process principles.¹

2.2. The Nature of ODR and the "Fourth Party"

The distinguishing element of ODR is the central role of technology. Commentators have conceptualized technology's role as the "fourth

party" in the dispute, an independent input that provides a structured and often automated environment for the resolution process.⁷ This "fourth party" can manage case submissions, facilitate document sharing, and even handle aspects of the dispute without significant manual intervention, a marked evolution from early manual, email-based systems.¹⁰ The ODR process is flexible and can be customized to the specific needs of the parties, integrating various non-traditional processes and even artificial intelligence (AI).⁸

The mechanisms of dispute resolution within ODR include:

- **Online Negotiation:** In its simplest form, this process involves a direct, out-of-court exchange of views and proposals between parties. This can be supported by technology, such as automated "blind-bidding" services designed to facilitate economic settlements for claims where liability is not contested.¹
- **Online Mediation and Conciliation:** In this non-binding process, a neutral third party (mediator or conciliator) assists the disputing parties in reaching a mutually agreeable solution.¹¹ A key distinction is that a conciliator may propose solutions for the parties to consider, while a mediator primarily facilitates communication to enable the parties to craft their own settlement.⁷
- **Online Arbitration:** Similar to traditional litigation, this is an adjudicatory process where a neutral arbitrator (or a panel) renders a final, legally binding decision based on evidence and arguments presented virtually.¹ The awards issued from this process are enforceable under the law.¹¹

ODR is particularly well-suited for resolving high-volume, low-value disputes, as well as those of medium value.³ The range of issues it can address is extensive, from consumer and commercial conflicts to workplace and tenancy

disputes.¹¹ The gradual shift from rudimentary, human-assisted digital processes to more sophisticated, AI-driven, and automated solutions represents a profound change in the very nature of conflict resolution. It raises critical questions about how to maintain judicial sanctity and ensure due process when parts of the system are automated and potentially opaque.

III. The Origins and Evolution of ODR

3.1. Global Genesis

The origins of ODR are inextricably linked to the advent of the internet in the 1990s and the subsequent proliferation of e-commerce.⁸ As online transactions and cross-border interactions became commonplace, a need arose for a dispute resolution mechanism that could address the issues of distance, jurisdiction, and the low value of many digital-origin disputes.¹⁵ Early pioneers in this space included academic projects like the Virtual Magistrate (1995) and the University of Massachusetts Online Ombudsman Office (1996), which offered online arbitration and mediation for issues arising from online conduct.¹

A significant turning point occurred with the private sector's adoption of the concept. In 1999, eBay launched a pilot project to provide online dispute resolution services for conflicts between buyers and sellers on its platform.⁸ The immense scalability of this model was demonstrated by its success, as it was handling over sixty million disputes annually by 2010.⁸ The global evolution of ODR can be broadly categorized into three phases: a pioneering phase led by private initiatives like eBay, a subsequent boom of ODR startups, and the current phase of formal adoption by governments and judicial systems.⁸ This trajectory reveals that governments and judiciaries did not spontaneously embrace ODR; instead, they adopted a strategic, evidence-based approach, influenced by the proven success of these private-sector models and the global push by international bodies like

UNCITRAL.¹³

3.2. A Timeline of ODR in India

India's ODR journey is characterized by a series of legislative and institutional milestones, showcasing a deliberate, phased approach toward digital justice.

- **1996:** The Arbitration and Conciliation Act (ACA), based on the UNCITRAL Model Law, was enacted. While not explicitly mentioning online proceedings, its flexible principles laid the foundational legal framework for out-of-court dispute resolution that ODR would later leverage.¹³
- **2000:** The Information Technology Act (ITA) was enacted, providing formal and legal recognition to e-commerce and e-governance. It granted legal recognition to electronic records and digital signatures, establishing the crucial legal validity of digital transactions and communications.¹
- **2006:** The National Internet Exchange of India (NIXI) adopted the .IN domain name Dispute Resolution Policy (INDRP), one of the earliest public-sector examples of ODR in India.¹³
- **2019-2020:** The Consumer Protection Act, 2019, and the associated E-Commerce Rules, 2020, were introduced. This framework marked a direct legislative endorsement of ODR by enabling e-filing and virtual hearings and obliging online marketplaces to establish formal grievance redressal mechanisms.²
- **2020:** At the peak of the COVID-19 crisis, NITI Aayog, the premier policy think tank of the Government of India, constituted a committee on ODR chaired by Justice (Retd.) A.K. Sikri. This was a direct policy response to the pandemic, which had brought the traditional justice system to a near standstill.⁶
- **2021:** The NITI Aayog committee released its report, Designing the Future of Dispute Resolution: The ODR Policy Plan for India.²

This landmark document provided a comprehensive roadmap for ODR adoption and signaled a major commitment from the government to use technology for justice delivery.⁶

The timing of the NITI Aayog report, initiated and released during the pandemic, indicates that the COVID-19 crisis served as a powerful catalyst for ODR's mainstreaming. It forced a nationwide shift to virtual proceedings and accelerated a process that might have otherwise taken years, forging a causal link between a public health crisis and a legal-technological revolution.

IV. Legal Framework and Statutory Recognition

The legal standing of ODR in India is not derived from a single, dedicated statute but from the cumulative effect of a mosaic of existing laws.³ This approach, described as a "light touch" regulatory model by the NITI Aayog report, allows for rapid innovation while still providing a robust legal foundation.⁶

4.1. The Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996

The ACA provides the primary legal framework for out-of-court dispute resolution.¹² While it does not explicitly mention "online," its core principles implicitly support ODR. The Act recognizes the autonomy of the parties to an arbitration agreement, allowing them the flexibility to determine the procedure for dispute resolution.¹⁵ This interpretation has been affirmed by judicial precedents that have upheld the validity of arbitration agreements exchanged via electronic means.¹⁵ Importantly, arbitration awards issued through ODR platforms are legally binding and enforceable under the ACA, making them equivalent to court decrees.¹¹

4.2. The Information Technology Act, 2000, and the Indian Evidence Act, 1872

The ITA, 2000, is a foundational statute for ODR, as it confers "formal and legal recognition" upon electronic communications, transactions, and signatures.¹ This legislative recognition ensures that electronic records and contracts hold the

same legal weight as their physical counterparts.¹⁹ The Indian Evidence Act (IEA), 1872, complements this framework, particularly through Section 65B, which allows electronic records and signatures to be introduced as evidence in the legal system.¹⁵ This legislative pair provides the fundamental basis for the evidentiary and transactional validity of ODR proceedings, ensuring that digital submissions and agreements are admissible in court and can be legally enforced.

4.3. The Code of Civil Procedure, 1908

The Code of Civil Procedure (CPC) provides a statutory gateway for the court-annexed adoption of ODR. Section 89 of the CPC and Order X Rule 1A explicitly empower courts to direct parties to a suit to choose an ADR method to settle their disputes.¹⁵ This provision has been interpreted to encompass ODR, providing a direct link between the formal court system and technology-assisted dispute resolution.¹⁵

4.4. The Consumer Protection Act, 2019, and the E-Commerce Rules, 2020

This legislative framework provides a direct and

sector-specific legal mandate for ODR. The Consumer Protection Act, 2019 (CPA), enabled the e-filing of consumer complaints and the conduct of hearings via video conferencing, thereby allowing consumers to pursue disputes without being physically present.² Furthermore, the Consumer Protection (E-Commerce) Rules, 2020, imposed a legal duty on online marketplaces and retailers to establish "robust online grievance redressal mechanisms".² This framework makes ODR not just an option but a legal duty for e-commerce businesses to facilitate, representing a strategic trend of sector-specific regulation.

The legal framework for ODR in India demonstrates a strategic legislative approach. The absence of a single, comprehensive ODR law is not a weakness but a reflection of India's adaptability, where existing statutes are holistically interpreted to provide the necessary legal backing. This phased, sector-specific implementation approach, beginning with high-volume, tech-savvy industries like e-commerce, creates a model for other sectors.

Table 1: Indian Legal Framework for ODR

Act Name	Relevant Provision(s)	Significance for ODR
The Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996	Sections 7, 31, 34	Validates online arbitration agreements and awards, making them legally binding and enforceable. ¹¹
The Information Technology Act, 2000	Sections 4, 5, 10A	Grants legal recognition to electronic records, signatures, and contracts, forming the foundational basis for ODR's evidentiary validity. ¹
The Indian Evidence Act, 1872	Section 65B	Allows for the admissibility of electronic evidence in court, ensuring the integrity of digital documents and records used in ODR. ¹⁵

The Code of Civil Procedure, 1908	Section 89, Order X Rule 1A	Promotes the use of Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) and provides a statutory gateway for the court-annexed adoption of ODR. ¹⁵
The Consumer Protection Act, 2019	E-filing and video conferencing provisions	Directly mandates and facilitates ODR for consumer grievances, making it a legal duty for e-commerce platforms to provide. ²

V. Scope and Use Cases of ODR in India

The transformative promise of ODR is being realized across a wide range of industries in India, driven by the acute need for efficiency and cost-effectiveness.¹ While it is particularly suitable for "small- and medium-value cases" and "high-volume, low-value disputes," its applicability is not limited to conflicts that originate online.³ ODR can also be effectively used for "brick and mortar" disputes, where the resolution process is simply moved online to take advantage of the convenience and speed of the digital medium.⁷

5.1. Sectoral Adoption

- **E-commerce and Consumer Disputes:** This sector is arguably the largest adopter of ODR in India. The rapid growth of e-commerce has led to a surge in disputes over issues like delayed deliveries, refunds, and fraudulent listings.² ODR provides a scalable and efficient solution for major platforms such as Amazon and Flipkart to handle these high-volume cases, thereby reducing chargebacks and enhancing customer trust without overburdening traditional consumer courts.²
- **Banking and Financial Services:** ODR has proven to be a crucial tool in the financial sector, where it is used for disputes related to loan recovery, EMI defaults, credit card

billing errors, and unauthorized transactions.¹⁰ ODR platforms have successfully resolved financial disputes in a matter of weeks, providing a much-needed alternative to lengthy court battles for both financial institutions and their customers.⁴

- **The Securities Market:** The Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI) has pioneered a public-sector ODR platform, the SMART ODR Portal, to resolve disputes between investors and market participants.²⁵ This platform provides a structured, two-level resolution process that mandates online conciliation first, followed by online arbitration if conciliation fails. This demonstrates a clear public-sector commitment to ODR and provides a robust, institutional model for other industries to follow.²⁵
- **Other use cases:** The scope of ODR extends beyond these major sectors. It is also being adopted to resolve workplace conflicts, property or tenancy issues, and insurance claims.¹¹ In some instances, it is even being used for non-contentious family and matrimonial matters like mutual divorce and alimony negotiation, where privacy and a less adversarial environment are paramount.⁴

VI. Landmark Judgments and Judicial Precedents

The Indian judiciary has played a crucial and proactive role in validating and advancing ODR by interpreting existing laws to accommodate digital proceedings. These judicial pronouncements have built a strong body of precedents that grant ODR legal legitimacy and public trust.

6.1. Validation of Electronic and Virtual Proceedings

A foundational precedent for ODR was established by the Supreme Court in the landmark case of *State of Maharashtra vs. Dr. Praful B. Desai*.¹⁵ The court ruled that video conferencing is a permissible method for recording evidence and that physical presence is not a prerequisite for legal proceedings when an effective consultation can be achieved through electronic media.¹⁵ This judgment was a pivotal moment, as it effectively provided judicial sanction for virtual hearings and paved the way for the broader adoption of ODR practices.¹⁶

6.2. Enforceability of Electronic Arbitration Agreements and Awards

Indian courts have consistently upheld the validity of arbitration agreements and awards exchanged through electronic means.¹⁵ A significant recent example is a Delhi High Court ruling that affirmed that the delivery of a scanned, signed arbitral award via email is a valid delivery as stipulated under Section 31(5) of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996.²⁶ The court emphasized that "The law has to keep

its pace in tandem with the developing technology," a clear endorsement of the legal standing of electronic delivery methods in arbitration proceedings.²⁶

6.3. Institutional Arbitration and the Unilateral Appointment of Arbitrators

The Supreme Court's decision in *Kotak Mahindra Bank Ltd. vs Narendra Kumar Prajapat* is a significant judicial precedent for the ODR ecosystem.²⁶ The court upheld the ineligibility of a unilaterally appointed arbitrator, reinforcing a clear legal principle established in earlier rulings like

TRF Ltd. and Perkins Eastman.²⁶ This judicial stance against unilateral appointments creates a demand for an alternative that ensures fairness and impartiality. ODR platforms are well-positioned to meet this demand by using technology to provide a neutral and structured process for arbitrator selection, thus solving a fundamental legal challenge and demonstrating a symbiotic relationship between judicial precedent and ODR innovation.²⁶

The judiciary is actively shaping the ODR ecosystem by enforcing core principles of fairness and due process, which will be essential for building public trust in these new systems. The court's willingness to modify arbitral awards under certain circumstances, as seen in a recent 2024 judgment, further demonstrates this active supervisory role over the evolving ODR landscape.²⁷

Table 2: Key Judicial Precedents on ODR

Case Name	Citation	Legal Principle Established	Relevance to ODR
<i>State of Maharashtra vs. Dr. Praful B. Desai</i>	2003 4 SCC 601	Video conferencing is a permissible method for recording evidence in legal proceedings. ¹⁶	Provided the foundational precedent for the validity of virtual hearings and submissions in

			ODR. ¹⁵
<i>Kotak Mahindra Bank Ltd. vs Narendra Kumar Prajapat</i>	Special Leave Petition dismissed on 12.12.2023	Unilateral appointment of a sole arbitrator is void and a nullity, in line with precedents set by <i>TRF Ltd. and Perkins Eastman</i> . ²⁶	Reinforces the demand for ODR institutions that can provide a neutral, technology-driven solution for fair arbitrator selection. ²⁶
Delhi High Court ruling (case not named in source)	Judgement dated August 23, 2023	The delivery of a scanned, signed copy of an arbitral award via email is a valid form of delivery under the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996. ²⁶	Affirms the legal standing of electronic communication in the arbitration process, streamlining proceedings and reducing logistical burdens. ²⁶

VII. Benefits and Challenges of ODR Implementation

7.1. Advantages of ODR

ODR presents a compelling alternative to traditional litigation, offering significant benefits that address the systemic issues plaguing the Indian justice system.

- **Cost-Effectiveness:** ODR is substantially cheaper than conventional litigation.³ It eliminates the need for parties to travel or rent physical facilities, and it can drastically reduce legal costs and indirect expenses associated with protracted court battles.⁸ This makes justice more accessible to small companies, startups, and individuals with lower incomes who find traditional litigation too costly.⁹
- **Time Efficiency:** The efficiency of ODR is one of its most potent advantages. While conventional court cases can take months or years to resolve, ODR procedures are significantly faster, with some disputes

being settled in as little as 45 to 90 days.⁹ The successful resolution of over 500,000 cases by ODR platforms in India by early 2024 demonstrates its scalability and effectiveness in reducing the judicial backlog.⁴

- **Accessibility and Convenience:** ODR enables parties to resolve disputes from anywhere in the world with an internet connection, effectively overcoming geographical barriers.³ This is particularly beneficial for cross-border disputes, people with mobility issues, and individuals living in remote locations.⁸ The flexibility of communication and scheduling makes the process more convenient and user-friendly for all involved.¹¹

7.2. Challenges and Legal Gaps

Despite its promise, the widespread adoption of ODR in India faces significant hurdles that require a coordinated, multi-stakeholder approach.

- **The Digital Divide:** This is arguably the most significant structural challenge. For ODR to be truly accessible, it requires a robust technological infrastructure and widespread digital literacy.²³ However, data indicates a profound digital divide, with rural internet penetration at only 32.24% compared to an urban penetration rate of 99.12%.²³ Additionally, there are significant literacy gaps across demographics, with individuals over 40 years old making up only 15% of internet users in India, and women constituting only one-third of all internet users.²³ This unequal access threatens to exacerbate existing inequalities in justice delivery.²³
- **Lack of Awareness and Behavioral Hurdles:** Low public confidence and a lack of awareness about ODR processes are major behavioral challenges that hinder its adoption.³ Litigants and businesses remain hesitant to trust technology for conflict resolution, restricting the application of ODR in sectors with huge potential, such as Micro, Small, and Medium Enterprises (MSMEs).²³
- **Data Security and Privacy Concerns:** ODR platforms manage highly sensitive personal and commercial data, making them vulnerable to data breaches, cybersecurity threats, and the tampering of digital evidence.²² While India's Digital Personal Data Protection Act, 2023, sets a new standard for data security, many platforms are still in the process of aligning with these norms.²²
- **Enforceability and Regulatory Gaps:** While arbitral awards are legally binding, there is uncertainty regarding the enforcement of settlements reached through mediation that are not formally registered.²³ The absence of a comprehensive regulatory framework for ODR platforms also raises questions about the platform's neutrality, the impartiality of its neutrals, and the process of accreditation and oversight.²²

The central paradox of ODR is that while it promises to make justice accessible, the digital divide threatens to exclude the very communities who are most marginalized and could benefit most from its convenience. The NITI Aayog report's recommendations for a "phased implementation framework" and a "light touch" regulatory approach are a direct response to this dilemma, seeking to balance rapid innovation with the crucial need for equitable access.⁶

VIII. Conclusion and Future Outlook

The analysis indicates that Online Dispute Resolution is a multifaceted, technology-driven solution for addressing India's judicial crisis. Its legal validity is firmly established through a cohesive mosaic of existing statutes, including the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996; the Information Technology Act, 2000; and the Consumer Protection Act, 2019.⁹ This legislative foundation is reinforced by a growing body of judicial precedents, with the Supreme Court actively validating virtual proceedings and upholding the integrity of electronic agreements and awards.¹⁵ ODR offers immense benefits in terms of cost-effectiveness, time efficiency, and accessibility, as evidenced by its successful adoption in high-volume sectors like e-commerce, banking, and the securities market.⁴

The future of ODR in India is promising, with the market projected to grow at a compound annual growth rate (CAGR) of 30% from 2023 to 2027.⁴ This growth positions ODR to become a default dispute resolution mechanism for a wide range of disputes, from fintech to family law.³⁰ However, the full potential of ODR hinges on overcoming significant structural and behavioral challenges, most notably the digital divide, lack of public awareness, and concerns over data security and regulatory oversight.²²

The roadmap for the future is clearly outlined in the NITI Aayog report, which recommends a multi-pronged approach that addresses these challenges at three levels: structural, behavioral, and regulatory.⁶ This involves increasing digital

literacy and infrastructure, promoting the adoption of ODR by government ministries, and adopting a "soft-touch" regulatory model that encourages self-regulation and innovation.⁶ The integration of new technologies like AI, blockchain, and smart contracts will further revolutionize the ecosystem, leading to a more streamlined and equitable system for resolving disputes.¹⁰ Ultimately, the success of ODR will depend on a collaborative effort between the judiciary, government, private platforms, and the public to bridge the existing gaps and ensure that the promise of accessible, affordable, and timely digital justice is realized for every citizen.

Works cited

1. Online dispute resolution in India - Santaniello & Partners, accessed on September 21, 2025, <http://www.legalsl.com/en/online-dispute-resolution-in-india.htm>
2. ODR IN E-COMMERCE AND CONSUMER DISPUTES: ROLE OF PLATFORMS AND LEGAL GAPS - THE LAWWAY WITH LAWYERS JOURNAL, accessed on September 21, 2025, <https://thelawwaywithlawyers.com/odr-in-e-commerce-and-consumer-disputes-role-of-platforms-and-legal-gaps/>
3. Online Dispute Resolution: A Concept Note - Presolv360, accessed on September 21, 2025, <https://presolv360.com/resources/concept-note-on-odr/>
4. Top ODR Platforms in India- A Guide - Online Legal India, accessed on September 21, 2025, <https://www.onlinelegalindia.com/blogs/top-odr-platforms-in-india/>
5. Scope of ODR In India - VIA Mediation Centre, accessed on September 21, 2025, <https://viamediationcentre.org/readnews/OTEy/Scope-of-ODR-In-India>
6. NITI Aayog Pushes for Online Dispute Resolution for Speedy Access to Justice - PIB, accessed on September 21, 2025, <https://www.pib.gov.in/PressReleasePage.aspx?PRID=1776202>
7. Online dispute resolution - Wikipedia, accessed on September 21, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Online_dispute_resolution
8. The Rise of Online Dispute Resolution (ODR) in India - Juris Centre, accessed on September 21, 2025, <https://juriscentre.com/2024/02/14/the-rise-of-online-dispute-resolution-odr-in-india/>
9. Online Dispute Resolution (ODR): Meaning, Benefits, Challenges ..., accessed on September 21, 2025, <https://thelegalschool.in/blog/online-dispute-resolution>
10. The rise of online dispute resolution from early days to AI-powered solutions, accessed on September 21, 2025, <https://etedge-insights.com/technology/artificial-intelligence/the-rise-of-online-dispute-resolution-from-early-days-to-ai-powered-solutions/>
11. Online Dispute Resolution (ODR): Meaning, Process, Advantages, accessed on September 21, 2025, <https://www.onlinelegalindia.com/blogs/online-dispute-resolution/>
12. Online Dispute Resolution (ODR) in India: Process, Platforms & Legal Validity - Corpbiz, accessed on September 21, 2025, <https://corpbiz.io/online-dispute-resolution>
13. Online Dispute Resolution: Origin, Benefits, Challenges & Impact on IAS Exam - Testbook, accessed on September 21, 2025, <https://testbook.com/ias-preparation/online-dispute-resolution-india>
14. Online Dispute Resolution (ODR) | AAA -

- American Arbitration Association, accessed on September 21, 2025, <https://www.adr.org/odr/>
15. Online Dispute Resolution - Construction Industry Arbitration ..., accessed on September 21, 2025, <http://www.ciac.in/april2018/ODR%20PPT%20-%20Mr%20Ratan%20Singh.pdf>
 16. "ODR (ONLINE DISPUTE RESOLUTION)" - Karnika Seth, accessed on September 21, 2025, <https://www.karnikaseth.com/wp-content/uploads/ODR-CIAC%20conference%20paper.pdf>
 17. (PDF) LEGAL ASPECTS OF ONLINE ARBITRATION IN INDIA: FACT OR FALLACY, accessed on September 21, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/394040805_LEGAL_ASPECTS_OF_ONLINE_ARBITRATION_IN_INDIA_FACT_OR_FALLACY
 18. testbook.com, accessed on September 21, 2025, <https://testbook.com/ias-preparation/online-dispute-resolution-india#:~:text=ODR%20in%20India&text=This%20Model%20Law%20has%20been,Arbitration%20and%20Conciliation%20Act%2C%201996%20.>
 19. Online Dispute Resolution (ODR) in E-Commerce: Effectiveness and Challenges, accessed on September 21, 2025, <https://www.vintagelegalvl.com/post/online-dispute-resolution-odr-in-e-commerce-effectiveness-and-challenges>
 20. Revolutionizing Justice: NITI Aayog's ODR Blueprint for India - NLIU Law Review, accessed on September 21, 2025, <https://nliulawreview.nliu.ac.in/blog/revolutionizing-justice-niti-aayogs-odr-blueprint-for-india/>
 21. Designing the future of dispute resolution The ODR policy plan for India - NITI Aayog Library, accessed on September 21, 2025, [https://library.niti.gov.in/cgi-bin/koha/opac-](https://library.niti.gov.in/cgi-bin/koha/opac-detail.pl?biblionumber=86826&shelfbrowse_itemnumber=104060)
 22. Emergence of ODR Platforms in ADR: Legal Challenges Ahead, accessed on September 21, 2025, <https://www.legaljourney.in/post/emergence-of-odr-platforms-in-adr-legal-challenges-ahead>
 23. ONLINE DISPUTE RESOLUTION- Challenges & Recommendations ..., accessed on September 21, 2025, <https://juristsjunction.wordpress.com/2024/05/01/online-dispute-resolution-challenges-recommendations/>
 24. A COMPREHENSIVE ANALYSIS Prayansh Garhwal* ABSTRACT Online Dispute Resolution (ODR) - Journal of Legal Research and Juridical Sciences, accessed on September 21, 2025, <https://jlrs.com/wp-content/uploads/2024/09/174.-Prayansh-Garhwal.pdf>
 25. Online Dispute Resolution Panel - NSE India, accessed on September 21, 2025, <https://www.nseindia.com/complaints/online-dispute-resolution>
 26. Institutional Arbitration through Online Dispute Resolution (ODR), accessed on September 21, 2025, <https://presolv360.com/resources/institutional-arbitration-through-online-dispute-resolution-odr-platform/>
 27. Landmark Judgment Summaries - Supreme Court of India, accessed on September 21, 2025, <https://www.sci.gov.in/landmark-judgment-summaries/>
 28. Advantages and Disadvantages of Online Dispute Resolution (ODR) - The Legal School, accessed on September 21, 2025, <https://thelegalschool.in/blog/pros-and-cons-online-dispute-resolution>
 29. The Future of Dispute Resolution - Drishti IAS, accessed on September 21, 2025,

<https://www.drishtias.com/summary-of-important-reports/the-future-of-dispute-resolution>

30. www.onlinelegalindia.com, accessed on September 21, 2025, <https://www.onlinelegalindia.com/blogs/top-odr-platforms-in-india/#:~:text=The%20Future%20of%20ODR%20in,the%20click%20of%20a%20button.>

