



INDIAN JOURNAL OF
LEGAL REVIEW

VOLUME 6 AND ISSUE 1 OF 2026

INSTITUTE OF LEGAL EDUCATION



INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW

APIS – 3920 – 0001 | ISSN – 2583-2344

(Open Access Journal)

Journal's Home Page – <https://ijlr.iledu.in/>

Journal's Editorial Page – <https://ijlr.iledu.in/editorial-board/>

Volume 6 and Issue 1 of 2026 (Access Full Issue on – <https://ijlr.iledu.in/volume-6-and-issue-1-of-2026/>)

Publisher

Prasanna S,

Chairman of Institute of Legal Education

No. 08, Arul Nagar, Seera Thoppu,

Maudhanda Kurichi, Srirangam,

Tiruchirappalli – 620102

Phone : +91 73059 14348 – info@iledu.in / Chairman@iledu.in



ILE Publication House is the
**India's Largest
Scholarly Publisher**

© Institute of Legal Education

Copyright Disclaimer: All rights are reserved with Institute of Legal Education. No part of the material published on this website (Articles or Research Papers including those published in this journal) may be reproduced, distributed, or transmitted in any form or by any means, including photocopying, recording, or other electronic or mechanical methods, without the prior written permission of the publisher. For more details refer <https://ijlr.iledu.in/terms-and-condition/>

CROSS-BORDER DATA TRANSFERS AND AI ANALYTICS: CHALLENGES UNDER EMERGING GLOBAL PRIVACY REGIMES

AUTHOR – DR. RAJEEV KUMAR SINGH* & GAUTAM GUPTA**

* ASSISTANT PROFESSOR AT AMITY UNIVERSITY UTTAR PRADESH LUCKNOW CAMPUS

** STUDENT AT AMITY UNIVERSITY UTTAR PRADESH LUCKNOW CAMPUS

BEST CITATION – DR. RAJEEV KUMAR SINGH & GAUTAM GUPTA, CROSS-BORDER DATA TRANSFERS AND AI ANALYTICS: CHALLENGES UNDER EMERGING GLOBAL PRIVACY REGIMES, INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW (IJLR), 6 (1) OF 2026, PG.1219-1224, APIS – 3920 – 0001 & ISSN – 2583-2344. DOI – <https://doi.org/10.65393/IJLY2027>

Abstract

The growth of intelligence analytics is getting bigger and bigger and this is making a lot of data go back and forth across borders. Artificial intelligence systems need a lot of data that is updated all the time and this data often comes from many different countries.. Now there are new rules about privacy that are making it harder to move personal data around the world and this is causing a lot of confusion.

This paper looks at how artificial intelligence analytics and data that goes across borders work under the current rules about privacy. It examines the basics of who controls data what standards are good enough how to keep data safe when it is transferred and who is responsible for making sure data is handled correctly. The paper also looks at the problems that big companies and digital platforms are facing.

By comparing how different countries handle these issues the paper looks at the problems that come up when we try to balance ideas, economic growth and the right, to privacy. The paper says that we need to protect privacy. If we have too many different rules it could hurt the development of artificial intelligence and global digital trade.

The study suggests that we should have standards that're the same everywhere ways for companies to comply with the rules that work together and models of governance that are based on risk. This way we can protect privacy. Still make progress with technology.

In the end we need to have rules that work together to deal with the fact that data analytics can happen anywhere while still respecting the rules of each country and the rights of humans. We need to make sure that artificial intelligence is governed in a way that's sustainable. Artificial intelligence governance requires rules that work together across the world.

Keywords: Cross-Border Data Transfers, Artificial Intelligence (AI) Analytics, Global Privacy Regimes, Data Protection Compliance, Data Sovereignty, Data Localization, International Data Governance, Adequacy Decisions, Standard Contractual Clauses (SCCs), Algorithmic Accountability, Privacy-Enhancing Technologies (PETs), Human Rights and Data Protection, Regulatory Fragmentation, Digital Trade and AI, Transnational Data Regulation.

1. Introduction

Artificial intelligence analytics²⁷⁷⁴ relies fundamentally on data mobility. Machine learning systems require access to extensive datasets to train, validate, and refine predictive models. In practice, such datasets are rarely confined to a single jurisdiction; instead, they traverse national borders through cloud computing infrastructures, multinational corporate networks, and digital platforms. This transnational character of data flows presents significant regulatory challenges in an era marked by the proliferation of privacy-centric legal regimes.

Over the past decade, governments worldwide have strengthened data protection laws²⁷⁷⁵ in response to concerns regarding surveillance, profiling, and misuse of personal information. These regulatory frameworks increasingly condition or restrict cross-border data transfers unless specific safeguards are satisfied. As a result, organizations engaged in AI analytics must navigate a complex web of adequacy decisions, contractual clauses, data localization mandates, and accountability obligations.

The tension between cross-border data mobility and territorial regulatory authority lies at the heart of contemporary digital governance. On one hand, unrestricted data flows are critical to innovation, global commerce, and AI competitiveness. On the other, privacy protections are anchored in constitutional values, human dignity, and informational self-determination. This paper explores how emerging global privacy regimes attempt to balance these competing interests and assesses whether current legal structures are adequate for the realities of AI-driven analytics.

²⁷⁷⁴ Kakatkar, Chinmay, Volker Bilgram, and Johann Füller. "Innovation analytics: Leveraging artificial intelligence in the innovation process." *Business Horizons* 63.2 (2020): 171-181.

²⁷⁷⁵ Marune, Abraham Ethan Martupa Sahat, and Brandon Hartanto. "Strengthening personal data protection, cyber security, and improving public awareness in Indonesia: Progressive legal perspective." *International Journal of Business, Economics, and Social Development* 2.4 (2021): 143-152.

2. Regulatory Frameworks Governing Cross-Border Data Transfers

Cross-border data transfers are controlled by a mix of laws in each country regional rules and international agreements. The General Data Protection Regulation²⁷⁷⁶ or GDPR is very important. It sets strict rules for moving personal data out of the European Union. The GDPR says that data can only be transferred to a country that protects data well or if the company receiving the data follows rules like Standard Contractual Clauses or Binding Corporate Rules.

In India the new Digital Personal Data Protection Act of 2023 is more flexible. It allows data to be sent to other countries that the government says are okay. It also makes sure that companies handling data are responsible for what they do. The United States is different. It uses different laws for different industries and government agencies make sure these laws are followed.

These different approaches show that countries have priorities. The European Union cares a lot about human rights and makes companies follow rules even outside of Europe. Other countries care more about being flexible with businesses. For companies that use intelligence and work all over the world these different rules make it hard to follow the law and can lead to legal problems. Because there are no rules it is hard for companies to share data, which is necessary, for artificial intelligence research analyzing health data making financial models and keeping computers safe.

3. Challenges Posed by AI Analytics in Cross-Border Contexts

AI analytics intensifies traditional data protection concerns due to its scale, opacity, and predictive capabilities. First, AI systems frequently process sensitive and behavioral data to generate insights, increasing the risk of intrusive profiling. When such processing occurs

²⁷⁷⁶ Protection, Data. "General data protection regulation." Intersoft Consulting, Accessed in October 24.1 (2018).

across jurisdictions, questions arise regarding applicable law, supervisory authority, and remedies available to affected individuals.

Second, data localization requirements imposed by certain states may restrict transfers necessary for centralized AI model training. Localization can fragment datasets, reducing model accuracy and increasing operational costs. While intended to safeguard sovereignty and national security, such measures may inadvertently hinder global collaboration and innovation.

Third, automated decision-making systems often rely on continuous data inputs, including real-time user interactions. Ensuring lawful transfer mechanisms for dynamic and high-volume data flows is administratively burdensome. Furthermore, the invalidation of transfer mechanisms—such as adequacy arrangements—can abruptly disrupt transnational operations, exposing organizations to regulatory penalties.

Finally, AI's reliance on cloud infrastructure raises additional concerns. Data stored in one jurisdiction may be remotely accessed from another, complicating determinations of "transfer." These challenges illustrate that traditional regulatory tools may be insufficient for the fluid, decentralized architecture of AI analytics.

4. Emerging Jurisprudence and Global Developments

Judicial scrutiny²⁷⁷⁷ has significantly influenced cross-border data governance. In *Data Protection Commissioner v. Facebook Ireland Ltd and Maximilian Schrems (Schrems II)*²⁷⁷⁸, the Court of Justice of the European Union invalidated the EU-US Privacy Shield framework²⁷⁷⁹, holding that U.S. surveillance laws

failed to provide adequate protection equivalent to EU standards. The ruling underscored the primacy of fundamental rights in cross-border transfer assessments and imposed heightened due diligence obligations on data exporters.

Such decisions have global ripple effects, compelling multinational corporations to reassess contractual safeguards and surveillance risks in recipient countries. Similar constitutional challenges have emerged in other jurisdictions, reflecting growing judicial engagement with digital privacy.

At the multilateral level, organizations such as the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD)²⁷⁸⁰ promote interoperable privacy principles to facilitate trusted data flows. However, consensus remains limited, as geopolitical considerations increasingly shape digital policy. The interplay between national security, trade policy, and privacy rights continues to complicate efforts toward harmonization.

5. Policy Recommendations and the Way Forward

To reconcile cross-border data transfers with AI innovation, policymakers should adopt risk-based and interoperable governance models. First, harmonizing baseline privacy standards through international cooperation could reduce fragmentation while respecting national autonomy. Mutual recognition frameworks may offer a pragmatic path forward.

Second, enhanced transparency and accountability mechanisms should accompany AI-driven transfers. Data protection impact assessments, algorithmic audits, and independent oversight can mitigate risks associated with transnational analytics.

Third, technological solutions such as privacy-enhancing technologies (PETs)²⁷⁸¹, federated

²⁷⁷⁷ Fallon Jr, Richard H. "Strict judicial scrutiny." *UCLA L. rev.* 54 (2006): 1267.

²⁷⁷⁸ Aktipis, Michael S., and Ron B. Katwan. "Data protection commissioner v. facebook ireland ltd. and maximilian schrems (cjeu)." *International Legal Materials* 60.1 (2021): 53-98.

²⁷⁷⁹ Deckelboim, Sherri J. "Consumer privacy on an international scale: conflicting viewpoints underlying the EU-US Privacy shield framework and how the framework will impact privacy advocates, national security, and businesses." *Geo. J. Int'l L.* 48 (2016): 263.

²⁷⁸⁰ Woodward, Richard. *The organisation for economic co-operation and development (OECD)*. Routledge, 2009.

²⁷⁸¹ Cha, Shi-Cho, et al. "Privacy enhancing technologies in the Internet of Things: Perspectives and challenges." *IEEE Internet of Things Journal* 6.2 (2018): 2159-2187.

learning, and secure multi-party computation can minimize the need for raw data transfers while preserving analytical functionality. These approaches align data protection objectives with technological innovation.

Ultimately, sustainable governance of cross-border AI analytics requires balancing economic integration with the protection of individual rights. A collaborative, adaptive regulatory architecture—grounded in proportionality and global dialogue—offers the most viable pathway to ensuring that privacy and innovation advance together in the evolving digital landscape.

6. Data Sovereignty, Localization, and Geopolitical Tensions

The rise of Artificial Intelligence analytics has happened at the time as a growing focus on data sovereignty. This means that data created within a country should be controlled by that country's laws. Governments around the world are taking charge of data flows often saying it is because of security, economic development or digital self-reliance.

While these actions show that governments are concerned about their sovereignty they also make it harder for Artificial Intelligence systems to work properly. Artificial Intelligence systems rely on data from around the world.

Data localization rules say that certain types of sensitive data must be stored or processed within a country's borders. For people who develop Artificial Intelligence this can break up datasets. Limit access to different types of training data. This can make Artificial Intelligence models accurate and less fair. For example storing data locally can hurt the development of healthcare diagnostics or fraud detection systems that can be used globally. Also big companies have to set up infrastructure in different countries, which increases costs.

Tensions between countries make these problems worse. Different countries have ideas about how to regulate data, which creates

problems. In some cases data transfers are linked to trade negotiations, cybersecurity concerns and competition over technology. Artificial Intelligence has become a point of contention between countries, where data governance is used to influence economics and politics.

This changing situation might lead to a "splinternet" where the digital world is broken up into parts with different rules. For Artificial Intelligence analytics this would undermine the ability to scale up and work together on research. To deal with these tensions we need to talk about how to protect privacy and digital trade policy at the time making sure that countries do not accidentally hurt innovation and global cooperation in science by claiming sovereignty, over data.

7. Accountability, Ethics, and Human Rights in Transnational AI Analytics

Beyond regulatory compliance, cross-border AI analytics raises profound ethical and human rights considerations. So we have these rules to follow when it comes to intelligence but using artificial intelligence to analyze things across borders brings up a lot of big questions about what is right and wrong and how we treat people. When we send information to another country to use with artificial intelligence to make predictions and decisions it can really affect people's right to keep things private and be treated fairly.

The problem is that when this kind of thing happens in different countries it can be hard to figure out who is in charge and who is responsible. For example if an artificial intelligence system is trained in one country and uses information from another country to make decisions that affect people in a country it can get really confusing. This can make it hard to enforce laws. Protect people's privacy.

Also artificial intelligence systems can have biases because of the information they are trained on. If we send information across borders without being careful this can make

things even worse for people who are already treated unfairly. So we need to make sure that we have rules in place to ensure that artificial intelligence systems are fair and transparent.

We need to think about how to protect peoples rights when we are sending information across borders. Countries need to make sure that they are not spying on people in ways that are not allowed by their laws. More and more courts and regulators are checking to see if other countries have the protections for privacy that we do.

To make sure that people are treated fairly organizations should have systems in place to check how artificial intelligence is being used and to make sure that people can complain if they are treated unfairly. If we can make artificial intelligence systems fair and transparent we can prevent problems. Make people trust us more. We need to use intelligence in a way that is fair and good, for everyone and that is why artificial intelligence is so important.

Conclusion

The governance of cross-border data transfers in the context of AI analytics represents one of the most pressing challenges of contemporary digital law. The way we handle -border data transfers when it comes to AI analytics is a big problem in todays digital world. AI systems need a lot of kinds of data that is always changing but new privacy rules are making it harder to move this data around because they want to protect peoples basic rights. This is an issue because we are trying to balance the need for a global digital system with the need for each country to have its own rules.

As we can see the rules for handling data are not the same everywhere. This is causing problems for companies that work with AI. These companies have to deal with a lot of rules from ones that say data can be moved if the country it is going to has good enough privacy rules to ones that say data has to be stored in the country where it was made. This makes it

hard for companies to know what to do. Can lead to problems. Courts and governments are getting involved, which can make things even more unpredictable. At the time people are worried about things like being watched biased systems and who is responsible for making sure AI systems are fair. This means we need to make sure we have rules in place to protect peoples privacy when data is being moved across borders.

To make this work we need to have some rules that everyone agrees on ways for companies to show they are following these rules and new technologies that help protect peoples privacy. The people making the rules need to think about the risks and make sure they are not stopping technologies from being developed. We need countries to work together be open about what they're doing and make sure everyone is accountable.

In the end the future of AI analytics depends on how we can make the rules work. If we can make the rules fair and work with countries we can make sure that data can be moved across borders in a way that is legal and helps everyone. This means we need to balance the need for technologies with the need to protect peoples privacy and make sure countries are working together. AI analytics and the way we handle -border data transfers need to be done in a way that is fair and good, for everyone.

References

1. Kakatkar, Chinmay, Volker Bilgram, and Johann Füller. "Innovation analytics: Leveraging artificial intelligence in the innovation process." *Business Horizons* 63.2 (2020): 171-181.
2. Marune, Abraham Ethan Martupa Sahat, and Brandon Hartanto. "Strengthening personal data protection, cyber security, and improving public awareness in Indonesia: Progressive legal perspective." *International Journal of Business, Economics, and Social Development* 2.4 (2021): 143-152.

3. Protection, Data. "General data protection regulation." *Intersoft Consulting, Accessed in October 24.1 (2018)*.
4. Fallon Jr, Richard H. "Strict judicial scrutiny." *UCLA L. rev.* 54 (2006): 1267.
5. Aktipis, Michael S., and Ron B. Katwan. "Data protection commissioner v. facebook ireland ltd. and maximillian schrems (cjeu)." *International Legal Materials* 60.1 (2021): 53-98.
6. Deckelboim, Sherri J. "Consumer privacy on an international scale: conflicting viewpoints underlying the EU-US Privacy shield framework and how the framework will impact privacy advocates, national security, and businesses." *Geo. J. Int'l L.* 48 (2016): 263.
7. Woodward, Richard. *The organisation for economic co-operation and development (OECD)*. Routledge, 2009.

