

## COPYRIGHT CHALLENGES IN THE ERA OF DIGITAL STREAMING AND PIRACY: A LEGAL, TECHNOLOGICAL, AND ECONOMIC ANALYSIS

**AUTHOR** – ARSHIQUE RIZVI, STUDENT AT AMITY UNIVERSITY PATNA

**BEST CITATION** – ARSHIQUE RIZVI, COPYRIGHT CHALLENGES IN THE ERA OF DIGITAL STREAMING AND PIRACY: A LEGAL, TECHNOLOGICAL, AND ECONOMIC ANALYSIS, *INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW (IJLR)*, 5 (12) OF 2025, PG. 1034-1036, APIS – 3920 – 0001 & ISSN – 2583-2344

### ABSTRACT

The digital revolution has transformed the consumption and distribution of creative works, introducing unprecedented opportunities and challenges for copyright law. The rise of digital streaming platforms such as Netflix, Spotify, and YouTube has changed the way audiences engage with music, movies, and other creative content. However, this convenience has been accompanied by a surge in piracy, content leaks, and copyright infringement, creating significant challenges for rightsholders, regulators, and technology companies. This research paper explores the multifaceted copyright challenges in the era of digital streaming and piracy from legal, technological, and economic perspectives. It analyzes the effectiveness of current copyright frameworks, the role of technology in both enabling and combating infringement, and the economic implications for creators and industries. The paper concludes by suggesting adaptive legal reforms and innovative digital governance models to balance creators' rights and public access in a globalized digital ecosystem.

### Introduction

The digital transformation of media consumption has redefined how creative content is produced, distributed, and monetized. Copyright, once tailored to physical reproduction and territorial enforcement, now faces challenges that transcend borders and traditional legal frameworks. As streaming services dominate entertainment markets and piracy remains rampant, the equilibrium between protecting intellectual property and ensuring access to knowledge and culture becomes increasingly complex. This study seeks to examine these dynamics in depth.

### Historical Background and Evolution of Copyright

Copyright law originated as a response to the invention of the printing press in the 15th century, designed to grant authors control over the reproduction of their works. The Statute of Anne (1710) marked the first legislative recognition of authors' rights. Over the

centuries, copyright evolved through international treaties such as the Berne Convention (1886) and the TRIPS Agreement (1995), which harmonized protection across jurisdictions. However, the digital age has introduced unique complexities, including instantaneous global dissemination and anonymous reproduction, challenging the enforcement of these laws.

### Legal Framework of Copyright in the Digital Era

The legal foundation for copyright protection in the digital environment is established through international and national statutes. The World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) Internet Treaties—namely, the WIPO Copyright Treaty (WCT) and the WIPO Performances and Phonograms Treaty (WPPT)—extended copyright protection to digital transmissions. The U.S. Digital Millennium Copyright Act (DMCA, 1998) and the European Union's Directive on Copyright in the Digital Single Market (2019) provide frameworks to address online infringement, safe harbors for intermediaries,

and obligations for content-sharing platforms. Despite these provisions, enforcement remains fragmented and reactive, particularly in jurisdictions with weak regulatory infrastructure.

### Challenges in the Era of Digital Streaming

Digital streaming has revolutionized access to creative works, yet it presents numerous copyright challenges. Platforms often rely on user-generated content, leading to disputes over ownership, licensing, and fair use. Moreover, algorithms that recommend or monetize content may inadvertently promote infringing material. The global reach of streaming services also complicates jurisdictional enforcement, as content may be accessible in regions with differing copyright laws. Another pressing issue is the inequitable distribution of revenues, where artists often receive minimal compensation compared to platform profits.

### Piracy and Technological Factors

Piracy has evolved alongside digital technology, from peer-to-peer sharing networks such as Napster and BitTorrent to sophisticated streaming piracy websites. While technological advancements have facilitated piracy, they have also equipped rightsholders with tools like digital rights management (DRM), watermarking, and blockchain-based authentication. However, DRM measures have faced criticism for restricting legitimate user freedoms, raising debates over consumer rights and the doctrine of fair use. Blockchain, on the other hand, offers promise for transparent ownership tracking and smart contracts that automate licensing.

### Economic Implications of Digital Piracy and Streaming

The economic effects of piracy are multifaceted. While industries report billions in annual losses due to illegal downloads and streams, some scholars argue that piracy may serve as a form of market signaling or indirect promotion. The streaming model has partially mitigated piracy by providing affordable, legal

alternatives; however, it has also created new inequities. Revenue distribution in streaming economies often favors intermediaries over creators. According to IFPI (2023), artists earn less than 15% of total streaming revenues, highlighting a systemic imbalance in creative compensation.

### Technological and Legal Reforms

Addressing copyright challenges in the digital era requires both legal adaptation and technological innovation. Emerging frameworks emphasize proactive monitoring, cross-border enforcement cooperation, and the use of artificial intelligence for content identification. The EU Directive on Copyright (2019) mandates that online content-sharing platforms obtain licenses or ensure removal of infringing content, a move aimed at balancing user creativity and rightsholder protection. Concurrently, technological solutions such as AI-based content recognition, watermarking, and blockchain can enhance transparency and accountability in digital content distribution.

### Case Studies

Several landmark cases have shaped the legal discourse on digital copyright. The case of *\*A&M Records v. Napster\** (2001) established the liability of peer-to-peer services for facilitating infringement. Similarly, *\*Viacom v. YouTube\** (2010) tested the boundaries of intermediary liability under the DMCA. In India, *\*Super Cassettes Industries Ltd. v. MySpace Inc.\** highlighted the tension between technological platforms and copyright enforcement in developing markets. These cases underscore the need for clarity in balancing innovation with protection.

### International Cooperation and Future Directions

Global coordination remains vital for addressing the transnational nature of online piracy. Organizations such as WIPO and INTERPOL promote collaborative enforcement efforts, yet disparities persist between developed and developing nations in terms of

capacity and priorities. Moving forward, harmonized data-sharing, uniform notice-and-takedown systems, and global digital identity frameworks could strengthen collective protection mechanisms. Moreover, integrating copyright education into digital literacy programs can empower users to respect intellectual property rights.

### Conclusion

Copyright in the digital streaming era stands at a critical intersection of law, technology, and economics. While digital platforms have democratized access to creative content, they have also blurred the boundaries of ownership and fair compensation. Legal frameworks must evolve beyond traditional enforcement to embrace adaptive governance and technological solutions. A balanced approach—protecting creators while preserving public access—remains the cornerstone of sustainable copyright policy in the digital age.

### References

A&M Records, Inc. v. Napster, Inc., 239 F.3d 1004 (9th Cir. 2001).

European Union Directive on Copyright in the Digital Single Market, 2019.

IFPI Global Music Report, 2023.

Super Cassettes Industries Ltd. v. MySpace Inc., 2011 (48) PTC 49 (Del).

TRIPS Agreement, 1995.

Viacom International, Inc. v. YouTube, Inc., 676 F.3d 19 (2d Cir. 2012).

WIPO Copyright Treaty, 1996.

WIPO Performances and Phonograms Treaty, 1996.

Digital Millennium Copyright Act, 1998.

Berne Convention for the Protection of Literary and Artistic Works, 1886.