

ELECTORAL REFORMS IN INDIA: A NEED FOR TRANSPARENT DEMOCRACY

AUTHOR – JASWANT KUMAR, STUDENT AT JHARKHAND RAI UNIVERSITY

BEST CITATION – JASWANT KUMAR, ELECTORAL REFORMS IN INDIA: A NEED FOR TRANSPARENT DEMOCRACY, *INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW (IJLR)*, 5 (10) OF 2025, PG. 811-822, APIS – 3920 – 0001 & ISSN – 2583-2344.

I. Introduction

India, the world's largest democracy, derives its political legitimacy from a robust electoral process enshrined in the Constitution and upheld by its people. The democratic structure of the country rests on the fundamental premise that elections must be **free, fair, and transparent**. Elections are not merely a procedural ritual but the **heart of representative democracy**, where citizens choose their representatives to legislate, govern, and ensure accountability.

However, over the years, the credibility of the Indian electoral system has come under intense scrutiny. Despite being praised for conducting elections in a vast and diverse country, the system is often marred by issues such as **money power, muscle power, electoral violence, criminalization of politics, voter manipulation, and lack of internal democracy in political parties**. The **erosion of public trust** in the process reflects an urgent need for comprehensive and meaningful electoral reforms.

The phrase "**electoral reform**" encompasses both the **modification of existing laws** and the **introduction of new procedures, mechanisms, and ethical standards** to enhance electoral transparency, accountability, and inclusivity. These reforms are essential to bridge the growing gap between the **ideals of democracy** and the **realities of electoral practice**.

This article aims to explore the **historical context, contemporary challenges, previous reform efforts, and the road ahead** for India's electoral system. Through a detailed analysis, it argues that **electoral reform is not just desirable but indispensable** for realizing the true potential of Indian democracy.

II. Evolution of Electoral System in India

The electoral system in India has its roots in the **colonial legacy** but underwent a radical

transformation with the **adoption of the Constitution in 1950**. The **founding fathers of the Indian Republic**, despite immense challenges like poverty, illiteracy, and social stratification, chose **universal adult suffrage** as the basis of democracy – a bold and visionary move that made India one of the first post-colonial nations to adopt this principle.

1. The Constitutional Framework

Part XV of the Indian Constitution (Articles 324 to 329) deals with elections. It entrusted the task of conducting elections to an **independent Election Commission of India (ECI)**. The following constitutional and statutory provisions form the backbone of India's electoral system:

- **Article 324:** Vests the superintendence, direction, and control of elections in the Election Commission.
- **Article 326:** Provides for elections based on **universal adult franchise**.
- **The Representation of the People Acts, 1950 and 1951:** Lay down the legal framework for electoral rolls, qualifications and disqualifications, conduct of elections, and settlement of electoral disputes.

2. Initial Years of Electoral Practice

The **first general elections of 1951–52** were a monumental achievement, with over **173 million people eligible to vote**. The logistical and administrative complexity of conducting elections in such a large and diverse country was unprecedented. Yet, the elections were generally peaceful and fair, setting a strong precedent.

In the early decades, elections were largely **issue-based and ideology-driven**, with voter trust in political institutions relatively high. However, with time, several **structural and operational flaws began to surface**.

3. Signs of Decline and Rising Concerns

By the 1970s and 1980s, a **shift in electoral politics** was noticeable:

- **Emergence of money and muscle power** in elections.
- **Booth capturing** became a serious issue, especially in northern states.
- **Use of caste and communal rhetoric** to polarize voters.
- **Increasing criminalization of politics**, with individuals facing serious criminal charges being elected to office.

The **Emergency period (1975–77)** marked a dark chapter, when democratic norms, including electoral fairness, were subverted. The post-Emergency elections in 1977 reaffirmed public faith in democracy, but also revealed vulnerabilities in the system.

4. Technological Advancements and Modernization

The **introduction of Electronic Voting Machines (EVMs)** in the 1990s was a significant step in curbing electoral malpractices like ballot stuffing. Later, **Voter Verifiable Paper Audit Trail (VVPAT)** machines were added for greater transparency.

Digital tools, online registration, and electoral roll updates have made elections more accessible. However, these advancements have

also introduced new challenges, such as **cybersecurity threats and concerns about electronic manipulation**.

5. Role of Election Commission in Shaping the System

Over the years, the ECI has evolved from a relatively passive body to a proactive constitutional authority. Under visionary leaders like **T.N. Seshan**, the Commission began to assert its powers, enforcing the Model Code of Conduct, curbing election malpractices, and pushing for reforms.

Despite these efforts, **structural deficiencies** remain, particularly in campaign financing, candidate selection, and enforcement of electoral laws.

III. Challenges in the Current Electoral Process

Despite the constitutional and institutional mechanisms designed to ensure free and fair elections, the Indian electoral system continues to face **persistent and deep-rooted challenges**. These issues not only compromise the **transparency and integrity** of the electoral process but also erode **public confidence in democratic institutions**.

1. Criminalization of Politics

One of the gravest concerns is the **entry of criminals into the political arena**. According to data from the Association for Democratic Reforms (ADR), a significant proportion of sitting MPs and MLAs have **pending criminal cases**, including charges related to murder, rape, and corruption.

- **Reasons:** Weak enforcement of disqualification laws, voter apathy, and the influence of muscle power.
- **Implications:** Deterioration of the quality of governance, misuse of public office, and declining public trust.

Though the Supreme Court has issued directives to expedite cases against elected representatives, the **lack of political will** has made criminalization a chronic issue.

2. Influence of Money Power

Elections in India are **exorbitantly expensive**, often involving unaccounted money. Political parties and candidates spend far beyond the prescribed limits, exploiting loopholes in the law.

- **Electoral Bonds**, introduced in 2017 as a means of cleansing political funding, have been criticized for lacking transparency and favoring ruling parties.
- **Corporate donations**, while legal, raise concerns of **quid pro quo and policy capture**.

This monetization of elections distorts the level playing field and promotes **plutocracy over democracy**.

3. Misuse of Government Machinery and State Resources

Incumbent governments have often been accused of **misusing official machinery**, including police, administrative services, and media, for electoral gains. This not only **undermines fairness** but also damages the **credibility of public institutions**.

The Election Commission issues the **Model Code of Conduct (MCC)** to prevent such misuse, but its enforcement is inconsistent and often contested.

4. Voter Manipulation and Identity Politics

Electoral campaigns are frequently marked by **divisive rhetoric** based on **caste, religion, region, and language**. Identity politics overshadows real issues like education, health, and employment.

- **Paid news, fake news, and targeted misinformation** via social media platforms have emerged as modern tools of voter manipulation.
- **Polarization** has become a common tactic to consolidate vote banks.

This trend reduces elections to a contest of emotions rather than policies, weakening the **deliberative nature** of democracy.

5. Lack of Internal Democracy in Political Parties

Most political parties in India are **centralized and personality-driven**, lacking internal democracy. Leadership positions are often inherited, and decisions on candidate selection and policy are rarely consultative.

- **No statutory requirement** mandates parties to practice internal elections or maintain transparency in functioning.
- This leads to **concentration of power**, factionalism, and absence of merit-based political representation.

6. Erosion of Electoral Ethics

The **decline in political morality and electoral ethics** is another growing concern. The culture of **vote buying, distributing freebies, intimidating voters**, and using religious symbols during campaigns continues despite being prohibited under electoral laws.

The ethical decay reflects not only on politicians but also on the **public's tolerance for electoral malpractice**, making reforms a societal as well as institutional necessity.

7. Voter Apathy and Declining Trust

In urban areas especially, **voter turnout is low**, indicating a disconnect between the electorate and the political process. Disillusionment arises from the perception that elections **do not bring about real change**, and that parties differ in name but not in conduct.

Furthermore, doubts over **EVM security, allegations of manipulation, and lack of transparency in party funding** have contributed to declining **electoral legitimacy**.

IV. Major Electoral Reforms Undertaken

Over the decades, several **legislative, administrative, and judicial reforms** have been introduced to strengthen the electoral process in India. While not all challenges have been fully addressed, these reforms mark important milestones in the journey toward **electoral transparency and integrity**.

1. Lowering of Voting Age (61st Constitutional Amendment, 1989)

One of the earliest reforms was the reduction of the voting age from **21 to 18 years**, empowering millions of young citizens to participate in the democratic process. This expanded the electorate and enhanced **youth representation** in politics.

2. Introduction of Electronic Voting Machines (EVMs)

EVMs were first used in a limited manner in the 1990s and became widespread by the early 2000s. They replaced paper ballots and helped reduce:

- **Booth capturing**
- **Ballot tampering**
- **Counting delays**

Later, the **Voter Verifiable Paper Audit Trail (VVPAT)** was added for greater transparency, allowing voters to confirm their choice visually.

3. Model Code of Conduct (MCC)

Though not legally binding, the MCC is a **moral code** enforced by the Election Commission to ensure fair campaigning during elections. It prohibits:

- Use of government resources for campaigning
- Communal or caste-based appeals
- Personal attacks and hate speech
- Distribution of money or gifts to voters

The ECI has, on several occasions, **pulled up political leaders** for MCC violations, reinforcing its authority.

4. Disclosure of Criminal Records and Assets (2002 Supreme Court Judgement)

Following the landmark **Union of India v. Association for Democratic Reforms (2002)** case, the Supreme Court made it mandatory for all candidates to **disclose their criminal records, assets, liabilities, and educational qualifications** at the time of filing nominations.

- This reform enhanced **voter awareness**.
- It also enabled watchdogs like ADR to compile public reports, helping voters make **informed choices**.

5. Curbing Excessive Campaign Expenditure

The Representation of the People Act, 1951, prescribes limits on **campaign expenditure**. Though often violated in practice, efforts have been made to:

- **Monitor candidate spending** through flying squads and expenditure observers.
- **Mandate regular reporting** of campaign expenses.

The ECI has also sought **greater powers** to disqualify candidates who submit false or delayed reports.

6. NOTA (None of the Above) Option

Introduced in 2013 following Supreme Court orders, NOTA allows voters to reject all contesting candidates.

- While NOTA votes do not impact the result (the candidate with the highest valid votes still wins), it serves as a **symbolic protest**.
- Its presence has increased **public engagement and debate** on political accountability.

7. Electoral Roll Purification and Voter ID Integration

The introduction of **EPIC (Electors Photo Identity Card)** and efforts to link it with **Aadhaar** have streamlined the electoral rolls, reducing instances of **duplicate or fake voters**.

- **Digital registration**, corrections, and deletions have improved voter roll management.
- **Door-to-door verification drives** have increased the reliability of the process.

8. Use of Technology for Transparency

The ECI has leveraged technology through:

- **cVIGIL app** for reporting violations

- **Online candidate nomination systems**
- **Live webcasting** at sensitive polling booths
- **Election tracking dashboards** for observers and citizens

These innovations aim to make the process **accessible, secure, and accountable**.

9. Electoral Bond Scheme (2017)

Introduced to make political funding cleaner, electoral bonds were intended to curb cash donations. However, they have been widely criticized for:

- **Anonymity of donors**, including corporations
- **Lack of disclosure**, making it hard to track influence
- **Disproportionate benefits** to ruling parties

The debate over electoral bonds remains one of the most contentious in recent electoral reform discourse.

V. Need for Further Reforms

Despite the progress made, India's electoral system still suffers from structural shortcomings that call for **urgent, comprehensive, and future-ready reforms**. These are essential not only for ensuring free and fair elections but also for restoring **public confidence and democratic legitimacy**.

1. Addressing Criminalization of Politics

While mandatory disclosure of criminal antecedents is a positive step, it has not deterred parties from fielding candidates with serious charges. What is required is:

- **Legislative disqualification** of candidates facing heinous charges after framing of charges (not just after conviction).
- **Fast-track courts** to adjudicate such cases within a fixed timeframe.

- Political parties must be **penalized** for ignoring clean candidate norms, possibly by the **Election Commission refusing their symbols** or **withholding tax benefits**.

2. Political Party Regulation and Internal Democracy

The absence of internal democracy in political parties undermines democratic culture. Reforms should include:

- **Statutory regulation** for holding internal elections within parties.
- Mandatory **financial transparency** and auditing of party accounts.
- Empowering the **Election Commission** to monitor and de-register non-compliant parties.

Such reforms can reduce **dynastic politics** and promote merit-based leadership.

3. Transparent and Accountable Political Funding

Electoral bonds, in their current form, have **weakened transparency**. Urgent steps needed include:

- **Abolishing anonymous donations** or placing a cap on them.
- Mandating **real-time disclosure** of donations and donors.
- Ensuring **level playing field** by preventing concentration of corporate funding toward ruling parties.

An **independent regulator or oversight authority** could be created to monitor political financing, separate from the ECI.

4. Strengthening the Role and Powers of the Election Commission

The Election Commission's autonomy and effectiveness can be enhanced by:

- Instituting a **collegium system** (involving the CJI, PM, and LoP) for appointing Election Commissioners.

- Granting the Commission **contempt powers** to enforce its directives.
- Providing **constitutional protection** to all Election Commissioners (not just the Chief).

A stronger Commission is essential to **curb executive influence and partisan bias** in election administration.

5. Curbing Misuse of Government Machinery

The Model Code of Conduct should be given **statutory backing**, making violations punishable offenses. There should also be:

- A **ban on government advertisements** using public funds during election periods.
- Strict prohibition of **announcement of populist schemes**, appointments, or transfers close to elections.

This would curb the **abuse of incumbency** and promote a fair electoral field.

6. Reforming the First-Past-The-Post (FPTP) System

While FPTP is simple and stable, it often results in **governments winning absolute power with a minority of votes**. Alternatives worth exploring include:

- **Proportional Representation (PR)** or **Mixed Member Proportional systems**, especially for Rajya Sabha or local elections.
- Introducing **run-off elections** where no candidate secures a majority.

These reforms can ensure more **inclusive representation** and reduce vote wastage.

7. Mandatory Voting and Awareness Programs

To tackle **urban apathy and low voter turnout**, reforms could consider:

- **Incentivized voting** or even **mandatory voting** (with penalties for unjustified abstention).

- Expanding **electoral literacy** campaigns through schools, colleges, and workplaces.

Increasing voter participation enhances **representativeness and legitimacy**.

8. Regulation of Social Media and Digital Campaigning

The influence of social media in elections is undeniable, but unchecked use leads to:

- **Spread of misinformation**, deep fakes, and hate speech.
- **Micro-targeting** that can polarize voters.

Reforms should include:

- A **code of conduct for digital campaigning**.
- Mandatory **disclosure of political advertisements and sponsors** on platforms.
- Real-time monitoring and **penalties for fake news dissemination**.

The **ECI's Cyber Cell** needs to be strengthened with AI tools and legal backing.

9. Strengthening Voter Rights and Accessibility

To make the electoral process truly inclusive:

- Ensure **postal ballots or e-voting** for NRIs, persons with disabilities, and migrant workers.
- Implement **online voter registration, correction, and grievance redressal**.
- Ensure **disabled-friendly infrastructure** and assistance at polling booths.

VI. Comparative Insights from Other Democracies

Analyzing electoral systems across the world offers valuable lessons for reforming and strengthening democracy in India. Many democracies have undertaken bold steps to promote **transparency, accountability, inclusiveness, and public trust** in their electoral processes. While each system is context-

specific, certain practices provide inspiration for India's electoral reform journey.

1. United States: Transparency in Campaign Financing

The United States has a robust mechanism for **campaign finance disclosure**:

- The **Federal Election Commission (FEC)** oversees and regulates campaign contributions and expenditures.
- Candidates and parties must regularly file **detailed financial reports**, including donor identities.
- While loopholes remain (like Super PACs), public access to campaign finance data enhances transparency.

Lesson for India: Real-time, mandatory disclosure of donations and campaign expenses, with public access, can improve trust and curb opaque funding mechanisms.

2. Germany: Proportional Representation and State Funding

Germany uses a **Mixed Member Proportional Representation system**, which combines FPTP and proportionality. Key features include:

- **Proportional representation** ensures fairer outcomes for smaller parties.
- Political parties receive **state funding** based on their electoral performance and private contributions, reducing dependence on corporate donors.
- There is **strict regulation of party finances** and transparent accounting.

Lesson for India: Exploring proportional representation in certain elections and introducing **public funding of elections** can level the playing field and reduce reliance on black money.

3. Australia: Mandatory Voting and Electoral Education

Australia has implemented **compulsory voting** since 1924. Features include:

- Citizens are legally required to vote or face a nominal fine.
- **Electoral education** is part of the school curriculum, promoting civic consciousness.
- The **Australian Electoral Commission** operates independently with strong enforcement powers.

Lesson for India: While mandatory voting may not be culturally feasible yet, stronger electoral literacy campaigns and **youth engagement programs** could significantly improve voter participation.

4. United Kingdom: Regulation of Digital Campaigns

The UK Electoral Commission monitors **online political campaigning**, requiring:

- Clear labeling of political advertisements.
- Transparency in **funding sources** for digital campaigns.
- Sanctions against misinformation and foreign interference.

Lesson for India: Strengthening digital campaign oversight and ensuring **algorithmic accountability on platforms** like Facebook and X (formerly Twitter) can prevent manipulation.

5. Canada: Stringent Candidate Vetting and Independent Oversight

Canada enforces strict rules for candidates and political parties:

- Individuals with certain criminal convictions are **barred from contesting**.
- Political parties must **register, disclose finances**, and comply with democratic norms.
- Elections Canada, an independent and well-resourced body, manages elections without executive interference.

Lesson for India: Empowering the Election Commission with **statutory authority to reject**

corrupt candidates and **monitor party behavior** would enhance democratic quality.

6. Scandinavian Countries: High Voter Trust and Clean Elections

Countries like **Sweden, Norway, and Finland** are global leaders in clean, credible elections. They benefit from:

- **Public funding of campaigns**
- **Minimal electioneering expenses**
- **Civic education and trust in public institutions**
- Transparent governance and **zero-tolerance policies** toward corruption

Lesson for India: Fostering a culture of **civic responsibility, low-cost campaigning,** and **strict ethical norms** can transform the electoral climate.

VII. Role of Civil Society and Judiciary in Electoral Reforms

The push for electoral reforms in India has not been confined to legislative or executive action alone. Both **civil society organizations** and the **judiciary** have played crucial roles in advocating for change and ensuring accountability in the electoral process. Their involvement is essential for fostering a **transparent, democratic, and accountable electoral system.**

1. Civil Society: Advocating for Transparency and Accountability

Civil society organizations (CSOs) have been at the forefront of demanding electoral reforms in India. They perform several vital functions in promoting transparency and advocating for systemic changes:

- **Election Monitoring and Awareness:** Organizations such as the **Association for Democratic Reforms (ADR)** and **National Election Watch (NEW)** have been instrumental in raising awareness about electoral malpractices, especially the criminalization of politics, illegal funding, and voter fraud. They regularly

publish reports on the **criminal backgrounds of candidates,** the **lack of internal democracy** in parties, and the **growing influence of money in elections.**

- **Voter Education Campaigns:** Civil society groups also conduct extensive **voter education drives** to encourage informed voting and increase voter participation. Programs focusing on electoral rights, the importance of voting, and how to recognize and reject vote-buying tactics are critical in combating voter apathy.
- **Petitions and Public Pressure:** Civil society plays a crucial role in **litigating on electoral issues** and raising public pressure for reform. Through petitions, protests, and lobbying, CSOs have been successful in forcing the government and the Election Commission to address issues like the **disclosure of criminal records** and **public funding of political parties.**
- **Poll Observers and Election Integrity:** Many CSOs also act as **poll observers,** ensuring that the elections are free and fair. Their presence has led to the **early detection** of election violations such as the use of government machinery, vote-buying, and other electoral malpractices.

2. The Judiciary: Upholding Electoral Integrity

The **judiciary** has played a pivotal role in shaping the electoral landscape in India, particularly through its **interpretation of the Constitution** and **adjudication of electoral disputes.** Some landmark judicial actions include:

- **Criminalization of Politics:** One of the most significant judicial interventions came in the form of the 2002 **Association for Democratic Reforms (ADR) case,** where the Supreme Court directed that all candidates must

declare their **criminal records** and **assets**. This ruling laid the foundation for the disclosure requirements that are now a fundamental aspect of electoral transparency.

- **Right to Information and Election Laws:** The **Right to Information (RTI)** Act, which enables citizens to access electoral data and party funding details, has been crucial in improving transparency. The judiciary has been instrumental in **interpreting and upholding RTI provisions** that concern electoral data.
- **Disqualification of Defaulters:** The courts have taken steps to ensure that elected representatives are not allowed to hold office if they are involved in serious criminal activities. In certain cases, the judiciary has ordered the **disqualification** of candidates found guilty of **criminal conduct** or **corruption**, reinforcing the principle that public office must be a reflection of ethical standards.
- **Election Commission Autonomy:** The judiciary has been a strong proponent of ensuring that the **Election Commission of India (ECI)** remains independent and free from political interference. In landmark cases, such as **Supreme Court vs. Union of India (1993)**, the Court emphasized the need to maintain the ECI's autonomy, which has allowed the institution to function with greater **credibility and impartiality**.
- **Gender Equality in Elections:** The judiciary has also played a role in promoting gender equality in elections. For instance, the **Women's Reservation Bill**, which proposes reserving one-third of the seats in legislatures for women, has received substantial backing from the judiciary, advocating for equal participation in the democratic process.

3. The Synergy Between Civil Society and Judiciary

The relationship between civil society and the judiciary is symbiotic, as both work to reinforce the integrity of the electoral system:

- **Judicial Orders and Civil Society Advocacy:** Civil society organizations often use **judicial rulings** as a platform for further advocacy. For instance, after the Supreme Court's 2018 ruling on the **criminalization of politics**, organizations like ADR ramped up efforts to push for the disqualification of candidates with serious charges, emphasizing the Court's directive.
- **Public Interest Litigation (PIL):** Civil society has been pivotal in **filing Public Interest Litigations (PILs)** related to electoral reforms, leveraging the judiciary to address systemic issues such as **voter suppression**, **irregularities in voter lists**, and **non-compliance with the Model Code of Conduct**.
- **Collaborative Efforts for Electoral Integrity:** Both the judiciary and civil society work in collaboration to **protect the sanctity of the voting process**. For example, civil society often pushes for the **empowerment of the Election Commission**, while the judiciary upholds the **commission's autonomy** through legal precedents, ensuring that the ECI can operate without political interference.

4. Challenges Faced by Civil Society and the Judiciary

While civil society and the judiciary have significantly impacted electoral reforms, several challenges remain:

- **Political Interference:** Both civil society and the judiciary face **political pressure** that can undermine their independence and ability to enforce reforms. Politicians

may attempt to dilute judicial rulings or curb civil society's activities.

- **Lack of Enforcement:** Even when judicial rulings or civil society recommendations are made, there is often a **lack of enforcement**. This is particularly problematic when dealing with **criminal politicians**, electoral malpractices, and financial transparency issues, where political considerations can undermine the implementation of reforms.
- **Resource Constraints:** Civil society organizations often operate with limited resources, making it difficult to monitor every election or fully engage with all stakeholders. **Financial dependence** on donations can sometimes compromise their ability to operate freely.

VIII. Conclusion and Recommendations

India's electoral system, though an essential pillar of its democratic framework, still faces challenges that undermine transparency, inclusiveness, and fairness. While reforms over the years have improved various aspects of the system, the need for more comprehensive, long-term changes remains critical. The complexities of India's diverse society, the rise of political polarization, the growing influence of money in elections, and the criminalization of politics all require urgent attention through **deep, systemic reforms**.

Key Findings

- **Electoral Transparency:** Although some steps have been taken, especially through the introduction of **EVMs, VVPAT, and disclosure requirements** for candidates, the full transparency of the electoral process has yet to be achieved. The issue of **black money** and **unaccountable political funding** continues to haunt Indian elections.
- **Criminalization of Politics:** The involvement of candidates with criminal backgrounds remains rampant despite the **disclosure requirements**. While the

Supreme Court has mandated disclosure, the lack of concrete legal actions against such individuals allows them to remain in the fray.

- **Representation:** India's **first-past-the-post system** often results in **disproportionate representation** and **wasted votes**. Smaller parties and regional voices are frequently sidelined, contributing to political polarization and **fragmentation of the political landscape**.
- **Voter Participation and Awareness:** Despite efforts to enhance **voter literacy**, **turnout remains low** in urban areas, and **disenfranchisement** continues to affect marginalized groups such as migrants, differently-abled individuals, and **NRIs**.
- **Digital Campaigning and Misinformation:** The rise of **social media and digital platforms** has introduced new challenges related to **misinformation, foreign influence, and targeted campaigning**. These platforms require strict **regulation** and **transparency** to maintain electoral integrity.

Recommendations for Future Reforms

1. **Strengthening the Electoral Process:**
 - **Reform the Criminal Justice System for Politicians:** Candidates with serious criminal charges should face **disqualification** after a certain point in the judicial process, such as after **framing of charges**, not just after conviction. This would address the **criminalization of politics** effectively.
 - **Introduction of Proportional Representation:** A shift toward **proportional representation (PR)** for certain elections, such as **Rajya Sabha**, can help ensure **fairer representation** of smaller

parties, reduce the dominance of larger parties, and curb the **polarization** associated with FPTP.

- **Strengthening the Role of the Election Commission:** Empower the **Election Commission of India** with more **independent authority**, **fast-track mechanisms**, and the ability to **penalize parties** or candidates who violate the **Model Code of Conduct**. Giving the **ECI** the power to de-register parties or candidates involved in corruption would help strengthen its role.

2. Ensuring Electoral Integrity and Transparency:

- **Mandatory and Real-Time Disclosure of Political Donations:** Introduce a law that requires **real-time** disclosure of political donations, including corporate donations, with full transparency. **Electoral bonds** should be reconsidered or **reformed** to avoid the **anonymity** of donors.
- **Tighter Regulation of Digital Campaigning:** India needs a **comprehensive regulatory framework** for social media, focusing on **misinformation**, **hate speech**, and **foreign interference**. Platforms must be held accountable for political content and compelled to disclose sources of funding.
- **Implementation of Digital Voting:** To make elections more accessible, especially for the **migrant population** and **differently-abled voters**, India should consider the gradual introduction of **secure digital voting** or **online voting** options,

alongside rigorous verification protocols.

3. Enhancing Voter Participation and Representation:

- **Compulsory Voting and Civic Education:** Drawing from countries like **Australia**, a **compulsory voting** system, along with stronger **electoral literacy programs**, would increase voter participation and decrease apathy. Implementing voter education initiatives across schools and communities can help people understand the significance of their vote.
- **Expanding Representation for Marginalized Groups:** Ensure **greater political representation** for women, backward classes, and other marginalized communities by enforcing **quotas** or special measures in elections. Strengthen the **Women's Reservation Bill** to increase women's representation in the legislature.

4. Strengthening Legal and Institutional Frameworks:

- **Institutionalizing Election Funding:** Consider the **public financing** of elections, where parties and candidates can access funds based on their electoral performance. This would help **level the playing field**, reduce the influence of **money power**, and ensure more equitable participation.
- **Judicial Oversight:** The **judiciary** should continue to play an active role in monitoring electoral fairness, especially by interpreting **constitutional guarantees** related to free and

fair elections. Strengthening the **election dispute resolution process** through **fast-track courts** would help maintain confidence in the system.

Conclusion: Towards a Transparent and Accountable Democracy

In conclusion, **electoral reforms** are essential for India to maintain its status as the **world's largest democracy**. While the **legal framework** for elections is robust, continuous reform is necessary to address emerging challenges such as **electoral corruption, the role of money in politics, the misuse of social media, and criminalization of politics**.

The future of Indian democracy hinges on:

- Ensuring **transparency** in funding and campaigning.
- Ensuring **fair representation** for all sections of society, particularly marginalized groups.
- **Strengthening institutions** such as the Election Commission and the judiciary to ensure **independence** and **impartiality**.
- **Increasing voter awareness** and participation through civic education and robust electoral literacy programs.

With the **right political will** and **active citizen engagement**, India can achieve a **more inclusive, transparent, and accountable electoral system**, furthering its democratic ideals and strengthening the foundation of its governance.

GRASP - EDUCATE - EVOLVE