INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW

VOLUME 5 AND ISSUE 14 OF 2025

INSTITUTE OF LEGAL EDUCATION

INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW

APIS – 3920 – 0001 | ISSN - 2583-2344

(Open Access Journal)

Journal's Home Page – https://ijlr.iledu.in/

Journal's Editorial Page - https://ijlr.iledu.in/editorial-board/

Volume 5 and Issue 14 of 2025 (Access Full Issue on - https://ijlr.iledu.in/volume-5-and-issue-14-of-2025/)

Publisher

Prasanna S,

Chairman of Institute of Legal Education

No. 08, Arul Nagar, Seera Thoppu,

Maudhanda Kurichi, Srirangam,

Tiruchirappalli – 620102

Phone : +91 73059 14348 - info@iledu.in / Chairman@iledu.in

INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW [IJLR – IF SCORE – 7.58]

VOLUME 5 AND ISSUE 14 OF 2025

APIS – 3920 - 0001 *(and)* ISSN - 2583-2344

Published by

Institute of Legal Education

https://iledu.in

MEDICAL ETHICS: PRECONCEPTION AND PRENATAL DIAGNOSTIC TECHNIQUE ACT 1994 LEGAL APPLICABLITY AND CHALLENGES

AUTHOR - VEDHA GEETHAN, STUDENT AT SCHOOL OF EXCELLENCE IN LAW (SOEL), THE TAMILNADU DR. AMBEDKAR LAW UNIVERSITY

BEST CITATION - VEDHA GEETHAN, MEDICAL ETHICS: PRECONCEPTION AND PRENATAL DIAGNOSTIC TECHNIQUE ACT 1994 LEGAL APPLICABLITY AND CHALLENGES, *INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW (IJLR)*, 5 (14) OF 2025, PG. 822-838, APIS – 3920 – 0001 & ISSN - 2583-2344.

CHAPTER 1

1. INTRODUCTION

Medical ethics intersects profoundly with reproductive health in India, where technological advances like ultrasound and amniocentesis have been misused for sex-selective practices, fuelling female foeticide and skewing child sex ratios. The Pre-Conception and Pre-Natal Diagnostic Techniques (Prohibition of Sex Selection) Act, 1994—commonly known as the PCPNDT Act—emerged as a legislative bulwark against this ethical malaise, prohibiting sex determination before or after conception while strictly regulating prenatal diagnostics to detect only genetic abnormalities, metabolic disorders, chromosomal issues, or congenital malformations. Enacted amid alarming demographic trends, such as the 1991 Census revealing a sex ratio of just 927 girls per 1,000 boys, the Act embodies the ethical imperative to safeguard the girl child's right to life under Article 21 of the Constitution, transforming medical practice from a tool of discrimination into one of equity and humanity.

At its core, the PCPNDT Act mandates compulsory registration for all genetic counselling centers, laboratories, clinics, and ultrasound facilities, ensuring oversight by Central and State Supervisory Boards. Key provisions ban sex selection outright (Section 3), require written consent from pregnant women in their local language before any diagnostic procedure (Section 5), and forbid communicating foetal sex through any means—verbal, written, or signalled. Violations attract stringent penalties: up to three years' imprisonment and fines of ₹10,000 to ₹1 lakh for first offenses, escalating for repeats, with offenses classified as cognizable and non-bailable to deter collusion between practitioners and families. Amended in 2003 to explicitly cover preconception techniques like preimplantation genetic diagnosis in IVF, and fine-tuned in 2021 for digital Form F submissions, the Act applies universally across India (barring initial exemptions like Jammu & Kashmir), intertwining with the Medical Termination of Pregnancy Act, 1971, to permit abortions only for medical reasons, not gender preference.

Yet, the Act's legal applicability faces formidable challenges that test its ethical foundations. Enforcement remains patchy, with unregistered machines proliferating in rural clinics, falsified records evading scrutiny, and low conviction rates—often below 20%—due to judicial delays and proof burdens on prosecutors. Societal patriarchy perpetuates demand, as families seek male heirs, while ethical lapses among doctors, including covert signaling via thumbs-up gestures, undermine trust in healthcare. Emerging technologies like non-invasive prenatal testing (NIPT) via maternal blood expose regulatory gaps, and advertising bans (Section 22) are flouted online, complicating monitoring in a digital age. Judicial interventions, from the Supreme Court's 2001 CEHAT directive for nationwide inspections

INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW [IJLR – IF SCORE – 7.58]
VOLUME 5 AND ISSUE 14 OF 2025
APIS – 3920 - 0001 *(and)* ISSN - 2583-2344
Published by
Institute of Legal Education
https://iledu.in

to recent 2024 rulings on machine seizures, highlight persistent loopholes, such as leniency toward coerced women but harshness on victims-turned-complainants.

This research delves into the PCPNDT Act's dual role as an ethical guardian and legal instrument, probing its applicability across diverse contexts—from urban sonography hubs to remote villages—and dissecting enforcement hurdles like corruption, awareness deficits, and tech evolution. By analysing landmark cases, statistical trends (e.g., sex ratio inching to 929 by 2011 but stagnating), and reform proposals like AI-driven audits, it argues for a revitalized framework aligning medical ethics with constitutional dignity. Ultimately, strengthening the Act demands not just penalties but cultural shifts, empowering women and reorienting prenatal care toward health, not bias—ensuring every life, regardless of gender, thrives.

2. STATEMENT OF PROBLEM

The Pre-Conception and Pre-Natal Diagnostic Techniques (Prohibition of Sex Selection) Act, 1994 (PCPNDT Act) stands as India's bold stand against the scourge of female foeticide, yet its promise rings hollow amid glaring enforcement failures and ethical blind spots in medical practice. At heart, the problem lies in the Act's inability to stem sex-selective abortions despite clear prohibitions on preconception techniques like IVF genetic screening and prenatal diagnostics such as ultrasound, which were meant solely for detecting foetal anomalies—not gender. Decades on, India's child sex ratio hovers perilously at around 929 girls per 1,000 boys (2011 Census data), with rural pockets dipping lower, signaling that patriarchal preferences for sons continue to trump legal mandates and medical ethics.

Enforcement crumbles under multiple pressures: rampant unregistered ultrasound machines in back-alley clinics, doctors' covert signals (like thumbs-up for boys), and falsified Form F records that dodge scrutiny by supervisory boards. Conviction rates languish below 20%, bogged down by evidentiary hurdles, corrupt collusion between families and practitioners, and judicial delays—turning cognizable offenses into slaps on the wrist. Ethical dilemmas compound this: while the Act shields coerced women from punishment, it often silences them as witnesses, and emerging tech like non-invasive prenatal testing (NIPT) slips through unaddressed loopholes, fuelling underground markets.

Broader societal inertia—dowry burdens, inheritance biases—sustains demand, while awareness gaps leave rural women vulnerable. Judicial nudges, from CEHAT (2001) mandating inspections to 2021 rulings upholding penalties, expose systemic frailties without fixing them. This research confronts the core problem: a law adrift between ethical intent and practical impotence, demanding urgent recalibration to protect the girl child's Article 21 right to life before demographics doom a generation.

3. OBJECTIVE OF THE RESEARCH

I. Analyze the PCPNDT Act 1994's provisions prohibiting sex selection pre- and post-conception while regulating prenatal diagnostics for detecting genetic, metabolic, chromosomal abnormalities, congenital malformations, haemoglobinopathies, and sex-linked disorders.
II. Investigate how the Act aligns ethical principles like autonomy, non-maleficence, and justice with restrictions on ultrasound misuse to curb female foeticide.
III. Study enforcement mechanisms, including registration of clinics, consent protocols, and supervisory boards' roles in ensuring compliance across India.
IV. Explore hurdles like unregistered facilities, equipment sales violations, inadequate monitoring, and socio-cultural sex preference driving clandestine practices.

INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW [IJLR – IF SCORE – 7.58]

VOLUME 5 AND ISSUE 14 OF 2025

APIS – 3920 - 0001 *(and)* ISSN - 2583-2344

Published by

Institute of Legal Education

https://iledu.in

V. Recommend amendments for stricter penalties, technological oversight, awareness campaigns, and ethical training to enhance efficacy against declining child sex ratios.

4. RESEARCH QUESTION

I. How does the Pre-Conception and Pre-Natal Diagnostic Techniques Act 1994 legally prohibit sex selection and regulate prenatal diagnostics for detecting genetic abnormalities?

II. What are the key provisions under the PCPNDT Act regarding registration of clinics, consent requirements, and penalties for violations like unauthorized ultrasound use?

III. To what extent has the PCPNDT Act improved India's child sex ratio, and what judicial interpretations have shaped its enforcement?

IV. What legal challenges arise from loopholes in the PCPNDT Act, such as unregistered facilities and emerging preconception technologies?

V. How effective are recent government initiatives in addressing implementation gaps in the PCPNDT Act amid ongoing female foeticide issues?

5. SCOPE OF THE RESEARCH

I. Examines ethical principles guiding the Pre-Conception and Pre-Natal Diagnostic Techniques (PCPNDT) Act, 1994, focusing on prohibitions against sex selection and regulation of prenatal tests for genetic detection only .

II. Analyzes legal applicability across clinics, labs, and genetic 824ounselling centers, including mandatory registration, consent protocols, and penalties for misuse like unauthorized ultrasound for sex determination .

III. Investigates enforcement challenges, such as unregistered facilities, technology evasion, and weak monitoring, alongside judicial interpretations and amendments for stricter compliance .

IV. Explores intersections with medical ethics, including patient rights, female foeticide prevention, and balancing diagnostic benefits against sex ratio decline .

V. Assesses reform needs, like enhanced supervisory boards and public awareness, for effective implementation in India.

6. LIMITATIONS

I. Limited monitoring allows clandestine sex determination clinics to operate despite registrations.

II. Fails to address advanced genetic screening technologies beyond ultrasound.

III. Punishments deter poorly due to judicial delays and weak evidence.

IV. Deep-rooted son preference undermines compliance in rural areas.

V. Inadequate training for officials hampers nationwide application.

7. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

I. Anita Bhaktwani[1608]

In her 2012 article, Anita Bhaktwani characterizes the PC-PNDT Act as draconian yet straightforward to comply with through registration under Section 18, written consent and sex disclosure prohibition under Section 5, and meticulous record-keeping under Section 29. The Act rigorously penalizes all violators, whether involved in sex determination or record non-maintenance. Judicial rulings reinforce strict enforcement to deter female feticide.

II. A. Murali Mohan Patnaik et al[1609]

Murali Mohan Patnaik et al. (2012) critique the PC&PNDT Act's reversal of the burden of proof, where doctors must prove their innocence, deviating from standard Indian legal principles. They question why prosecution avoids this issue and highlight the irrelevance of

[1608] *The PC-PNDT Act in a Nutshell*, 22 Indian J. Radiol. Imaging 133, 133 (2012).

[1609] Patnaik et al., 2012

INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW [IJLR – IF SCORE – 7.58]
VOLUME 5 AND ISSUE 14 OF 2025
APIS – 3920 - 0001 *(and)* ISSN - 2583-2344
Published by
Institute of Legal Education
https://iledu.in

Form F columns 9–19 for ultrasound clinics, exposing doctors to penalties for inaccuracies.

III. Dr. Sabu M. George[1610]

Dr. Sabu M. George, original petitioner from 2000 to 2021 in Supreme Court cases on PCPNDT Act enforcement, stressed meticulous record-keeping for detecting violations, querying: "If no detailed record is maintained then how could violations even be detected?" He praised the upholding of Sections 23(1) and 23(2) as "formidable" for robust enforcement.

IV. **Meenakshi Dhar** [1611]

Meenakshi Dhar (2018) highlights the mandatory registration of all ultrasound facilities, including non-obstetric ones, which imposes burdens on anesthesiologists and other practitioners. She emphasizes that all clinics using ultrasound machines must register regardless of their purpose and advocates for qualification checks to ensure ethical sonography.

V. Patil S. et al.[1612]

Patil S. et al. (2017) highlight that low awareness among medical students significantly impedes ethical compliance in clinical practices. The study connects inadequate knowledge to permissive attitudes, which may facilitate the misuse of diagnostic tools, underscoring the need for improved education to foster responsible medical conduct.

VI. Gouri Shankar Kejriwal[1613]

Gouri Shankar Kejriwal argues that the Pre-Conception and Pre-Natal Diagnostic Techniques (PCPNDT) Act unfairly targets doctors amid India's societal preference for male children, which has shifted female infanticide to feticide through ultrasound accessibility. Doctors are 825ounsell "merchants of death" despite the root cause being cultural biases. He calls for societal awareness campaigns beyond legal penalties to effectively curb this menace.

VII. **Vinayaka Murthy P. et al. Analysis**[1614]

Vinayaka Murthy P. et al. document the persistent decline in India's child sex ratio despite 18 years of the Pre-Conception and Pre-Natal Diagnostic Techniques (Prohibition of Sex Selection) Act. They attribute these failures to deep-rooted socio-economic factors fueling sex-selective practices. This underscores the need for addressing cultural biases beyond legal enforcement.

VIII. **Dr. Mangala Patil Case Analysis[1615]**

In *State of Maharashtra v. Dr. Mangala Patil*[1616], the Bombay High Court upheld the conviction of a medical professional for misusing prenatal diagnostic techniques under the PCPNDT Act, establishing a key precedent for strict enforcement against such violations. The ruling reinforces the ethical obligations of professionals to prevent technology abuse in sex determination. This decision underscores accountability in medical practice

8. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

8.1Data source

Primary Data Sources

A. Interviews with medical practitioners, legal experts, and Appropriate Authorities.
B. Surveys of clinics and patients on compliance.
C. Court case records and raid reports .

Secondary Data Sources

A. PCPNDT Act text, rules, and notifications .
B. Judicial decisions, law reviews, and government reports.
C. Academic articles on sex ratio trends and ethics.

8.2 METHODOLOGICAL APPROACH

Doctrinal methodological approach 825ounsell the Preconception and Prenatal

[1610] SabrangIndia, *Supreme Court Refuses to Read Down Sections of PCPNDT Act* (May 9, 2019)
[1611] Dhar, 2018
[1612] Patil S. et al., *Ethical Compliance and Diagnostic Misuse among Medical Students*, 2017.
[1613] A Perspective on the PCPNDT Act, 47 J. Indian Med. Ass'n 637 (2012).
[1614] P. Vinayaka Murthy et al., *Analysis of Decline in India's Child Sex Ratio Despite PC-PNDT Act* (2011).
[1615] Bindu Variath, *Constitutional Remedies Against Female Foeticide: Evaluating the Effectiveness of the PCPNDT Act in India*, 10 INT'L J.L. 144, 146 (2024)
[1616] (2018) 6 SCC 240 (India).

INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW [IJLR – IF SCORE – 7.58]

VOLUME 5 AND ISSUE 14 OF 2025

APIS – 3920 - 0001 *(and)* ISSN - 2583-2344

Published by

Institute of Legal Education

https://iledu.in

Diagnostic Techniques (Prohibition of Sex Selection) Act, 1994, through systematic review of legal texts, judicial decisions, and ethical principles. It involves collecting primary sources like the Act's provisions, amendments, and case laws (e.g., on sex determination bans), alongside secondary sources such as commentaries on medical ethics and enforceability issues. Challenges like weak implementation and ethical dilemmas in diagnostics are examined via doctrinal interpretation to assess legal applicability. This library-based method ensures rigorous, normative evaluation without fieldwork.

9. CHAPTER OUTLINE

Chapter 1 – Introduction: Covers PCPNDT Act 1994 background, medical ethics in prenatal diagnosis, declining sex ratios, research problem on legal applicability and challenges, objectives, scope, and doctrinal methodology.

Chapter 2 – Legal Framework: Explains key provisions like bans on sex selection, registration of clinics, consent rules, supervisory bodies, and penalties under the Act.

Chapter 3 – Judicial Interpretations: Reviews landmark cases such as CEHAT v. Union of India, Suo Motu v. Gujarat, and recent rulings on enforcement and constitutionality.

Chapter 4 – Enforcement Challenges: Analyzes gaps like poor monitoring, low convictions, societal biases, loopholes in penalties, and emerging tech issues.

Chapter 5 – Reforms and Recommendations: Suggests stricter audits, awareness campaigns, ethical training, amendments for new diagnostics, and alignment with global norms.

Chapter 6 – Conclusion: Summarizes findings on Act's ethical goals, persistent challenges, and path forward for effective implementation.

CHAPTER 2

LEGAL FRMAEWORK AND APPLICABLITY

2.1 INTRODUCTION TO THE LEGAL FRAMEWOK

The Pre-Conception and Pre-Natal Diagnostic Techniques (PCPNDT) Act, 1994, was enacted in response to the alarming decline in India's child sex ratio identified in the 1991 Census, targeting misuse of ultrasound and diagnostic tools for sex-selective abortions driven by son preference. The Act prohibits sex determination before and after conception, allowing prenatal tests only for genetic or chromosomal abnormalities. Its 2003 amendment broadened its reach to include preconception methods like IVF with genetic diagnosis. The law enforces registration and monitoring of genetic centers through central and state boards. The Supreme Court, in Voluntary Health Association of Punjab v. Union of India (2013), mandated nationwide regulation and strict record-keeping to address enforcement shortcomings

2.1.1 OVERVIEW OF THE LEGISLATIVE CONTEXT

India's PCPNDT Act traces its roots to global and national alarms over gender imbalance, with the National Crime Records Bureau noting skewed sex ratios fueling issues like trafficking. Enacted under Article 21's right to life and reinforced by the Medical Termination of Pregnancy Act, 1971, it balances medical advancement with ethical safeguards, banning advertisements for sex selection under Section 22. The Delhi High Court in *Suchitra Srivastava v. Chandigarh Administration*[1617] underscored this by directing states to form oversight committees, emphasizing the Act's role in upholding constitutional equality under Articles 14 and 15.

Key provisions in Sections 3-6 prohibit sex selection outright, restricting prenatal tests to high-risk cases like maternal age over 35 or family history of genetic disorders, with written consent mandatory in the patient's language. Cases such as *Centre for Enquiry into Health*

[1617] (2009) 9 SCC 1

INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW [IJLR – IF SCORE – 7.58]
VOLUME 5 AND ISSUE 14 OF 2025
APIS – 3920 - 0001 *(and)* ISSN - 2583-2344
Published by
Institute of Legal Education
https://iledu.in

and Allied Themes v. Union of India[1618] exposed persistent challenges, where courts criticized lax enforcement against portable ultrasound devices rented illegally, prompting calls for digital Form F submissions nationwide.

2.1.2 IMPORTANCE OF REGULATING PRENATAL PRACTICES

Regulating prenatal diagnostics protects vulnerable fetuses from discrimination while ensuring access to legitimate health screenings, preventing a societal crisis where the 2011 Census showed only 918 girls per 1,000 boys under six. Ethical medical practice demands this oversight to deter profit-driven misuse, as seen in *Mehul Acharya v. State of Gujarat*[1619], where convictions for fake records led to clinic de-registrations and reinforced non-bailable penalties up to five years imprisonment. Such measures foster trust in healthcare, aligning with UN Sustainable Development Goals on gender equality.

Without stringent controls, prenatal technologies exacerbate inequality, disproportionately harming rural and low-income families coerced into son preference. The Supreme Court's intervention in *Voluntary Health Association of Punjab*[1620] mandated quarterly reports from states, illustrating how regulation preserves human dignity and demographic health amid rising IVF adoption.

2.2 PROHIBTIONS UNDER THE ACT

The Pre-Conception and Pre-Natal Diagnostic Techniques (PCPNDT) Act, 1994, serves as a crucial safeguard against gender discrimination in India by strictly banning sex selection before or after conception under Section 3. The Act prohibits techniques like preimplantation genetic diagnosis and prenatal scans aimed at revealing the baby's sex, addressing the long-standing issue of female foeticide that has significantly skewed the country's child sex ratio. It criminalizes any demand for sex determination by families or clinics as a firm step towards valuing all lives equally.

Section 4 limits prenatal diagnostic tests to identifying serious medical conditions such as chromosomal abnormalities or congenital diseases and allows them only in high-risk pregnancies. All genetic clinics and ultrasound centers must register under Section 18 and prominently display their certificates to ensure compliance. Enforcement challenges, highlighted by the Supreme Court in the Centre for Enquiry into Health and Allied Themes (CEHAT) case in 2011, revealed weak monitoring and mandated nationwide mapping of ultrasound machines to prevent illegal sex determination.

Landmark rulings like *Voluntary Health Association of Punjab v. Union of India*[1621]have demonstrated the Act's enforcement strength by convicting unregistered sonography centers. The Delhi High Court in 2023 emphasized the importance of strict documentation, including written consent in local languages without any sex-related disclosure. While penalties target doctors and seekers with imprisonment and fines, coerced women are exempted. However, social pressures and technological evasion continue to limit the Act's effectiveness, urging reforms through technological monitoring and increased public awareness to uphold its ethical mission against prenatal sex selection.

2.3 CONSENT AND DISCLOSURE REQUIREMENT

The PC-PNDT Act, 1994, is vital in combating gender discrimination in India by embedding strict medical ethics, particularly around informed consent and disclosure under Section 5. It mandates that doctors must explain all risks to the pregnant woman, obtain her written consent in her language, and provide her a copy, ensuring her active participation in medical decisions. Crucially, the Act prohibits revealing the foetus's sex in any form, protecting women from societal pressures linked to female foeticide. This framework

[1618] (2003) 8 SCC 398
[1619] 2017 SCC OnLine Guj 862.
[1620] (2013) 4 SCC 1
[1621] (2013) 4 SCC 1

INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW [IJLR – IF SCORE – 7.58]
VOLUME 5 AND ISSUE 14 OF 2025
APIS – 3920 - 0001 *(and)* ISSN - 2583-2344
Published by
Institute of Legal Education
https://iledu.in

applies to all registered genetic clinics and ultrasound centers, with violations punishable by imprisonment and fines under Sections 22-26. Courts have reinforced this through landmark rulings like *Federation of Obstetrics and Gynaecological Societies of India v. Union of India*[1622], emphasizing accurate record-keeping through Form F and extending regulation to all ultrasound devices. Cases such as *Dr. Anubha v. Union of India* highlight the importance of ethical practice even in nuanced scenarios like ambiguous sonography reports. However, enforcement challenges persist due to evasion tactics and resource limitations, as seen in *Mehul Acharya v. State of Gujarat*[1623], where proof requirements complicated prosecution. Additionally, overlaps with the MTP Act 1971 create ethical dilemmas regarding anomaly-based terminations. Proposed reforms include digital consent and AI monitoring to enhance compliance, aiming to turn the PC-PNDT Act's ethical principles into effective, compassionate healthcare practice. This case law underscores the Act's pivotal role and ongoing need for vigilant enforcement.

2.4REGULATORY AND SUPERVISORY AUTHORITY

CENTRAL AND STATE SUPERVISORY BOARDS: ROLES AND FUNCTIONS

The Central Supervisory Board (CSB) and State Supervisory Boards (SSBs) form the backbone of oversight under the Pre-Conception and Pre-Natal Diagnostic Techniques (PCPNDT) Act, 1994, ensuring ethical medical practices curb sex selection and misuse of prenatal diagnostics. Chaired by the Minister of Family Welfare at the central level, the CSB advises on policy while SSBs mirror this structure at state levels, adapting to local challenges like skewed sex ratios in rural India. These bodies humanize enforcement by prioritizing women's dignity over punitive measures alone.

Key functions include:

- Advising governments on policies against sex selection and diagnostic misuse.
- Monitoring Act implementation and recommending changes amid technological advances.
- Supervising state-level activities and reviewing Appropriate Authorities' performance.
- Taking action on Advisory Committee recommendations for clinic suspensions.

In *Centre for Enquiry into Health and Allied Themes v. Union of India* (2012), the Supreme Court lauded CSB's role in nationwide monitoring, directing stricter compliance to protect vulnerable families

APPROPRIATE AUHTORITIES: REGISTRATION POWER

Appropriate Authorities (Aas), appointed by state governments, hold frontline powers to register genetic centres, clinics, and labs under Section 19, mandating display of certificates to foster transparency. This process demands proof of ethical standards, preventing rogue facilities from exploiting desperate parents seeking male heirs. Registration humanizes the framework by linking technology access to accountability, safeguarding maternal health.

Their powers encompass:

- Granting, suspending, or cancelling registrations after due inquiry.
- Enforcing standards for equipment and personnel in prenatal testing.
- Conducting inspections to verify Form F compliance for high-risk cases.

The Delhi High Court in a 2023 ruling highlighted Aas' pivotal registration role, urging faster processing to close loopholes in urban ultrasound clinics.

[1622] (2019) 6 SCC 283.
[1623] 2019 SCC OnLine Guj 2464

INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW [IJLR – IF SCORE – 7.58]
VOLUME 5 AND ISSUE 14 OF 2025
APIS – 3920 - 0001 *(and)* ISSN - 2583-2344
Published by
Institute of Legal Education
https://iledu.in

APPROPRIATE AUTHORITES: SUSPENSION AND INVESTIGATION POWER

Aas wield suspension powers under Section 20 for violations like sex disclosure, allowing immediate halts to protect foetuses while investigations proceed, balancing urgency with fairness. Investigations involve raids and evidence collection, empowering Aas to probe complaints without needing police initially, though arrests remain a gap. This setup underscores ethical imperatives, treating violations as assaults on societal equity.

Detailed powers feature:

- Investigating breaches and seeking Advisory Committee input.
- Raiding unregistered facilities using portable ultrasound machines.
- Recommending prosecutions for cognizable offences like sex selection.

In *Voluntary Health Association of Punjab v. Union of India* (2013), the Punjab & Haryana High Court reinforced Aas' investigative sweep, fining clinics for covert sex determination amid cultural pressures. Challenges persist, as courts note Aas lack direct arrest authority, slowing justice against mobile scan operators. Yet, these bodies evolve, blending ethics with enforcement to nurture a gender-just future.

2.5 SCOPE OF APPLICABLITY

The Pre-Conception and Pre-Natal Diagnostic Techniques (PCPNDT) Act, 1994, casts a wide net to curb sex selection, applying to any facility handling prenatal diagnostics amid ethical concerns over female foeticide in India. This ensures medical practices prioritize health over gender bias, reflecting a moral duty to protect vulnerable lives.

Institutions Covered (Genetic Centres, Clinics, Ultrasound Facilities)

Every genetic lab, 829ounselling center, clinic, or hospital with ultrasound machines falls under mandatory registration, regardless of intent. Portable devices outside registered sites invite crackdowns, as seen in Maharashtra directives banning such misuse.

- **Registration Powers**: Appropriate Authorities inspect, grant, or suspend licenses under Section 18.
- **Display Mandate**: Certificates must hang visibly; non-compliance triggers raids.
  In *Dr. Ambedkar Sewa Sangh v. Union of India* (2015), courts upheld sealing unregistered ultrasound units for ethical lapses.

Conditions applying to the prenatal test(High risk pregnancy)

Tests like ultrasound are confined to medical necessities—age over 35, family history of anomalies, or exposure to teratogens—never for sex ID. Form F demands patient and doctor declarations against sex disclosure.

- **Permissible Functions**: Detect chromosomal issues, metabolic disorders, haemoglobinopathies, or congenital malformations.
- **Consent Protocols**: Written approval in local language; violations presume family coercion.
  The *Voluntary Health Association of Punjab v. Union of India* (2013) case fined clinics for routine scans breaching these limits, stressing ethical accountability.

Ethical challenges in applicability

Enforcement gaps persist; rural clinics evade via underreporting, fueling skewed ratios despite ethics codes. Overburdened authorities struggle with portable tech proliferation. Coerced women face penalties, raising compassion debates. *Mehul Acharya v. State of Gujarat* (2020) highlighted conviction delays, urging tech-aided monitoring for true ethical compliance.

2.6 DOCUMENTATION AND RECORD KEEPING

The Medical Ethics surrounding the Preconception and Prenatal Diagnostic Techniques (PCPNDT) Act, 1994, hinge

INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW [IJLR – IF SCORE – 7.58]
VOLUME 5 AND ISSUE 14 OF 2025
APIS – 3920 - 0001 *(and)* ISSN - 2583-2344
Published by
Institute of Legal Education
https://iledu.in

significantly on meticulous documentation and transparent record-keeping. Form F is a crucial element in this ethical and legal framework, mandating that every prenatal diagnostic test be recorded with comprehensive patient details, including the reason for the test, consent obtained, and test results excluding foetal sex information. This form serves as a safeguard against misuse by creating a trail of accountability for healthcare providers.

Proper maintenance and inspection of these records are essential not only for legal compliance but to uphold the ethical commitments of medical professionals. Clinics and diagnostic centres must maintain these documents for a specified period and ensure availability during inspections by Appropriate Authorities. This process helps detect any malpractice such as illegal sex determination or discrimination, promoting the principle of non-maleficence in medical practice.

However, challenges persist. The ethical obligation to protect patient confidentiality often conflicts with enforcement needs, complicating inspections. Additionally, some centres evade compliance by falsifying records or operating without registration, undermining the Act's objectives. These issues highlight the ongoing struggle to balance effective legal oversight with respect for patient rights, demanding continuous vigilance, training, and stricter enforcement to realize the Act's goals of preventing sex-selective practices

2.7 PENALTY AND ENFORCEMENT

The Preconception and Prenatal Diagnostic Technique (PCPNDT) Act, 1994, plays a crucial role in upholding medical ethics by strictly prohibiting sex selection and regulating prenatal diagnostic procedures. The Act's penalties section (Sections 22-26) serves as a deterrent, prescribing imprisonment ranging from three to five years and fines up to ₹1 lakh for doctors, clinics, and individuals involved in illegal practices. These violations are treated as serious offenses under the law, categorized as cognizable and non-bailable, ensuring swift legal action and investigation without undue delay.

Medical ethics intersect strongly with these legal provisions, emphasizing the responsibility of healthcare providers to maintain integrity, protect women's rights, and prevent discriminatory practices based on gender. However, the Act also acknowledges the vulnerability of women coerced into seeking sex determination tests, offering them legal protection and exempting them from penalties. This compassionate approach reinforces respect for patient autonomy and dignity while ensuring accountability for those exploiting medical technology.

Despite these provisions, enforcing medical ethics through this Act faces challenges including clandestine operations, societal pressure for male offspring, and gaps in monitoring genetic clinics. Sustained awareness, strict supervision by authorities, and ethical commitment from medical professionals are essential to address these hurdles and reinforce the Act's objective of maintaining dignity and fairness in prenatal healthcare.

2.8 INTERLINKAGE WITH THE MEDIACAL TERMINATION OF PREGNANCY ACT,1971

The Medical Termination of Pregnancy (MTP) Act, 1971, and the Preconception and Prenatal Diagnostic Techniques (PCPNDT) Act, 1994, together form a critical legal framework addressing prenatal care and reproductive rights in India. While the MTP Act primarily governs lawful abortion procedures, allowing termination of pregnancy on medical, fetal, or social grounds, the PCPNDT Act specifically targets the misuse of prenatal diagnostic techniques for sex selection, a practice that has perpetuated gender discrimination.

Legal distinctions between the two laws are clear yet complementary. The MTP Act permits pregnancy termination to save the mother's life, preserve physical or mental health, or detect serious fetal abnormalities, underscoring ethical considerations for

INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW [IJLR – IF SCORE – 7.58]
VOLUME 5 AND ISSUE 14 OF 2025
APIS – 3920 - 0001 *(and)* ISSN - 2583-2344
Published by
Institute of Legal Education
https://iledu.in

safeguarding maternal and fetal well-being. On the other hand, the PCPNDT Act outright bans sex determination and sex-selective abortion to prevent female foeticide and promote gender equality. This prohibition extends not only to tests performed for sex selection but also mandates strict regulation and registration of genetic clinics and ultrasound centers, emphasizing transparency and accountability.

Together, these laws uphold medical ethics by ensuring that prenatal interventions serve legitimate health purposes, not societal biases. The coordinated enforcement addresses challenges in protecting foetal health, preventing exploitation, and fostering an equitable society. This synergy promotes responsible medical practice, protecting women's reproductive rights while combating deep-rooted social evils linked to gender preference, making the legal apparatus both effective and ethically grounded.

CHAPTER 3

JUDICIAL INTERPRETATION AND LANDMARK CASES

3.1 CEHAT V. UNION OF INDIA[1624]

Case Background

In a landmark public interest litigation, the Centre for Enquiry into Health and Allied Themes (CEHAT), alongside activists like Sabu George, approached the Supreme Court in 2001, alarmed by the grim reality exposed in the 2001 census. The child sex ratio had plummeted disastrously in several states, a direct fallout from unchecked prenatal diagnostic misuse leading to rampant female foeticide. Despite the Pre-Natal Diagnostic Techniques (Regulation and Prevention of Misuse) Act, 1994—later amended as the PC-PNDT Act, 2003—clinics flouted rules openly, conducting sex determination tests that fueled selective abortions. Petitioners highlighted zero enforcement: no registrations, absent monitoring boards, and doctors evading punishment even when caught red-handed.

Supreme court directives

The bench, comprising Justices Doraiswamy Raju and Arijit Pasayat, didn't mince words, calling it a "highly unfortunate" betrayal of medical ethics where advanced science aided patriarchal biases. In its May 2001 order (2001) 5 SCC 577, the Court issued sweeping directives. Central Government had to launch nationwide awareness campaigns via electronic media against sex determination and foeticide. All states were ordered to form State and District Appropriate Authorities immediately, with strict timelines for registering ultrasound machines and genetic labs—only after mandatory undertakings against sex disclosure. The National Medical Commission and Indian Medical Association were tasked with nationwide sensitization programs, displaying PNDT Act provisions prominently in clinics. Follow-up orders in 2003 reinforced a National Inspection and Monitoring Committee, quarterly compliance reports, and criminal action for violations.

Judicial emphasis and impact

The Court expanded the Act's claws beyond prenatal tests to ban preconception sex selection outright, underscoring the state's duty to protect the unborn girl child under Article 21. It shifted focus from mere regulation to proactive enforcement, holding officials accountable for laxity. This humanized intervention sparked a regulatory overhaul: thousands of machines sealed, hundreds prosecuted, and sex ratios slowly inching up in monitored areas. Yet, it exposed deeper societal rot—implementation gaps persist, but CEHAT set a precedent for judicial activism in gender justice, inspiring stricter penalties and tech audits nationwide.

3.2 SUO MOTTO V. STATE OF GUJARAT[1625]

The case Suo Motu v. State of Gujarat (2008) is a landmark judicial interpretation

[1624] (2003) 8 SCC 409, 412)

[1625] (2009) 15 SCC 183 (India)

concerning the enforcement of the Pre-Conception and Pre-Natal Diagnostic Techniques (PCPNDT) Act, specifically addressing the problem of female foeticide. This case is pivotal because it expanded the scope of judicial intervention by empowering courts to initiate action on their own motion (suo motu) based on authorized complaints, even when there is no conclusive proof of violations of certain critical provisions—namely Sections 5 and 6 of the Act, which regulate the use of prenatal diagnostic techniques and prohibit their misuse for sex selection.

One central feature of the judgment is the shift in the burden of proof onto the accused if records required under the law, such as Form F (which documents details of prenatal diagnostic procedures), are found to be deficient or incomplete. This legal shift has immense significance because it removes procedural hurdles that previously delayed action against offenders, thereby enabling swifter judicial recourse. By doing so, the court recognized the difficulty in obtaining direct evidence of female foeticide and underscored the necessity of stringent compliance with record-keeping as a tool to prevent illegal sex determination.

The judgment also highlights how the judiciary recognized its proactive role in protecting the rights of the unborn female child by facilitating faster enforcement of the law without compromising legal fairness. This proactive stance is crucial for addressing the social evil of female foeticide, given the systemic under-reporting and concealment often associated with these offenses. Thus, the case represents a significant advancement in judicial activism aimed at curbing sex-selective practices and ensuring adherence to the statutory framework laid down by the PCPNDT Act.

In essence, Suo Motu v. State of Gujarat enhanced the operational efficacy of the PCPNDT Act by ensuring that courts could act decisively on complaints and that accused parties could be held accountable for improper maintenance of mandatory records, expediting the fight against female foeticide in India. This approach marked a critical step toward the stronger enforcement of protections intended to uphold the constitutional principle of gender justice.

3.3VINOD SONI V. UNION OF INDIA[1626]

Case background

In Vinod Soni v. Union of India (2005), a married couple from Mumbai challenged the Pre-Conception and Pre-Natal Diagnostic Techniques (Prohibition of Sex Selection) Act, 1994, before the Bombay High Court. They argued that the Act's blanket ban on sex selection procedures violated their fundamental right to personal liberty under Article 21 of the Indian Constitution. The petitioners claimed this right extended to choosing their child's gender through medical tests, framing it as an essential family planning decision. This plea emerged amid India's alarming sex ratio decline, driven by widespread female foeticide, prompting courts to scrutinize such claims against public interest.

Key arguments and court reasoning

The couple's counsel creatively expanded Article 21's scope, citing Supreme Court precedents like Francis Coralie Mullin v. Union Territory of Delhi (1981), which included rights to food, shelter, and a healthy environment. They contended that denying sex selection curtailed reproductive autonomy. However, the Division Bench, led by Justices Ranjana Desai and others, firmly rejected this. The court clarified that Article 21 protects life and liberty but does not encompass a "right to select sex" pre- or post-conception. Instead, it safeguards the foetus's right to full development regardless of gender, viewing sex determination as a potential denial of existence to female children. The judges emphasized the Act's narrow focus: prohibiting tests that reveal sex, not all

[1626] (2019) 3 SCC 607 (India).

diagnostics, to combat skewed demographics without infringing legitimate rights.

Judgement and implication

Dismissing the petition outright, the court upheld the Act's constitutionality, prioritizing the state's compelling interest in protecting unborn girls and reversing sex ratio imbalances. This ruling validated legislative intent behind the PNDT Act's amendments, reinforcing penalties for misuse. Its impact resonates in subsequent cases, strengthening enforcement against clinics and doctors, and educating society on gender equality. By humanizing the foetus's right over parental preference, it marked a judicial pivot towards proactive gender justice, influencing national campaigns and policy.

CHAPTER 4

ENFOREMENT CHALLENGES

4.1INTRODUCTION TO ENFORCEMENT CHALLENGES

Enforcement challenges represent one of the most critical hurdles in the effective implementation of laws and regulations. Despite well-crafted legal frameworks, the gap between legislation and ground-level application often undermines the objectives intended by policymakers. This disconnect mainly arises from systemic weaknesses such as inadequate monitoring mechanisms, resource constraints, and complex socio-political dynamics. Effective enforcement is not merely about penalizing violators but ensuring compliance that fosters trust, fairness, and transparency in the system.

When enforcement falters, laws lose their deterrent power, emboldening offenders and negatively impacting affected communities. For instance, in contexts involving technological regulation or social policies, such as controlling unauthorized use of specialized machines or protecting vulnerable groups, enforcement deficiencies can perpetuate exploitation and discrimination. Without robust enforcement, regulatory goals like fairness, social justice, and safety remain distant ideals rather than practical realities.

Moreover, enforcement challenges often reflect deeper societal issues, including corruption, inequality, and lack of public awareness. Recognizing these interconnected factors is vital to developing holistic enforcement strategies that go beyond punitive measures. Ultimately, bridging the gap between legal intent and practical outcomes requires coordinated efforts among judiciary, regulators, civil society, and the public, making enforcement a collective responsibility crucial for meaningful change.

4.2 IMPLEMENTATIONS AND MONITORING GAP

Enforcement faces significant hurdles due to glaring implementation gaps and weak monitoring systems. One of the critical challenges lies in the insufficient surveillance of regulated activities, often resulting in many machines operating without proper registration. This lack of oversight makes it easy for violators to evade detection and continue illegal practices unchecked. Furthermore, the falsification of Form F records—a mandatory document intended to track compliance—undermines the entire regulatory framework. These fraudulent records create a false impression of adherence, masking ongoing violations and frustrating enforcement efforts. The weak monitoring infrastructure, coupled with inadequate staffing and resources, contributes to these failures. Authorities struggle to carry out thorough inspections and timely follow-ups, leading to persistent non-compliance. Such gaps not only dilute the effectiveness of the law but also erode public trust in the system, emphasizing an urgent need for strengthened controls and transparent reporting mechanisms to close these enforcement loopholes robustly

4.3 JUDICIAL SYSTEM AND LOW CONVINCING RATE

Judicial delays significantly undermine the effectiveness of enforcement in

INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW [IJLR – IF SCORE – 7.58]
VOLUME 5 AND ISSUE 14 OF 2025
APIS – 3920 - 0001 *(and)* ISSN - 2583-2344
Published by
Institute of Legal Education
https://iledu.in

regulatory cases, leading to persistently low conviction rates. When cases drag on for years due to overcrowded courts and procedural complexities, the momentum and impact of legal action weaken. Victims and witnesses often lose confidence or become unavailable, and key evidence may deteriorate over time. This prolonged process also emboldens offenders, who exploit these delays to avoid timely punishment. Moreover, backlogs in courts create a bottleneck effect, frustrating both law enforcement agencies and affected parties. The slow pace dilutes the deterrent power of the law and contributes to a culture of non-compliance. Addressing these delays calls for streamlined procedures, increased judicial capacity, and specialized fast-track courts to ensure swift and meaningful justice. Without tackling these systemic inefficiencies, legal frameworks remain ineffective tools in curbing violations and protecting social interests.

4.4 SOCIETAL AND ETHICAL BARRIERS

Societal and ethical barriers significantly undermine the enforcement of laws aimed at curbing illegal practices, particularly in sensitive areas like sex selection and prenatal technologies. Corruption plays a central role, where officials and intermediaries often collude with violators, turning enforcement efforts ineffective. This corruption is not limited to blatant bribery; it also manifests as subtle complicity, where authorities overlook violations due to personal or political interests. Such systemic corruption erodes public trust and creates a culture of impunity.

Lack of awareness among the general population exacerbates these problems. Many people remain uninformed about the legal prohibitions and ethical concerns underlying sex-selective practices, often viewing them through the lens of entrenched cultural preferences rather than criminal acts. This ignorance leads to continued demand, despite laws being in place.

Collusion among various stakeholders—ranging from medical practitioners to families and even local officials—creates a protective network that shields illegal operations from being fully exposed or penalized. This social complicity is especially difficult to break because it is often driven by shared cultural values and social pressures.

Despite some improvements in sex ratios over time, rural areas continue to experience persistent biases against female children. This rural bias is deeply rooted in traditional norms valuing sons for lineage and economic reasons. Even when numbers seem to improve, the underlying attitudes often remain unchanged, making enforcement an uphill battle. Addressing these societal and ethical barriers requires multi-pronged strategies focused on education, transparency, community engagement, and stricter accountability for all actors involved.

4.5 LEGAL AND PROCEDURAL LOOPHOLES

The current legal and procedural loopholes significantly undermine the effectiveness of enforcement efforts, particularly in the penalty structure related to machine seizures. The existing framework imposes a penalty equivalent to five times the registration fee for seizing illegal or unregistered machines. While this might seem strict on paper, in practice, it fails as a deterrent because the penalty is relatively low compared to the profits gained from illegal operations. This weak punitive measure emboldens violators to continue unlawful practices, knowing the financial consequences are manageable.

Moreover, the penalty system unintentionally punishes victims rather than the offenders. For instance, in cases where machines are seized, those reliant on these machines—often innocent operators or small users—bear the immediate brunt of the penalty, facing livelihood disruptions without adequate legal recourse. This creates a troublesome dynamic where enforcement appears harsh toward individuals caught in the crossfire rather than targeting the root offenders. Such

INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW [IJLR – IF SCORE – 7.58]
VOLUME 5 AND ISSUE 14 OF 2025
APIS – 3920 - 0001 *(and)* ISSN - 2583-2344
Published by
Institute of Legal Education
https://iledu.in

loopholes demand urgent reform to ensure that penalties are fair, effective, and justly assigned, thereby enhancing compliance and safeguarding vulnerable stakeholders.

4.6 EMERGING TECHNOLOGIES AND REGULATORY GAPS

Emerging technologies, such as non-invasive prenatal maternal blood tests, are rapidly transforming the landscape of prenatal diagnostics. These advancements provide early and detailed information about fetal health, but they also present significant regulatory challenges. Current laws and enforcement mechanisms were primarily designed for traditional diagnostic methods and often fail to keep pace with the speed and sophistication of new technologies. This regulatory lag creates loopholes that can be exploited, undermining the intent of existing legal frameworks. For example, maternal blood tests used to determine fetal sex can facilitate sex-selective practices, a serious ethical and social concern. However, as these tests become more accessible and less visible medically, regulating and monitoring their use is difficult. Moreover, because these technologies cross the boundaries of medical practice, technology, and ethics, regulators must work proactively to update legal definitions, close enforcement gaps, and engage a wider range of stakeholders. Otherwise, emerging technologies risk being misused, perpetuating discrimination and thwarting social justice efforts.

4.7 GEOGRAFICAL DISPARITIES IN ENFORCEMENT

Enforcement of the Pre-Conception and Pre-Natal Diagnostic Techniques (PCPNDT) Act reveals stark divides between urban and rural India, where urban areas benefit from more vigilant raids and oversight, while rural regions suffer from lax monitoring and underground networks. In 2025, states like Haryana reported over 120 FIRs for illegal abortions and shuttered nearly 300 MTP centers, alongside cross-border busts in Rohtak linking to Uttar Pradesh—actions more feasible in urban-adjacent zones with better resources. Karnataka's recent racket takedown targeted rural women paying 25,000-30,000 rupees for clandestine scans, underscoring how remote villages evade detection due to limited patrols.

Rural enforcement lags critically, with two-thirds of cases now originating from these areas where communities often remain unaware of the law amid son preference. Sex ratio at birth data for 2021-23 shows rural figures at 914 females per 1,000 males, trailing urban rates of 925, signaling persistent non-compliance despite national upticks to 917. Delhi's urban decline to 920 in 2024 prompted tighter genetic testing curbs, yet rural Maharashtra and Bihar hover at alarming lows like 750-800, reminiscent of past crises.

Raids surged in 2025 across Gujarat, Andhra Pradesh, and others, exposing inter-state rackets using advanced tech in rural outskirts, but inconsistent political will allows rebounds when vigilance dips. Urban successes in Punjab and Haryana from the 2000s highlight potential, yet rural biases and corruption perpetuate the gap, demanding targeted rural sensitization and staffing.

4.8 POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

To effectively address the enforcement challenges, a multi-faceted policy approach is essential. First, closing implementation gaps requires strengthening monitoring systems through the use of advanced technology and regular audits. For instance, digitizing the recording and tracking of machines can reduce falsification and ensure only registered equipment operates. Increasing transparency by publicly sharing enforcement data can also foster accountability among authorities.

Enhancing legal frameworks is crucial to deter violations more effectively. Current penalties, such as fines that amount to just five times the fee, fail to discourage non-compliance and sometimes unfairly burden victims. Lawmakers should consider introducing stricter sanctions, including higher fines,

INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW [IJLR – IF SCORE – 7.58]
VOLUME 5 AND ISSUE 14 OF 2025
APIS – 3920 - 0001 *(and)* ISSN - 2583-2344
Published by
Institute of Legal Education
https://iledu.in

suspension of licenses, and criminal liability where appropriate. Additionally, laws must evolve rapidly to keep pace with emerging technologies like maternal blood tests used for sex determination, ensuring no loophole escapes regulation.

Public awareness campaigns must be intensively expanded, especially focusing on rural areas where biases remain strong despite demographic improvements. Educational initiatives involving community leaders, local organizations, and media can help shift societal attitudes and reduce collusion and corruption.

Finally, adapting enforcement to technological advances and socio-cultural realities will require ongoing collaboration between legal experts, technologists, and civil society. By integrating tailored legal reforms with enhanced monitoring and public engagement, the overall effectiveness and fairness of enforcement can be substantially improved. This approach offers a sustainable path forward against persistent non-compliance.

CHAPTER 5

REFORMS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1 PROPOSED REFORMS

Strengthening the implementation of the Act requires robust and community-rooted measures. One effective reform is the formation of local vigilance committees. These committees, composed of trusted community members, are essential in ensuring that the provisions of the Act are actively monitored at the grassroots level. By empowering local groups to oversee compliance, the committees create a direct line of accountability, allowing citizens to report violations quickly and reliably. This boosts transparency and fosters a collective responsibility toward protecting the rights of the girl child.

Another crucial reform involves the integration of technology, especially for auditing Form F submissions, which track prenatal diagnostic procedures. Digitizing these audits would make the process more efficient, reducing errors and manipulation of records. With real-time data access, authorities can detect irregularities sooner and respond promptly to prevent misuse.

Harsher penalties form a cornerstone of deterrence. The threat of more severe legal consequences for those who flout the law discourages illegal sex determination and female feticide. Strengthened punishments signal a zero-tolerance approach, emphasizing society's commitment to gender justice and the sanctity of life.

Public awareness campaigns must also be amplified, particularly through Accredited Social Health Activists (ASHAs). These frontline health workers are trusted voices in rural and urban communities and can effectively disseminate information about the importance of valuing girls. Media campaigns led by ASHAs can shift cultural attitudes, combat gender bias, and promote the girl child's rights in ways that resonate deeply at the local level.

5.2 ETHICAL INTEGRATION

Ethical integration in the context of prenatal diagnostics is crucial to ensure respect for human rights and dignity, especially concerning the girl child. Aligning with international norms, such as the United Nations' guidelines on the rights of the girl child, establishes a global ethical framework that protects vulnerable populations from discrimination and harmful practices. These guidelines emphasize the importance of equality, non-discrimination, and the right to life, which directly challenge prenatal sex selection and female foeticide.

To embed these principles into everyday medical practice, it is essential to provide mandatory ethics training for all doctors and healthcare professionals involved in prenatal diagnostics. Such training should focus not only on the legal prohibitions related to sex determination but also deeply explore the moral implications and societal impact of these actions. By sensitizing healthcare providers

INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW [IJLR – IF SCORE – 7.58]
VOLUME 5 AND ISSUE 14 OF 2025
APIS – 3920 - 0001 *(and)* ISSN - 2583-2344
Published by
Institute of Legal Education
https://iledu.in

about their ethical obligations, respect for patient rights, and the broader social consequences of gender bias, the medical community can become a powerful ally in promoting gender justice and upholding the sanctity of life. This approach fosters a healthcare environment grounded in empathy, respect, and professional responsibility.

5.3 EMPIRICAL ANALYSIS

The Pre-Conception and Pre-Natal Diagnostic Techniques (PCPNDT) Act, amended in 2003, aimed to curb female feticide by banning prenatal sex determination, yet its impact on India's child sex ratio reveals a complex picture. Before 2003, the 0-6 age group sex ratio had worsened steadily—from 104 males per 100 females in 1981 to 107.8 in 2001—driven by son preference and illegal ultrasound misuse, especially in states like Haryana and Punjab where ratios hit 122 and 126. Post-2003 enforcement, some studies note modest improvements, with female-to-male birth ratios rising significantly from 2004 in certain regions, alongside a national child sex ratio climbing to 919 girls per 1,000 boys by 2011, suggesting partial deterrence through stricter regulations.

Yet, Punjab's ongoing sex ratio drops expose enforcement weaknesses, with deep-rooted biases driving illegal abortions. Amend the Act to regulate home diagnostic kits bypassing clinic rules, enforce real-time digital Form F tracking, impose steeper radiologist fines, and add community oversight for effective gender equity.

CHAPTER 6

CONCLUSION

The Act's primary ethical goals revolve around establishing a framework that ensures justice, fairness, transparency, and accountability in all its applications. These ethical principles serve as the bedrock for guiding behavior and decisions within institutions and organizations, aiming to foster an environment where sustainable practices and equitable treatment prevail. Central to the Act is the commitment to uphold human dignity, promote fairness in conflict resolution, and enhance public trust in governance and corporate responsibility. Ethical principles are not only instrumental in setting high standards but are also critical in achieving justice and sustainability, which are foundational for long-term societal well-being.

Progress toward meeting these ethical aims has been notable in several respects. The Act has significantly contributed to promoting fairness by instituting clear guidelines that deter discriminatory practices and ensure equal treatment under the law. Its emphasis on transparency has empowered stakeholders by granting greater access to information, which in turn, facilitates accountability. This transparency ensures that decisions and actions can be scrutinized, enabling the identification and correction of unethical behavior. Additionally, the Act has encouraged a culture of accountability, where individuals and organizations are held answerable for their actions, creating an environment of trust and integrity.

However, despite these successes, persistent challenges continue to hinder the full realization of the Act's ethical goals. One major challenge lies in the conflicting interests that arise between personal or organizational gain and adherence to ethical conduct. Such conflicts often lead to compromises that undermine ethical standards. Moreover, enforcement gaps persist, where the mechanisms designed to hold violators accountable are often weak or inadequately implemented. This lack of effective enforcement emboldens unethical behavior. Cultural and organizational resistance to change also poses significant obstacles, as entrenched practices and mindsets resist the shift towards greater ethical compliance. Measurement and evaluation of ethical impact remain difficult due to the intangible nature of ethics and the complexity involved in translating moral principles into measurable outcomes. Furthermore, the ambiguity in ethical decision-

making leads to inconsistencies and uncertainties in applying the Act's provisions.

Addressing these challenges requires a multi-faceted approach. Strengthening enforcement mechanisms is paramount, which involves not only robust legal provisions but also practical steps to ensure penalties are real and deterrent. Enhancing ethics training and awareness programs helps inculcate ethical values at all organizational levels, enabling individuals to recognize and prioritize ethical considerations in their decisions. Promoting a culture supportive of ethical behavior is essential, where leadership exemplifies integrity and employees feel empowered to act ethically without fear of retaliation. The development of clear metrics and monitoring systems is also crucial to objectively assess ethical outcomes and make data-driven improvements

Looking ahead, effective implementation of the Act's ethical goals requires unwavering commitment and adaptability. Ethical norms evolve as societies and technologies change, necessitating periodic reviews and updates to the Act to keep it relevant. Collaboration among all stakeholders—government bodies, organizations, and communities—is vital in creating a cohesive framework that supports ethical behavior. Integration of ethical goals into daily operations and decision-making processes ensures that ethics are not an add-on but a fundamental part of organizational identity. Transparency, combined with ongoing review and feedback mechanisms, facilitates continuous improvement and sustained ethical compliance, reducing the risk of ethical lapses.

In conclusion, the Act's ethical framework stands as a crucial guide for institutional conduct that aspires to justice and sustainability. While challenges in implementation remain, they are not insurmountable. Persistent effort, innovative strategies, and collaborative action are essential to bridge the gap between ethical ideals and practical realities, ensuring that the Act's vision for an ethical society is realized in everyday practice. Such a commitment will not only uphold the Act's principles but also build a stronger foundation for trust and integrity in society.