INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW [IJLR – IF SCORE – 7.58]

VOLUME 5 AND ISSUE 7 OF 2025

APIS – 3920 - 0001 *(and)* ISSN - 2583-2344

Published by

Institute of Legal Education

https://iledu.in

HISTORICAL ANALYSIS OF PRINCIPLES OF PROGRESSION ON SALARIED PERSONS: POLICY PERCEPTION A STANDARD SHIFT

AUTHOR - ABHIN BEHL, STUDENT AT AMITY LAW SCHOOL, NOIDA

BEST CITATION - ABHIN BEHL, HISTORICAL ANALYSIS OF PRINCIPLES OF PROGRESSION ON SALARIED PERSONS: POLICY PERCEPTION A STANDARD SHIFT, INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW (IJLR), 5 (7) OF 2025, PG. 872-877, APIS – 3920 – 0001 & ISSN - 2583-2344.

Tax as an Instrument of Fiscal Policy

The crucial purpose of tax structure is to increase profits in a proficient and equitable way.[3] Taxes finance government to perform basic roles and help as an instrument of social and economic advancement.[4] One of the opinions emphasizes that plummeting disparities of income can be done via taxation by relocation of buying ability from wealthy to the weak.[5] Progression by the poor via assenting national act and tumbling the tremendous remunerations of the wealthy by impressive maxima and curbing superfluous retributions through taxes is favor by this view.[6] The tax policy must target at the idea of substantial equity and highest well-being even though both may not be optimally achieved simultaneously.[7] In India, government has used taxation to attain several socio-fiscal objectives.[8] Financial policy similar to any other policy derives its meaning and direction from the ambitions and objectives of the society under which it functions and the individuals whom it assists.[9] Evolution and societal integrity are the key notes of the goal line of development in India and it can be accomplished by restructuring of buying ability and the deplete of progressive taxation by way of a tool presumed prominent among purposes established into Five Year Plans.[10]

Significance of Progressive Tax

The importance of principles of progression and analysis of various theories of progression is argued. Introduction of the principle to income tax and its development entitlement as of pre-colonial era until today are studied. The policy of the administration concerning salaried person through this point and the effect of globalization has investigated. The reports of many groups hired by the Government are appraised. Contemporary rate structure and its consequence upon salaried are scrutinized and to solutions suggested. Erosion of progression because of disallowance for dependent members and its effect on single earners are explored from a human right view.

Ancient Indian Views

According to David A. Hartman, 'progressive taxation intended to decrease income discrepancy through suspiciously demanding greater income and reallocating the profits through welfare nation.[11] An agenda of progressive tax rates is that the rate of taxation upsurges with tax base.[12] If rate intensifies as income intensifies or if tax procures a larger proportion of income of an individual, the tax is progressive. Progression ensures intrinsic trait of impartiality, by way of persons that easily afford should pay extra and therefore it accords with good canons of taxation.

The epitome tax structure is the interest of theorists since Hobbes, and presumes precise ideas to be accomplished.[13] The predominant expertise ensures progressive assessment of income is an obligatory condition of societal justice and desired that the rich is taxed on a growing rate aimed at intensifying intensities of income and assets. A progressive rate structure has been advocated in most nations aimed at reducing disproportions of income and prosperity.[14] Principle of progression was thus instated in the tax structure to safeguard Democratic epitomes of impartiality.[15] The aforementioned is demanded progressive structure obliges persistence of income resistance.[16] Subsequently progressivity is anticipated to be intention of impartiality in tax burden transmission, challenges ought to be design taxes to attain equity.[17]

Review of Theories of Progressive Tax

Every country has a tax to seek a tax structure, which is justifiable, i.e. each taxpayer has to underwrite his reasonable part to the expenditure of government.[18] But then again the difficulty is that there are dual economic theories that are concerned with allocation of tax burden; benefit principle theory and ability to pay principle theory.

The 'benefit principle' concept states that, reasonable tax scheme is one beneath which every single taxpayer pays in respect of benefit he gets from services in public domain.[19] This principle is not easy to abide by accuracy for the reason that there is difficulty in assessing distinct benefits from service in public domain, and for the reason that persons who most require services are frequently those least able to pay.[20]

The concept of 'ability to pay' demands individuals through identical ability to pay equal, whereas individuals with great ability should pay more. The earlier mentioned is referred as flat justice is and later as straight justice. Income is furthermost acknowledged measure of ability to pay. On the other hand, there are individuals who consider that hold consumption will be superior preference.[21] There are diverse kinds of ability to pay tactics. Out of which one category directs the situation to the distribution of tax payments specifically, and another take into consideration distribution of tax as a concern of well- being money matters rather than integrity.[22]

Now development of ability to pay concepts of progressive assessment, guideline of 'sacrifice' played important role. Fiscal policy inevitably implicates sacrifice on portion of taxpayer. The purpose of fiscal policy inside well-being nation ought to be taxed in the manner burden forced upon populace remains least. The accumulated sacrifice of public remains the least possible as soon as peripheral sacrifice of distinct taxpayer is identical, and Dalton states this as 'the principle of minimum sacrifice'.[23] Minimum sacrifice therefore requests for progressive taxes, which allow profoundly a smaller amount imperative things of consummation at the brim of trifling incomes.[24] The federations began regarding it as an onus to inhibit by human privileges of isolated possessions into mandate toward get approximately additional reasonable dissemination of affluence into persistence of socio-radical policy over progressive taxation.[25] The sacrifice theory thus achieved admiration and justified progressive rates.

Development during Colonial Era

During the colonial years, intensifying profits was the foremost perseverance of taxation. There was barely any discount, exclusion for investments, reserves, and trade development otherwise anticipated socio-fiscal intentions.[26] The socialistic rule be there explicitly contradicted.[27]

The Income tax Act 1922 made a departure from the previous enactments by abandoning the system of specifying the rate of taxation in its own schedule and introduced the scheme of fixing rates through annual Finance Act.[28] The schedules to the Act secure rates of income tax and super tax by allusion to assess total income. Income tax was taxed on stepwise progression. The Act formulated it mandatory upon employer to subtract TDS from salary. 'Earned income' was well demarcated by means of income resultant from actual work or service performed and comprised of wages, incomes and salaries from vocation.[29]

The sources of income embraced through income tax are the imperative components, which modify its progressivity.[30] Grouping of distinctive categories of income for the purpose of taxation is a matter of state policy. Normally, law discriminated among earned and unearned income and taxes later proceeds tediously.[31] The request for exceptional treatment for earned income was rising in the light of international practice. In 1935-36, initial rate was 0.7%. Rates of tax augmented progressively by 10 slabs for income tax and 12 slabs for super tax.[32] The rates through 1938-39 created upon step structure, while super tax rates were on slab base. Beneath the slab structure, rate upsurge progressively through growth into all slab of income and consequently was considered more equitable.

Early Decades of Independence

In India, discrepancy of earned income and unearned income was initially presented in 1945. This one remained affected in two methods, primarily; assesse was permitted exemption of a fixed proportion subject to an utmost restraint and next for super tax resolutions. The method adopted was to impose tax on earned income on lower rates as compared to unearned income. The tax policy speckled for salary and other earned income. An assumption of 1/10th of earned income comprised in the entire income, exclusive of income chargeable under 'salaries' was permissible for salaried.[33]

It is trusted that the Constitution imitates the economic principles and benefits of prevailing sectors of the society.[34] Democratic epitomes of monetary integrity are revealed and preserved into Preamble, Directive principles and the Fundamental rights of the Constitution of India. The tax structure remained anticipated to portray imperative fragment by way of mechanism of communal alteration. Two pronged attempts were made to rejuvenate the economy. First, through enhancing progressivism. Second, to attain definite contrived objectives owing to rearrangement. Supervising the outcomes of inequities of income by means of taxation and nation's expenses was the recognized fiscal policy in entire nations of the world in 1950.[35] Subsequently after the independence, there was not at all significant modification into tax policy into direction of salaried. The reprieve in esteem of earned income aimed at super tax was pulled out in 1950 then mutual agenda was agreed.[36]

The gradations of income tax granted through the Finance Act, 1951 was questioned through object of infringement of Article 14 of the Constitution before the Calcutta High Court in ***Seth Sukhlall Chandamul* v. *A. C Jain.***[37] *"The court was of the opinion that the purpose of exclusion of inequalities as envisioned in Article 39(b) and (c) of the Constitution was into attention of the legislature at the time of sanctioning the Finance Act.*[38] *It also held that the modifications in income on which the taxonomy was created and gradations, intensifying with the growth of income, had an apparent coherent connection with the object of the enactment".*[39] Hereafter, gradation of income was held not to be in infringement of rules of equal protection.

The Income tax Act, 1961 substituted 1922 Act however reduction toward earned income persistent.[40] The Finance Act, 1962 condensed rate surcharge upon salaried towards 2.5% on the aggregate of income tax and 5% for earned income under 1 lakh and 10% for income beyond that intensity and 20% in further situations of unearned income. In the Finance Act of 1963 salaried individual ought to remained eliminated as of presentation of endowments for charge of surcharge. The provision was argued as discriminatory in ***Ved Vyas Chawla* v. *The Income tax Officer 'C' Ward Allahabad and another.***[41] *"The court expounded that the disputed Finance Act dealt every person into salaried likewise and the sorting was equitable as it was created on a factual and substantial discrepancy between salaried and others"*[42]. The Court perceived as follows:

"This stands for the every single noble intention

that a salaried employee is required to equalize his financial plan, his income from that source subsisting clear-cut, preordained and regulated; it is but then again impartial that he ought to be acquainted with whatever his legal responsibility is expected to be for a certain year in advance, subsequently that he can be capable of cut back his coat consequently to his cloth".[43]

Henceforth the law court held that 'the category was neither random nor unreliable and grouping salaried and others was effortlessly explicable, logical and equitable'.[44]

The allowance to salaried genre sustained until 1968. The Committee for Rationalization and Simplification of Direct Tax Laws well thought-out inevitability of disparity tax usage of earned and unearned incomes. The committee pronounced there was readily available any financial validation for distinction action, predominantly when income ascends from capital spending made out of personal reserves.[45] The aforementioned was of opinion that 'any effort to distinguish income with reserves acquired through legacy otherwise gift will lead to administrative hitches, which are barely worthwhile.[46] The committee suggested eradication of the discrepancy amongst earned and unearned income.[47] The suggestion was acknowledged as well as budget of 1968-69 indicated that diverse usages resulted in impediment in computations.[48] For subsequent two eras, there was awfully not lot discernment with incomes from diverse sources.

Impact of Globalization

The Indian tax structure adjusted during 90's to slacken as well as globalize financial scheme. Tax improvements were part of the package to open up economy. During August 1991, GoI established Tax Reform Committee to advise all-inclusive improvement of direct as well as indirect tax rules. The commission discovered "system of duties that give the impression of being progressive within the bill endured progressive entirely on document and directed to be extremely immoral and distortionary.[49] It suggested rate agenda should be unpretentious and reasonable for better enforcement.[50]

Analysis of the Present Tax Structure

The existing progressive income tax is horizontal at the higher level. There is slight uncertainty low-income individuals' gives larger share of their income looked-for unadorned provisions.[51] The tax arrangement throughout the above-mentioned phase inexplicably moved the liability to low and medium income individuals'. The principle of impartiality entails that an individual with a more income must pay comparatively greater quantity of income by way of tax. Gradated tax premeditated toward reduce monetary discriminations, progression apparently intended towards imitate not lone higher as well as medium profits in relation towards low incomes, nevertheless likewise higher profits in relation toward medium as well as low income.[52] In lexes of fiscal imbalances situation of persons close to but beyond the sustenance level ensures situation further of the same kind to that of individuals underneath them who are exempt from tax.[53] Assuming partial income of poor person with fiscal policy is punitive than grabbing partial income by tycoons.[54]

In future, the tax arrangement needs to be reformed. The knowledge lay down throughout the year's demands for changed tax agenda for salaried and non-salaried. Fixing a high- level non- taxable border to low income assessees might make available a decent sanctuary to tax escaping non-salaried assessees. On the other hand beginning through low non-taxable income pointlessly annoy salaried on subordinate level.[55] At greater slabs, too impression of bracket is mostly borne by salaried individuals. Therefore, upper slabs ought to be wide-ranging to afford for horizontal progression.

For constituting a progressive income tax function in a just way, range amendment for price raises is compulsory.[56] Annual indexing of income in tax ranges stands envisioned to retain taxpayer in equivalent range, until his or her income upsurges not more rapidly than consumer price index.[57] Looking after of price increases at low level is deemed as an indispensable slab of an operative anti-poverty approach.[58] It misrepresents the performing of the price apparatus[59]. The distinctions into value stage are determined concerning India into relations to WPI or the CPI intended to reveal price of livelihood situations.[60] A Value increase lessens the monetary worth of currency and forms difficulties in income taxation.[61] An investigation of the tax policy shows that there is an exemplar modification into governmental policy. The government has toughened its stance in contradiction of salaried individuals' in spite of their paramount acquiescence documentation. The average tax assumption towards personnel was used for years was pulled out through Parliament not including debate. This specifies unresponsiveness of radical brain into this behalf.

Therefore, the aforementioned is rigid to evade assumption that tax policy remains directed further through constraints of income values of productivity, justice and easiness.

ENDNOTES

3 Anil Kumar, Direct Taxation in India-Some Aspects (2002 Jaipur), p.9.

4 The New Encyclopedia Britannica Micropaedic (15th edn. 1997 Chicago) Vol 8, p. 726,

5 S. Sankaran, Economic Analysis (1990, Madras), p.629.

6 Ibid

7 Ian Hinckfuss, "Progressive Taxation On Personal Expenditure," http//www.ug.edu.au/ philosophy/morsoc/hinck html visited on 8.11.2018

8 Ibid

9 Walter.W. Heller, "Fiscal Policies for Underadvanced Republics," in Richard M. Bird and Oliver Oldman), Reading on Taxation in Emerging Republics (1967 Baltimore), p.5.

10 Ameresh Bagchi and Nicholas Stem, Tax Policy and Planning in Emerging Republics (Delhi.1994). p.37.

11 David A. Heartman, Does Progressive Taxation Redistribute Income? (2002, Institute for Public Innovation), p. 162.

12 Blun Walter.J. and Kalven Harry.J, The Uneasy Case For Progressive Taxation (1954 Chicago), p.3.

13 Supra n at 8.

14 Dr. G. Thimmaiah, Perspectives On Tar Design And Reform (1984). p. 12.

15 Hancock Dora, Imation Policy And Practice (1995/6 London), p.9.

16 Ibid

17 Ibid

18 Richard A. Musgrave and Peggy 8. Musgrave, Public Finance in and Practice (1980), p.237.

19 Seligman, Progressive Taxation in and practice (1908), Part 11, p. 160.

20 Blum and Walter, J. op.cit. at 47.

21 Richard A.Musgrave and Reggy B. Musgrave, op.cit, at.243.

22 Ibid at 90.

23Hugh Dalton, op.cit. at. 59

24 Richard A.Musgrave & Peggy B. Musgrave, op.cit. at.726.

25 Seligman, op-cit. at 67.

26 India: Basics of Income Tax" (1999), Tax Research series, Indian Tax Institute, Delhi, p 4.

27 Banerjee .P. History of Indian Taxation (1930),p.3

28 Schedule to the Finance Act, 1922.

29 Section 2(6-AA) of Indian Income Tax Act, 1922.

30 Marina Keener Scrub et al "Progressivity of Income Tax in Croatia During 1995 to 1999 Period"

p.2. http://www.iff.hr/eng

/istrasivanja/progresivnost2000.htm. Visited on 19.1 1.2018.

31 Hockley Graham, Public Finance: An Introduction (1979 London), p.189.

32 Finance Act, 1935.

33 Finance Act, 1945

34 K.C.Wheare, Modern Constitutions (First edn Oxford), p. 80.

35 Dilip.K.Basu et al, Social and Economic Development in India (1986), p. 228.

36 Section 2(2) of Finance Act, 1950.

37 A.I.R.1959 (Cal) 444.

38 Ibid at 446

39 Ibid

40 The Finance Acts of 1959,'60,'61.

41 AIR 1965 (All) 37

42 Ibid at 38

43 Ibid

44 Ibid

45 First Interim Report of Rationalization and Simplification of Direct Tax Laws (1967). p.33

46 Ibid.

47 Ibid

48 Budget Speeches of the Union Ministers 1947-48 To 1990-91, (2nd ed.1990). p.303.

49 Final Report Tar Reform Committee Part- 1 (August. 1992), p.111: para 6.14.

50 Ibid. at 114

51 Randau, "Proportional VS Progressive Taxation" June 1998. http://www.proaris.com/-randau2/ taxes/proport.html. p.2. Visited on 23-11-2018.

52 Walter J., Blun and Hany Kalven Jr. op.cit. at. 98.

53 Ibid. at 99.

54 F,W.Taussig, Principles of Economics (1920 New York), Vol 11, p.480

55 For details see chapter III

56 Final Report Tax Reform Committee Part-I (1992), p.30.

57 "California: Income and Taxation", (2005), http://www.assembly.co.gov/acs/committee p.7. Visited on 9-12-2018.

58 Vineet Virmani, "Modeling Inflation in India- An Error Correction Approach" http://www.fineprint, corn.

59 Sunil Ashra, "inflation and Openness: A Study of Selected Emerging Economy" (2002), http://www. India onstop.com/economy.link.htm.p.2. Visited on 4-9-2018.

60 Dr.Y. V. Reddy, "Inflation in India : Status and Issues" (1999), http://www. India on stop. com/economy. link htm

61 Younkins Edward.W, "Taxation And Justice," 30 September, 2000 http://www..quebecoislibrr.org/. Visited on 12.01.2019.