INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW [IJLR – IF SCORE – 7.58]

VOLUME 5 AND ISSUE 13 OF 2025

APIS – 3920 - 0001 *(and)* ISSN - 2583-2344

Published by

Institute of Legal Education

https://iledu.in

BUY-BACK OF SHARES: LEGAL FRAMEWORK AND CORPORATE STRATEGY

AUTHOR - FAISAL IMAM, STUDENT AT AMITY LAW SCHOOL, AMITY UNIVERSITY

BEST CITATION - FAISAL IMAM, BUY-BACK OF SHARES: LEGAL FRAMEWORK AND CORPORATE STRATEGY, *INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW (IJLR)*, 5 (13) OF 2025, PG. 616-620, APIS – 3920 – 0001 & ISSN - 2583-2344

Abstract

The buy-back of shares has evolved as a key instrument of financial and corporate restructuring in modern company law. It enables a company to repurchase its own shares, reduce outstanding equity, and enhance shareholder value through redistribution of surplus funds. In India, the legal framework governing buy-backs is primarily embedded in Sections 68 to 70 of the Companies Act, 2013, supported by the SEBI (Buy-Back of Securities) Regulations, 2018. This paper examines the statutory provisions, regulatory compliance requirements, corporate motivations, and strategic implications of buy-back transactions. It further explores judicial pronouncements, accounting treatment, and policy debates to assess whether buy-backs serve as a legitimate corporate governance mechanism or merely as a financial engineering tool.

Keywords : Buy-back of shares; Companies Act, 2013; SEBI (Buy-Back of Securities) Regulations, 2018; Corporate governance; Shareholder value, Earnings per share,

I. Introduction

The practice of buy-back of shares, also known as share repurchase, represents a corporate action in which a company reacquires its own shares from existing shareholders. This results in a reduction of the number of shares in circulation and, consequently, an increase in the ownership proportion of the remaining shareholders. The buy-back process has become a sophisticated instrument for companies seeking to manage their capital structure, signal financial strength, or distribute surplus liquidity.

Under traditional corporate law principles derived from English jurisprudence, a company was prohibited from buying its own shares as it would amount to an unauthorized reduction of capital, thereby prejudicing creditors. However, with the liberalization of capital markets and increasing integration of global corporate practices, jurisdictions around the world recognized the potential economic and strategic benefits of buy-backs.

In India, this recognition materialized with the Companies (Amendment) Act, 1999, which inserted Sections 77A, 77AA, and 77B into the Companies Act, 1956. The Companies Act, 2013 consolidated and modernized these provisions under Sections 68 to 70, providing a comprehensive legal framework. For listed companies, the SEBI (Buy-Back of Securities) Regulations, 2018 supplement the statutory scheme, ensuring transparency and investor protection.

The buy-back mechanism today serves multiple functions — enhancing earnings per share (EPS), improving return on equity, preventing hostile takeovers, and stabilizing share prices during periods of volatility. Yet, it remains a subject of legal and economic debate concerning its implications on corporate governance and market fairness.

II. Historical and Conceptual Framework

A. Evolution of the Buy-Back Concept

Historically, English courts viewed any purchase by a company of its own shares as void, as established in Trevor v. Whitworth, (1887) 12 App Cas 409 (HL), where the House of Lords held that such transactions amounted to an illegal reduction of capital. The rationale was that the capital of a company represents a trust fund for the protection of creditors.

Indian law inherited this restrictive doctrine under the Companies Act, 1956, which expressly prohibited companies from buying their own shares under Section 77(1). However, changing economic realities and global corporate trends necessitated flexibility in capital management. Consequently, the Companies (Amendment) Act, 1999 partially liberalized the law, allowing buy-backs under regulated conditions.

B. Conceptual Understanding

A buy-back of shares is a corporate mechanism whereby a company repurchases its own shares or specified securities and extinguishes them. The objectives generally include:

1. Optimizing Capital Structure: Adjusting the debt-equity ratio to a more efficient level.
2. Distributing Surplus Cash: Utilizing retained earnings when reinvestment opportunities are limited.
3. Earnings Enhancement: Increasing EPS by reducing the denominator of outstanding shares.
4. Signaling Effect: Demonstrating managerial confidence in undervalued stock.
5. Takeover Defence: Preventing accumulation of shares by potential acquirers.
6. Improving Shareholder Value: Providing an exit route for investors seeking liquidity.

The economic impact of buy-back operations is therefore multi-dimensional — influencing ownership control, market valuation, and long-term corporate growth.

III. Legal Framework in India

A. Statutory Provisions under the Companies Act, 2013

The governing provisions for buy-back are contained in Sections 68 to 70 of the Companies Act, 2013.

1. Section 68 – Power of Company to Purchase Its Own Securities

Section 68 empowers a company to buy back its own shares or other specified securities, subject to compliance with prescribed conditions:

- Sources of Funds: The buy-back may be financed out of (i) free reserves, (ii) the securities premium account, or (iii) proceeds of a fresh issue of shares or other specified securities (except for purchasing the same kind of shares).
- Authorisation: The Articles of Association must authorize the buy-back.
- Approval: A special resolution in the general meeting is required, unless the buy-back does not exceed 10% of paid-up equity and free reserves, in which case a board resolution suffices.
- Limitations: The buy-back cannot exceed 25% of the aggregate of paid-up capital and free reserves.
- Debt-Equity Ratio: After the buy-back, the ratio of debt to capital and free reserves must not exceed 2:1.
- Fully Paid Shares: Only fully paid-up shares can be bought back.
- Procedural Compliance: The company must file a declaration of solvency (Form SH-9) with the Registrar and SEBI (if listed).

- Time Limit: Completion of the buy-back must occur within one year from the date of approval.
- Extinguishment: The bought-back shares must be extinguished and destroyed within seven days of completion.

2. Section 69 – Transfer to Capital Redemption Reserve

Where the buy-back is made out of free reserves, an amount equal to the nominal value of shares bought back must be transferred to the Capital Redemption Reserve Account, ensuring that the paid-up capital remains intact for creditor protection.

3. Section 70 – Prohibition on Buy-Back

Buy-back is prohibited if:

- The company has defaulted in repayment of deposits, debentures, preference dividends, or loans.
- The company has not complied with Sections 92, 123, 127, and 129 relating to annual returns, declaration of dividends, and financial statements.
- The buy-back is made through subsidiaries or investment companies.

B. Companies (Share Capital and Debentures) Rules, 2014

Rule 17 prescribes the procedural requirements:

- Maintenance of a register of bought-back shares (Form SH-10).
- Filing of return of buy-back (Form SH-11) within 30 days of completion.
- Verification by statutory auditors regarding compliance.

C. SEBI (Buy-Back of Securities) Regulations, 2018

For listed entities, SEBI's regulations impose additional obligations ensuring investor protection and transparency.

Key highlights include:

- Modes: (i) Tender offer, (ii) Open market through stock exchange, (iii) Book-building process.
- Disclosure: Public announcement and filing of letter of offer containing detailed rationale, sources of funds, and financial impact.
- Reservation: Fifteen percent reservation for small shareholders in tender offers.
- Cooling Period: A company cannot make another buy-back offer within one year.
- Escrow Mechanism: Maintenance of escrow account to ensure payment obligations.
- Post-buy-back: Extinguishment of shares within seven working days.

These provisions collectively uphold market integrity and prevent manipulative practices.

IV. Corporate Strategy Behind Buy-Backs

A. Financial Signaling and Market Perception

Buy-backs often convey a positive signal to the market, implying that management considers the company's shares undervalued. This signaling hypothesis aligns with the theory of asymmetric information — when insiders possess superior knowledge of intrinsic value, buy-backs communicate confidence, thereby supporting the share price.

B. Capital Structure Optimization

From a corporate finance perspective, buy-backs serve as a tool for capital structure adjustment. By repurchasing equity, companies increase their leverage (debt proportion), which can potentially enhance return on equity (ROE). This strategic reshaping of capital composition also reduces cost of capital in certain market conditions.

C. Tax Efficiency and Dividend Substitution

Buy-backs may be more tax-efficient than dividends, as capital gains tax rates are often lower than dividend distribution tax (DDT). The Finance Act, 2019 introduced tax on buy-back proceeds for listed companies under Section 115QA of the Income Tax Act, yet buy-backs remain attractive due to flexibility and discretionary timing.

D. Defence Against Hostile Takeovers

By repurchasing shares, management can reduce the free float and concentration of ownership, thus preventing accumulation by potential hostile bidders. This strategic aspect has been observed in several international cases, and though less common in India, it remains a theoretical defense mechanism.

E. Employee Compensation and Treasury Stock

Buy-backs can facilitate employee stock option plans (ESOPs) by replenishing the pool of available shares. Treasury shares thus acquired can be reissued to employees, aligning managerial incentives with shareholder value.

V. Case Law and Judicial Interpretation

Judicial interpretations have clarified various aspects of buy-back compliance and policy intent.

A. Trevor v. Whitworth (1887)

Established the original common law prohibition against buy-backs, forming the historical foundation for capital maintenance doctrine.

B. SEBI v. Sterlite Industries (India) Ltd., (2003) 45 SCL 475 (SAT)

The Securities Appellate Tribunal upheld SEBI's authority to regulate procedural aspects of buy-back to protect investor interests. It emphasized that buy-backs must be executed transparently and not as price manipulation schemes.

C. Infosys Ltd. Buy-Back (2019)

The SEBI rejected Infosys's proposal on grounds that post-buy-back debt-equity ratio would exceed 2:1, reaffirming the importance of financial prudence and creditor protection.

D. Tata Consultancy Services Ltd. Buy-Back (2018)

TCS's ₹16,000 crore buy-back demonstrated adherence to corporate governance norms, setting precedent for large-scale, transparent buy-backs enhancing shareholder value.

VI. Regulatory and Practical Challenges

Despite their strategic benefits, buy-backs raise several regulatory and governance issues:

1. Short-Termism: Buy-backs may prioritize short-term share price appreciation over long-term investment.
2. Insider Advantage: Management may exploit private information to repurchase shares at undervalued prices, disadvantaging small shareholders.
3. Debt Buildup: Excessive reliance on debt-financed buy-backs can impair financial stability.
4. Tax Implications: The evolving tax regime, including buy-back distribution tax, may reduce attractiveness.
5. Regulatory Overlap: Dual compliance with Companies Act and SEBI Regulations often creates procedural complexity.

VII. Comparative Perspective

Globally, jurisdictions such as the United States and the United Kingdom have liberalized buy-back frameworks.

In the U.S., buy-backs are governed by Rule 10b-18 of the Securities Exchange Act, 1934, offering a "safe harbor" against market manipulation charges if certain conditions are met.

In the U.K., buy-backs are permitted under the Companies Act, 2006, with shareholder approval and disclosure requirements.

India's approach remains more conservative, prioritizing creditor protection and market fairness over corporate autonomy. However, with increasing sophistication of capital markets, gradual liberalization is foreseeable.

VIII. Accounting and Financial Reporting

Accounting treatment for buy-backs follows Ind AS 32 and Schedule III of the Companies Act, 2013:

- Bought-back shares are deducted from equity capital.
- Premium paid over face value is adjusted against free reserves or securities premium account.
- Capital Redemption Reserve is created equivalent to nominal value.

Disclosure of buy-back details in financial statements ensures transparency and accountability.

IX. Economic and Governance Implications

Empirical research indicates that buy-backs can positively influence stock prices, liquidity, and shareholder wealth. However, excessive or opportunistic repurchases may distort market dynamics. Governance implications include:

- Strengthening alignment between management and shareholders.
- Enhancing return on equity (ROE) metrics.
- Potential neglect of reinvestment and innovation.

Therefore, the decision to repurchase must be guided by long-term strategic considerations, not merely market optics.

X. Conclusion

The buy-back of shares represents a vital tool of modern corporate finance, balancing capital efficiency with shareholder return. The Companies Act, 2013 and SEBI Regulations, 2018 collectively ensure that such transactions occur within a disciplined legal framework protecting both investors and creditors.

While buy-backs can enhance value, they also carry risks of misuse for short-term earnings management or insider advantage. Hence, effective governance, robust disclosure, and regulatory vigilance are essential to maintain their legitimacy.

In the evolving corporate environment, buy-backs will continue to serve as instruments of strategic flexibility — enabling companies to manage capital prudently, communicate confidence, and align market valuation with intrinsic worth. Nevertheless, their success ultimately depends on the integrity of corporate intent and adherence to the rule of law.

References

1. Companies Act, 2013, Sections 68–70.
2. SEBI (Buy-Back of Securities) Regulations, 2018.
3. Companies (Share Capital and Debentures) Rules, 2014.
4. Trevor v. Whitworth, (1887) 12 App Cas 409 (HL).
5. SEBI v. Sterlite Industries (India) Ltd., (2003) 45 SCL 475 (SAT).
6. Infosys Ltd. Buy-Back Case, SEBI Order (2019).
7. TCS Ltd. Buy-Back Case, SEBI Filings (2018).
8. Charkham, Jonathan, Keeping Good Company: A Study of Corporate Governance in Five Countries (Oxford University Press, 2005).
9. Gower and Davies, Principles of Modern Company Law (Sweet & Maxwell, 2019).
10. OECD, Corporate Governance Factbook (2023).