



INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW

VOLUME 5 AND ISSUE 8 OF 2025

INSTITUTE OF LEGAL EDUCATION



INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW

APIS – 3920 – 0001 | ISSN – 2583-2344

(Open Access Journal)

Journal's Home Page – <https://ijlr.iledu.in/>

Journal's Editorial Page – <https://ijlr.iledu.in/editorial-board/>

Volume 5 and Issue 8 of 2025 (Access Full Issue on – <https://ijlr.iledu.in/volume-5-and-issue-7-of-2025/>)

Publisher

Prasanna S,

Chairman of Institute of Legal Education

No. 08, Arul Nagar, Seera Thoppu,

Maudhanda Kurichi, Srirangam,

Tiruchirappalli – 620102

Phone : +91 94896 71437 – info@iledu.in / Chairman@iledu.in



© Institute of Legal Education

Copyright Disclaimer: All rights are reserve with Institute of Legal Education. No part of the material published on this website (Articles or Research Papers including those published in this journal) may be reproduced, distributed, or transmitted in any form or by any means, including photocopying, recording, or other electronic or mechanical methods, without the prior written permission of the publisher. For more details refer <https://ijlr.iledu.in/terms-and-condition/>

A STUDY ON RIGHTS AND STATUS OF A CHILD BORN OUT A LIVE-IN RELATIONSHIP IN INDIA

AUTHOR – YUSUF A K*

* BBA LLB(HONS) SAVEETHA SCHOOL OF LAW SAVEETHA INSTITUTE OF MEDICAL AND TECHNICAL SCIENCES
(SIMATS) CHENNAI: 600077 MOBILE NO :9500827435 Email:kalamyusuf1512@gmail.com

BEST CITATION – YUSUF A K, A STUDY ON RIGHTS AND STATUS OF A CHILD BORN OUT A LIVE-IN
RELATIONSHIP IN INDIA, *INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW (IJLR)*, 5 (8) OF 2025, PG. 139-158, APIS – 3920 –
0001 & ISSN – 2583-2344.

ABSTRACT

With the passage of time and urbanization, India's social dynamics have seen some favorable improvements. Whereas a small percentage of the Indian populace has accepted it, a sizable portion remains opposed. Even while films like 'Luka Chhuppi' have helped to mainstream it in Bollywood and regional cinema, there is still hesitation. The objective of this research is whether the Indian society and the judiciary are welcoming about the new age concept and whether a child born out of such relationship is legitimate or not. The research method followed here is a descriptive method (empirical research). A total of 200 samples have been collected out of which all the samples have been collected through field visits by picking the general public at random. The results from the research are majority of the sample population are welcoming and aware about the live-in relationship concept however they feel it can affect oneself negatively and another finding is that child born out of live-in relationship is legitimate under the law. It is safe to assume that, given the current legal situation, the child of a live-in relationship will inevitably face a lack of clarity in life in terms of his or her legal position, ancestry, and eventual rights. This might lead to mental and emotional instability in the child's life. To prevent this, explicit rules should be enacted, as well as revisions to unclear wording in existing laws, to provide clarification on the status and rights of children born in a live-in relationship. This will maintain consistency and help the youngster create emotional, mental, and physical stability.

KEYWORDS – Living relationship, legitimacy, child, marriage, Domestic cohabitation

INTRODUCTION

With the passage of time and urbanization, India's social dynamics have seen some favorable improvements. And a slew of progressive rulings over the previous decade are proof of that. In the case of **KS. Puttaswamy v. Union of India**, the Supreme Court recognised privacy as a basic right in 2017. In **NALSA v. Union of India**, the Supreme Court of India upheld transgender people's rights in 2014. In **Navtej Singh Johar v. Union of India**, it decriminalized S. 377 of the Indian Penal Code in 2018. The antiquated beliefs of

Indian society have been challenged in a number of court decisions. Certain social truths, however, remain unaccepted and are viewed through the prism of patriarchal morality; for example, live-in partnerships are a classic example. Whereas a small percentage of the Indian populace has accepted it, a sizable portion remains opposed. Even while films like 'Luka Chhuppi' have helped to mainstream it in Bollywood and regional cinema, there is still hesitation. Following two contentious decisions by the Punjab and Haryana High Courts in May

2021, the topic acquired prominence. First, the Court rejected extending protection to couples cohabiting in a live-in relationship in **Gulzar Kumari v. State of Punjab and Ujjawal v. State of Haryana**, finding that such partnerships are morally and socially wrong, capable of undermining the moral structure of Indian society. Thankfully, the Punjab and Haryana High Court, in a different case, stated that the ability to choose whether to marry or engage in a non-formal way of live-in partnerships is inherent in the right to life and personal liberty, just days following these judgments. In a similar vein, several High Courts have recently awarded police protection to a live-in couple, supporting their fundamental right to life and personal liberty as outlined in Article 21 of the Indian Constitution. In India, the legality of live-in relationships is mostly unknown. While there is no legislation in India that defines live-in partnerships, the judiciary has been open to the idea. So, despite the fact that it is rather popular nowadays, couples are not afforded any legal protections because the state does not recognise their relationship. The topic is a puzzle for marriage, and it frequently acts as a barrier to obtaining social security benefits.

Meaning And Legal Status Of Live-In Relationship In India Although there is no specific definition for the word "live-in relationship," it refers to domestic cohabitation between two unmarried people. Couples are increasingly embracing the concept of live-in relationships. However, it is possible to say that the prevalence is higher in metros and tier 1 cities, particularly among upwardly mobile youth. For a variety of reasons, people choose live-in relationships over weddings. Often, couples would enter into live-in relationships to test their compatibility before committing to marriage. It allows them to better understand each other and make well-informed judgments about important commitments such as marriage. Live-in relationships, especially in countries like India, where divorce is frowned upon and stigmatized, allow for separation without the intrusion of the

state. Premarital sex, on the other hand, is frowned upon in Indian society. As a result, couples cohabiting before marriage are frequently regarded as culturally incorrect, immoral, and revolting to societal norms. As a result, while some people have openly embraced the concept of live-in relationships, it continues to be frowned upon by conservatives. Nonetheless, the Indian judiciary has intervened and awarded relief to live-in couples on numerous occasions, maintaining the individual's right to liberty. Article 21 of the Indian Constitution establishes the legal framework for live-in couples. This inalienable fundamental right gives rise to the right and freedom of choice to marry or have a live-in relationship with someone of one's own free will. The Supreme Court ruled in **Payal Sharma v. Nari Niketan** that a man and woman could live together without getting married if they wanted to. The Court clarified the distinction between law and morality, stating that while live-in relationships are considered immoral by society, they are neither illegal nor an offense. Two people who cohabit and stay in a live-in relationship are not committing a crime. It stressed that, while live-in relationships are socially unpleasant in some parts of India, they are neither a crime nor a sin. In addition to providing legal protection to live-in relationships, the Indian judiciary has provided additional protection to partnerships resulting from live-in relationships, demonstrating its role as the caretaker of its residents. In many cases, the woman partner's right to preserve and inherit property has been upheld by the courts. The rights of children born out of such live-in partnerships have been safeguarded by Indian courts. Palimony is a term that is widely used to describe maintenance in live-in relationships. The right to maintenance is covered by Section 125 of the Cr.P.C. in India. This law, which was enacted to help 'destitute' wives, helpless minor children, and infirm parents attain social justice, is now applicable to the needy partner of live-in partnerships.

An amendment was made in response to the Malimath Committee on Criminal Justice Reforms' suggestion to update Section 125 of the Criminal Procedure Code and change the definition of "wife" therein. The Supreme Court overturned an earlier decision in **Chanmuniya v. Virendra Kushwaha**, upholding a woman in a live-in relationship's entitlement to maintenance under Section 125 of the Cr.P.C. The rationale for granting such a privilege to a woman in a live-in relationship is to ensure that a male does not take advantage of legal loopholes by enjoying the benefits of a de facto marriage while failing to fulfill the marriage's obligations. In **Balasubramanyam v. Suruttayan**, children born out of live-in relationships received the legal status of legitimacy for the first time. The Supreme Court said that if a man and woman live under the same roof and cohabit for considerable years, there will be a presumption of marriage under Section 114 of the Evidence Act. Therefore, the children born to them will be considered legitimate and rightfully entitled to receive a share in ancestral property. In **Bharatha Matha v. Vijeya Renganathan**, the Supreme Court granted a share in parents' property to the children born from live-in relationships. The Court held that if the relationship lasted long enough, children born to live-in relationships could not be deemed illegitimate. Recently, in a notable judgment, the Kerala High Court recognised a child born in a live-in relationship as a child born to a married

OBJECTIVE

- To analyze Whether the respondents are aware about live-in relationship
- To analyze whether a child born out of a live-in relationship has a legal status
- To analyze Whether the Indian society and the judiciary are welcoming about the new age concept

- To analyze the impact of live-in relationship in the society

LITERATURE REVIEW

Live-in relationship, that is, living together as a couple without being married to each other in a legally accepted way, is considered a taboo in India. But recently, such relationships are becoming increasingly common due to a variety of reasons. In absence of any specific legislation, rules, or customs on the subject, the Supreme Court has issued certain guidelines in its judgment for regulating such relationships. This article tries to figure out the current legal positions governing the live-in relationships in India after making a systemic assessment of these judgments. **Choudhry Iaxmi (2021)** Under all societies in the world, the status of a child i.e. whether it is born legitimate or illegitimate has great consequence. The inheritance rights of children are majorly associated and dependent upon the tag of legitimacy and illegitimacy in Indian laws. There is the present need of the time to explore and throw light upon the legitimacy and inheritance rights of the children born from the relationship other than the marriage. The research paper aims to explore the status of the legitimacy of the children and their inheritance rights who are not born in the legal marital wedlock, instead are born from a live-in relationship. **Divya Singh May (2020)** In this article, we look at rare cases where males who father children as a result of conflict-related sexual violence take complete or partial responsibility for their children's well-being. In relevant international policy frameworks, children "born of war" are increasingly acknowledged as a specific victim group. Their social status is midway between their mother's victimization and their father's perpetration. They frequently face social rejection and loss of identity as a result of their birth circumstances, which has a long-term influence on their well-being. Previous research has mostly focused on the issues that their mothers confront as caregivers and victims of sexual assault during

warfare. **Camile Oliveira, Erin Baines (2020)**

The goal of this article is to show how the notions of biological fatherhood, derived from the human-rights framework, and adoption, derived from the customary law framework, have been adapted into Indonesian Islamic family law through the cases of children born out of wedlock and adopted children. We believe that introducing external notions into Muslim family law necessitates an adaptation process that determines the relationship between these external concepts and core Islamic family law concepts. This adaptation to core Islamic standards means that biological fatherhood does not lead to a full legal father-child relationship in the case of children born out of wedlock. **Nurlelawati, E., & Van Huis, S. (2019)** When thinking on and managing personal relationships, young Muslims in the UK are creating room to have greater control over their personal lives by using the terms 'halal' and 'haram.' The significance of 'halal dating' within the lived experiences and sexual relationships of young British Muslims is explored in this article. It is based on 56 in-depth interviews with young (16–30 years old) British Muslims with Pakistani ancestry. According to this study, many young British Muslims date or have dated, despite popular stereotypes and expectations. **Ali N, Phillips R, Chambers (2019)** The relationship between science and faith is not a given, nor is it objectively defined, but rather depends on personal ways of approaching this relationship. Accordingly, it can be lived as a conflict, i.e. as an agency striving to master independent and separate domains or as a process of dialogue or an integral relationship. **Orón, J.V.Akrivou, KScalzo, G. (2019)** In recent years there has been increased interest in couples Living Apart Together (LAT) within the sociology of the family in Europe. One of the main questions concerns understanding their evolution over time. Given the lack of longitudinal data, the present study focuses as a proxy to study the perception LATs hold of their future and the

influencing factors. **Luis (2018)** Currently, a big number of youngsters are in Alternative Care. The relationship they form with their temporary caretakers can have a big impact on their growth. However, little research on attachment with temporary caregivers has been published. The purpose of this review is to look at the existing research on attachment types in children in alternative care settings (Children's Homes and Foster Care). In all contexts, the review examines rates of attachment styles and associated factors (such as setting characteristics, children, and caregivers). **Garcia Quiroga (2016)** The author analyse the marriage has been denied to same-sex couples, it is likely that the meaning and significance ascribed to non-marital cohabitation may be unique. Further, it is unclear whether same-sex couples view marriage as important to their relationships, and if they do, why. Using qualitative data from 526 individuals in cohabiting same-sex relationships across 47 states, we explored (1) the meaning and significance of cohabitation and (2) the perceived importance of legal marriage to the relationship. **Stephans April (2015)** Children's challenging citizenship is discussed in this conversation, which takes place in the heated backdrop of children being considered sexual citizens. The relationship between childhood and sexuality is fraught with difficulties, controversies, and complexities; it is one that is openly and officially based on exclusion, with children constituted as needing protection from sexuality, which is considered a 'adults-only' domain, dangerous to children. **Kerry H Robinson (2012)** Abusive and neglectful parenting is an established determinant of adult mental illness, but longitudinal studies of the impact of less severe problems with parenting have yielded inconsistent findings. In the face of growing interest in mental health promotion, it is important to establish the impact of this potentially remediable risk factor. **Z. morgan February (2012)** This study was designed to examine the relationship

between dyadic adjustment and intimacy, and to determine how this varies for different types of relationships between males and females, and for relationships of different duration. Eighty-seven heterosexual couples (10 dating, 21 living together, 56 married) participated in the study. The results demonstrated that there was a strong interrelationship between intimacy and dyadic adjustment for both males and females. Those in relationships of longer duration and married couples experienced higher levels of intimacy and relationship satisfaction than other couples. **Kathleen A (2010)** Using data from the Fragile Families and Child Wellbeing Study, I examined how father visitation for children born outside of marriage is affected by subsequent maternal relationship formation, focusing on the timing, type, and stability of maternal relationships. Results showed that fathers were most likely to have not seen their child at all when mothers formed a new relationship early in the child's life, especially if the new relationship was coresidential and the partner engaged in activities with the child. **Karen July (2009)** Data from the Fragile Families and Child Wellbeing Study are used to examine family structure transitions and maternal parenting stress. Using multilevel modeling, we found that mothers who exit coresidential relationships with biological fathers or enter coresidential relationships with non biological fathers reported higher levels of parenting stress than mothers in stable coresidential relationships. **Carey E cooper 30 July (2009)** For the period 1950–1993, this note investigates if there is a long-run, cointegrating link between population and per capita GDP in India. Unit root tests reveal that per capita GDP is integrated on the order of one, whilst population is integrated on the order of zero; moreover, an estimate of the bivariate connection using Johansen's cointegration approach reveals that there is no long-run link. As a result, population increase neither causes nor is caused by per capita income rise. A corollary is that

population expansion neither encourages nor detracts from per capita income growth. **P.J. Dawson(2007)** The diversity and growth of gay relationships in managing sexual inclusion is revealed in interviews with 70 men in partnered relationships in Toronto. Monogamy scripts are more common in younger men and/or men who are new to gay relationships, as well as men who grew up in countries with restricted or non-existent autonomous gay worlds. Allegiance to particularly masculine discourses of autonomy and adventurism, which focus on a right to sexual self-determination and attraction to the sense of affirmation and pleasure experienced with other men, is more widespread. **Adam BD (2006)** This article is based on findings from a study of 20 families in the United Kingdom where lesbian couples planned and delivered their first child together. I use the 'family practice' (Morgan, 1996) of naming children's surnames as an example of decisions that are made in the absence of any established norms to follow. I look at some of the common elements that underlay how respondents explain their decisions, and how they fit into broader theoretical debates regarding modern interpersonal shifts. **Kathryn Almack(2005)** The author focuses on children in cohabiting-couple households. To begin with, cohabitation is a two-adult living arrangement that has become a common occurrence in children's lives. Although cohabitation can constitute a type of two-parent family, it is unlikely to be the type of two-parent family that the original welfare reform architects had in mind. The majority of research comparing the well-being of children in cohabiting-parent households to married-parent families finds some disparities in academic achievement, behavior problems, and developmental outcomes. The living arrangements of an unmarried mother's family, not only her marital status, have ramifications for her children's social and economic well-being, according to empirical data. **Wendy D. Mandy(2003)** The author

focuses on the effects of socioeconomic status (SES) on health are well documented in adulthood, but far less is known about its effects in childhood. The authors reviewed the literature and found support for a childhood SES effect, whereby each decrease in SES was associated with an increased health risk. The authors explored how this relationship changed as children underwent normal developmental changes and proposed 3 models to describe the temporal patterns. **Chen E (2002)** The author focuses on the study that looked into what elements were protective for children who grew up in a tumultuous household. The youngsters were chosen from a representative sample of 9 to 12 year olds who had previously taken part in a general population research. The quality of the parental marriage was assessed using semi-structured interviews with both parents. **Jennifer M (1990)** The author analyze that it is clear that being a child of a teenage mother often entails numerous risks: low birth weight, complications of the mother's pregnancy and delivery, and health problems associated with poor perinatal outcomes; greater risk of perinatal death; lower IQ and


ANALYSIS

academic achievement later on, including a greater risk. **wendy baldwin (1980)** The author examined the majority of research comparing the well-being of children in cohabiting-parent households to married-parent families finds some disparities in academic achievement, behavior problems, and developmental outcomes. The living arrangements of an unmarried mother's family, not only her marital status, have ramifications for her children's social and economic well-being, according to empirical data. **Agnes K (2014)**

METHODOLOGY


The research method followed here is a descriptive method (empirical research). A total of 200 samples have been collected out of which all the samples have been collected through field visits by picking the general public at random. The samples are taken from many parts of India. The statistical tool used in this study is graphical representation. An extensive synthetic review of literature has been conducted to map the status of a child born out of a living relationship in India.

FIGURE 1




LEGEND:


Figure 1 represents the dependent variable whether a child born out of a living relationship is legitimate.



LEGEND:


Figure 2 represents the graph on the awareness of the concept of living relationships and independent variable gender.






LEGEND:


Figure 3 represents the graph on the awareness of the concept of living relationships and independent variable educational qualifications.






LEGEND:


Figure 4 represents whether the living relationship is welcomed by the Indian society and the independent variable gender.






LEGEND:


Figure 5 represents whether the living relationship is welcomed by the Indian society and the independent variable occupation.






LEGEND:


Figure 6 represents whether a man and woman could live together without being bound by marriage and independent variable gender.






LEGEND:

Figure 7 represents whether a man and woman could live together without being bound by marriage and independent variable educational qualification.





LEGEND:

Figure 8 represents the rating of 1-10 how negatively a status of a child born out of a living relationship affects oneself along with the independent variable age of the sample population.





FIGURE 9




LEGEND:

Figure 9 represents the rating of 1-10 how negatively a status of a child born out of a living relationship affects oneself along with the independent variable educational qualification of the sample population.



FIGURE 10



LEGEND:

Figure 10 bar graph represents whether the child born out of a living relationship is legitimate

RESULTS:

(FIG 1) Majority of the sample population say yes that a child born out of a living relationship is legitimate. 32% Respondents under the age category of less than 20 years say yes and 30% of respondents under the age category of 21– 30 years. **(FIG 2)** Represents the awareness of the concept of living relationship. The graph shows that the majority of females and males are well aware about this concept in this new age.**(FIG 3)** Represents the awareness of the concept of living relationship. The graph shows that 23% of undergraduates are well aware about this concept in this new age.**(FIG 4)** represents whether the living relationship is welcomed by

the Indian society and 40% of female respondents are neutral and male respondents are more welcoming about this concept. **(FIG 5)** represents whether the living relationship is welcomed by the Indian society and majority of students and sample population belonging to the private sector are welcoming about the concept of living relationship.**(FIG 6)** represents whether a man and woman could live together without being bound by marriage. 16% of male disagree and 20 % of male agree that that relationship can exist. 25% of female respondents are also agreeing with that statement. **(FIG 7)** represents whether a man and woman could live together without being bound by marriage. 45% of respondents who are undergraduates accept that man and

woman could live together without being bound by marriage. **(FIG 8)** represents the rating of 1-10 how negatively a status of a child born out of a living relationship affects oneself. Looking at the graphical representation, the majority of the sample population feels that being in a living relationship can create a negative impact in one's life in this society. **(FIG 9)** represents the rating of 1-10 how negatively a status of a child born out of a living relationship affects oneself. Looking at the graphical representation, the majority of the undergraduates feel that being in a living relationship can create a negative impact on the child's life in this society. **(FIG 10)** bar graph represents whether the child born out of a living relationship is legitimate. 73% of the respondents feel that a child born out of a living relationship is legitimate and 26% feel that a child born is not legitimate.

DISCUSSION

(FIG 1) Majority of the sample population say yes that a child born out of a living relationship is legitimate. 32% Respondents under the age category of less than 20 years say yes and 30% of respondents under the age category of 21- 30 years. In 2008, through the case of *Tulsa & Ors vs. Durghatiya & Ors*, the Supreme Court established legal status for children born in a live-in relationship. One of the important preconditions laid down by the court for a child born in a live-in relationship to be treated as legitimate is that its parents must have cohabited under one roof for a long period portraying themselves to society as being similar to husband and wife. In simple words, it must not be a 'walk in and walk out' relationship. The court further stated that a child born in a live-in relationship shall have rights over its parents' property. Since the respondents belonging to the 21-30 age category they belong to the millennial category therefore they are more accepting and forward thinking. **(FIG 2)** Represents the awareness of the concept of living relationship. The graph shows that the majority of females and males are well aware about this concept in this new age. live-in relationship is considered taboo. The

conservative Indian society considers the concept of live-in relationships immoral but the Indian law is liberal enough to not view them as illegal relationships. The Supreme Court of India has played a significant role in establishing a legal basis for the children born out of live-in relationships through its pronouncements. Recently, the Kerala High Court also took a step forward in eliminating the uncertainty revolving around the legal status of a child born in a live-in relationship. **(FIG 3)** Represents the awareness of the concept of living relationship. The graph shows that 23% of undergraduates are well aware about this concept in this new age. A live-in relationship is considered taboo. The conservative Indian society considers the concept of live-in relationships immoral but the Indian law is liberal enough to not view them as illegal relationships. Since the respondents belonging to the 21-30 age category they belong to the millennial category therefore they are more accepting and forward thinking and more aware due to the new generation build up. **(FIG 4)** represents whether the living relationship is welcomed by the Indian society and 40% of female respondents are neutral and male respondents are more welcoming about this concept. People may view a live-in relationship between a married person and an unmarried person as unethical, but moral policing is not an option, especially when the arrangement is sanctioned by the touchstones of fundamental rights. In view of the contradictory findings of various courts on the matter concerned, it is laudable that the Punjab and Haryana High Court is the first court which has recently constituted a larger bench to consider the above stated vexed position of the law. **(FIG 5)** represents whether the living relationship is welcomed by the Indian society and majority of students and sample population belonging to the private sector are welcoming about the concept of living relationship. A live-in relationship between two people gets the "approval" of the society when they are legally married else the relationship is morally or legally not acceptable; but thankfully,

the Indian Judiciary doesn't think like that. A live-in relationship is a continuous cohabitation for a longer period between two people who are not legally married to each other but share a common household. Thus, despite being married they live like a couple. In India, no specific legislation or customs is governing the same. **(FIG 6)** represents whether a man and woman could live together without being bound by marriage. 16% of male disagree and 20 % of male agree that that relationship can exist. 25% of female respondents are also agreeing with that statement. Modernization has been envisaged for all levels of cultural and structural systems through politicization of every sector of social organization by the introduction of adult suffrage and a federal form of political structure, legal reforms affecting the foundations of traditional Hindu marriage and family structure. **(FIG 7)** represents whether a man and woman could live together without being bound by marriage. 45% of respondents who are undergraduates accept that man and woman could live together without being bound by marriage. Since the respondents belong to the millennial category therefore they are more accepting and forward thinking and more aware due to the new generation build up. They feel that it can be an accepted custom that men and women can live together without being bound by marriage. **(FIG 8)** represents the rating of 1-10 how negatively a status of a child born out of a live-in relationship affects oneself. Looking at the graphical representation, the majority of the sample population feels that being in a living relationship can create a negative impact in one's life in this society. This might lead to mental and emotional instability in the child's life. To prevent this, explicit rules should be enacted, as well as revisions to unclear wording in existing laws, to provide clarification on the status and rights of children born in a live-in relationship. This will maintain consistency and help the youngster create emotional, mental, and physical stability. **(FIG 9)** represents the rating of 1-10 how negatively a status of a child born out of a live-in relationship

affects oneself. Looking at the graphical representation, the majority of the undergraduates feel that being in a living relationship can create a negative impact on the child's life in this society. This might lead to mental and emotional instability in the child's life. To prevent this, explicit rules should be enacted, as well as revisions to unclear wording in existing laws, to provide clarification on the status and rights of children born in a live-in relationship. **(FIG 10)** bar graph represents whether the child born out of a living relationship is legitimate. 73% of the respondents feel that a child born out of a living relationship is legitimate and 26% feel that a child born is not legitimate. Children born out of live-in relationships received the legal status of legitimacy for the first time. The Supreme Court said that if a man and woman live under the same roof and cohabit for considerable years, there will be a presumption of marriage under Section 114 of the Evidence Act. Therefore, the children born to them will be considered legitimate and rightfully entitled to receive a share in ancestral property.

CONCLUSION

As a result, a live-in relationship is frowned upon in India. Live-in relationships are considered sinful by conservative Indian society, yet they are not considered criminal by Indian law. Through its declarations, the Supreme Court of India has played a vital role in establishing a legal basis for children born out of live-in partnerships. The Kerala High Court recently took a step toward resolving the ambiguity surrounding the legal status of a child born in a live-in relationship. A child born to a live-in couple must be accorded the same legal standing as a child born to a married couple. In the eyes of the court, such a child will be regarded as a legitimate child. In light of the current situation, it is reasonable to infer that, while certain laws, such as Section 16 of the HMA 1955, offer legitimacy to children born out of live-in partnerships, their rights to ancestral property and support remain contested and vary from case to case. This is

in violation of Article 39 (f) and creates ambiguity in the existing situation. Similarly, despite the presence of Section 6 (b) of the HMGA 1956, custody of a child born out of a live-in relationship is up to interpretation. It is safe to assume that, given the current legal situation, the child of a live-in relationship will inevitably face a lack of clarity in life in terms of his or her legal position, ancestry, and eventual rights. This might lead to mental and emotional instability in the child's life. To prevent this, explicit rules should be enacted, as well as revisions to unclear wording in existing laws, to provide clarification on the status and rights of children born in a live-in relationship. This will maintain consistency and help the youngster create emotional, mental, and physical stability.

REFERENCE

1. Nurlaelawati, E., & Van Huis, S. (2019). THE STATUS OF CHILDREN BORN OUT OF WEDLOCK AND ADOPTED CHILDREN IN INDONESIA: INTERACTIONS BETWEEN ISLAMIC, ADAT, AND HUMAN RIGHTS NORMS. *Journal of Law and Religion*, 34(3), 356-382. doi:10.1017/jlr.2019.41(Nurlaelawati and van Huis 2019)
2. Camile Oliveira, Erin Baines, Children 'born of war': a role for fathers?, *International Affairs*, Volume 96, Issue 2, March 2020, Pages 439-455, <https://doi.org/10.1093/ia/iiaa007>(Oliveira and Baines 2020)
3. Almack K. What's in a Name? The Significance of the Choice of Surnames Given to Children Born within Lesbian-parent Families. *Sexualities*. 2005;8(2):239-254. doi:10.1177/1363460705050857(Oliveira and Baines 2020; Almack 2005)
4. Ali N, Phillips R, Chambers C, Narkowicz K, Hopkins P, Pande R. Halal dating: Changing relationship attitudes and experiences among young British Muslims. *Sexualities*. 2020;23(5-6):775-792. doi:10.1177/1363460719850113(Ali et al. 2020)
5. Robinson KH. 'Difficult citizenship': The precarious relationships between childhood, sexuality and access to knowledge. *Sexualities*. 2012;15(3-4):257-276. doi:10.1177/1363460712436469(Robinson 2012)
6. Adam BD. Relationship Innovation in Male Couples. *Sexualities*. 2006;9(1):5-26. doi:10.1177/1363460706060685(Adam 2006)
7. Garcia Quiroga, M., Hamilton-Giachritsis, C. Attachment Styles in Children Living in Alternative Care: A Systematic Review of the Literature. *Child Youth Care Forum* 45, 625-653 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10566-015-9342-x>(Quiroga and Hamilton-Giachritsis 2016)
8. JENNIFER M. JENKINS, MARJORIE A. SMITH, Factors Protecting Children Living in Disharmonious Homes: Maternal Reports, *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, Volume 29, Issue 1, 1990, Pages 60-69, ISSN 0890-8567, <https://doi.org/10.1097/00004583-199001000-00011>.(Jenkins and Smith 1990)
9. P.J. Dawson 2007 Is there a long-run relationship between population growth and living standards? The case of India, Tylor and Francis, Pages 149-156, <https://doi.org/10.1080/00220389808422540>(Dawson and Tiffin 1998)
10. Carey E cooper 30 July 2009, Family structure transitions and maternal parenting stress, wiley online library, pg 22-34, vol 6 no 3, Doi: <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1741-3737.2009.00619.x>(Cooper et al. 2009)
11. Morgan, Z., Brugha, T., Fryers, T. et al. The effects of parent-child relationships on later life mental health status in two national birth cohorts. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol* 47, 1707-1715 (2012). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00127-012-0481-1>(Morgan et al. 2012)
12. Orón, J.V., Akrivou, K., Scalzo, G. (2019). Autonomous Self and Inter-Processual Self: Two Ways of Explaining How People "See" and Live Relationships and the Resulting Dialogue Between Science and Faith. In: Billingsley, B,

Chappell, K., Reiss, M. (eds) Science and Religion in Education. Contemporary Trends and Issues in Science Education, vol 48. Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-17234-3_8(Orón, Akrivou, and Scalzo 2019)

13. Hanna AK. Changing Care of Children Born Out of Wedlock. The ANNALS of the American Academy of Political and Social Science. 1940;212(1):159-166.

doi:10.1177/000271624021200123(Hanna 1940)

14. Baldwin, Wendy, and Virginia S. Cain. "The Children of Teenage Parents." Family Planning Perspectives 12, no. 1 (1980): 34-43. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2134676>.(Baldwin and Cain 1980)

15. Karen Benjamin Guzzo, maternal relationships and nonresidential father visitation of children born out of marriage, wiley online library, vol 31 issue 9, pp44-55, doi : <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1741-3737.2009.00623.x>(Guzzo 2009)

16. Kathleen A. Moore, are married couples happier in their relationships than cohabiting couples, Taylor and francis, Aug 2010, pp- 44-45,

doi:<https://doi.org/10.1080/14681990125384>(Moore, McCabe, and Brink 2001)

17. Stephan. Significance of living together, The ANNALS of the American Academy of Political and Social Science. 1940;212(1):159-166. doi:(Haas and Whitton 2015)

18. Ayuso L. What future awaits couples Living Apart Together (LAT)? The Sociological Review. 2019;67(1):226-244.

doi:10.1177/0038026118799053(Ayuso 2019)

19. Narayan CL, Narayan M, Deepanshu M. Live-In Relationships in India—Legal and Psychological Implications. Journal of Psychosexual Health. 2021;3(1):18-23. doi:10.1177/2631831820974585(Ayuso 2019; Narayan, Narayan, and Deepanshu 2021)

20. Divya singh May 2020, Live-In Relationship—Legitimacy of Children and their Inheritance Rights, Penacclaims, Volume 10, ISSN 2581-5504(Kaur, n.d.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY

• Adam, Barry D. 2006. "Relationship Innovation in Male Couples." Sexualities. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1363460706060685>.

• Ali, Nafhesa, Richard Phillips, Claire Chambers, Kasia Narkowicz, Peter Hopkins, and Raksha Pande. 2020. "Halal Dating: Changing Relationship Attitudes and Experiences among Young British Muslims." Sexualities.

<https://doi.org/10.1177/1363460719850113>.

• Almack, Kathryn. 2005. "What's in a Name? The Significance of the Choice of Surnames Given to Children Born within Lesbian-Parent Families." Sexualities.

<https://doi.org/10.1177/1363460705050857>.

• Ayuso, Luis. 2019. "What Future Awaits Couples Living Apart Together (LAT)?" The Sociological Review.

<https://doi.org/10.1177/0038026118799053>.

• Baldwin, Wendy, and Virginia S. Cain. 1980. "The Children of Teenage Parents." Family Planning Perspectives.

<https://doi.org/10.2307/2134676>.

• Cooper, Carey E., Sara S. McLanahan, Sarah O. Meadows, and Jeanne Brooks-Gunn. 2009. "Family Structure Transitions and Maternal Parenting Stress." Journal of Marriage and Family. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1741-3737.2009.00619.x>.

• Dawson, P. J., and Richard Tiffin. 1998. "Is There a Long-run Relationship between Population Growth and Living Standards? The Case of India." Journal of Development Studies.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/00220389808422540>.

• Guzzo, Karen Benjamin. 2009. "Maternal Relationships and Nonresidential Father Visitation of Children Born Outside of Marriage." Journal of Marriage and Family. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1741-3737.2009.00623.x>.

• Haas, Stephen M., and Sarah W. Whitton. 2015. "The Significance of Living Together and Importance of Marriage in Same-Sex

Couples." *Journal of Homosexuality*, May.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/00918369.2015.1037137>.

- Hanna, Agnes K. 1940. "Changing Care of Children Born Out of Wedlock." *The ANNALS of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/000271624021200123>.

- Jenkins, Jennifer M., and Marjorie A. Smith. 1990. "Factors Protecting Children Living in Disharmonious Homes: Maternal Reports." *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*.
<https://doi.org/10.1097/00004583-199001000-00011>.

- Kaur, Simran. n.d. "Rights of Women and Children in a Live-In Relationship." *SSRN Electronic Journal*.
<https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3964744>.

- Moore, Kathleen A., Marita P. McCabe, and Roger B. Brink. 2001. "Are Married Couples Happier in Their Relationships than Cohabiting Couples? Intimacy and Relationship Factors." *Sexual and Relationship Therapy*.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/14681990125384>.

- Morgan, Z., T. Brugha, T. Fryers, and S. Stewart-Brown. 2012. "The Effects of Parent-Child Relationships on Later Life Mental Health Status in Two National Birth Cohorts." *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology* 47 (11): 1707–15.

- Narayan, Choudhary Laxmi, Mridula Narayan, and Mridul Deepanshu. 2021. "Live-In Relationships in India—Legal and Psychological Implications." *Journal of Psychosexual Health*.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/2631831820974585>.

- Nurlaelawati, Euis, and Stijn Cornelis van Huis. 2019. "THE STATUS OF CHILDREN BORN OUT OF WEDLOCK AND ADOPTED CHILDREN IN INDONESIA: INTERACTIONS BETWEEN ISLAMIC, ADAT, AND HUMAN RIGHTS NORMS." *Journal of Law and Religion*.
<https://doi.org/10.1017/jlr.2019.41>.

- Oliveira, Camile, and Erin Baines. 2020.

"Children 'born of War': A Role for Fathers?" *International Affairs*.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/ia/iiaa007>.

- Orón, José Víctor, Kleio Akrivou, and Germán Scalzo. 2019. "Autonomous Self and Inter-Processual Self: Two Ways of Explaining How People 'See' and Live Relationships and the Resulting Dialogue Between Science and Faith." *Contemporary Trends and Issues in Science Education*.
https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-17234-3_8.

- Quiroga, Manuela García, and Catherine Hamilton-Giachritsis. 2016. "Attachment Styles in Children Living in Alternative Care: A Systematic Review of the Literature." *Child & Youth Care Forum*.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10566-015-9342-x>.

- Robinson, Kerry H. 2012. "'Difficult Citizenship': The Precarious Relationships between Childhood, Sexuality and Access to Knowledge." *Sexualities*.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1363460712436469>.