INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW [IJLR – IF SCORE – 7.58]

VOLUME 5 AND ISSUE 6 OF 2025

APIS – 3920 - 0001 *(and)* ISSN - 2583-2344

Published by

Institute of Legal Education

https://iledu.in

KEY BANKING LAWS AND THEIR IMPACT ON THE FINANCIAL SYSTEM

AUTHORS - NISHANT SINGH* & DR. AMIT DHALL**

* STUDENT OF LAW. AMITY LAW SCHOOL, NOIDA

** FACULTY OF LAW, AMITY LAW SCHOOL, NOIDA

BEST CITATION - NISHANT SINGH & DR. AMIT DHALL, KEY BANKING LAWS AND THEIR IMPACT ON THE FINANCIAL SYSTEM, *INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW (IJLR),* 5 (6) OF 2025, PG. 480-490, APIS – 3920 – 0001 & ISSN - 2583-2344

ABSTRACT

This Research Paper examines the Banking Regulation Act, 1949, as the cornerstone of India's banking legal framework, enabling the Reserve Bank of India (RBI) to regulate, supervise, and safeguard the financial system. This paper explores the Act's pivotal provisions, ranging from licensing requirements and capital adequacy norms to governance standards and supervisory powers and their influence on the structure and stability of the Indian banking sector. It highlights how the RBI utilizes these provisions to maintain monetary stability, enforce compliance, and mitigate systemic risks. The research also delves into landmark legislative reforms, including the nationalization of banks, the Recovery of Debts Act, 1993, and the SARFAESI Act, 2002, which collectively transformed credit recovery and financial discipline in India. The study further examines the adoption of Basel norms, the implementation of the Prevention of Money Laundering Act, 2002, and regulatory responses to digital banking and fintech integration. Post-liberalization reforms, including the Foreign Exchange Management Act (FEMA) and the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code (IBC), 2016, are analyzed for their role in promoting financial inclusion, capital market development, and regulatory modernization.

INTRODUCTION

India's financial system has been significantly shaped by the development of its banking regulations, which have promoted economic growth, ensured stability, and regulated financial firms. A structured legislative framework that regulates the activities of banks and financial organizations has developed in India as a result of historical, economic, and technical influences on banking rules. Key legislative enactments include the Reserve Bank of India Act of 1934, the Banking Regulation Act of 1949, and several other laws that have been enacted and modified throughout time to address new issues in the financial system. The impact of these laws extends to banking supervision, financial inclusion, risk management, and consumer protection, making them essential components of the Indian financial landscape.[615]

In India, laws governing banking have their roots in the era of colonialism, when the British administration laid the foundation for a formal banking system. The Reserve Bank of India Act of 1934 established the Reserve Bank of India (RBI) as the country's central bank, with power over monetary policy, currency issue, and banking regulation. After gaining independence, the government realized that robust banking regulation was necessary to support both economic growth and financial stability. As a result, the Banking Regulation Act of 1949 was passed, establishing the legal foundation for the authorization, management, and oversight of

[615] Constantinos Challoumis - Κωνσταντίνος Χαλλουμής, "The Evolution Of Banking Regulations: Impact On The Money Cycle" Κωνσταντίνος Χαλλουμής :: SSRN *available at*: https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/Delivery.cfm/4943468.pdf?abstractid=4943468&mirid=1 (last visited March 16, 2025).

INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW [IJLR – IF SCORE – 7.58]

VOLUME 5 AND ISSUE 6 OF 2025

APIS – 3920 - 0001 *(and)* ISSN - 2583-2344

Published by

Institute of Legal Education

https://iledu.in

banking establishments in India. The RBI was given regulatory and supervisory powers over commercial banks by this statute, ensuring that banking operations were conducted in a manner that safeguarded public interest and financial stability.[616]

One of the most significant developments in India's banking laws was the nationalization of banks in 1969 and 1980, which was carried out under the "Banking Companies (Acquisition and Transfer of Undertakings) Acts".

The primary objective of nationalization was to extend banking services to rural and underprivileged sections of the society, thereby promoting financial inclusion. Nationalization also resulted in the development of priority sector lending criteria, which mandated banks to distribute a part of their loans to sectors such as agriculture, small-scale businesses, and the poorest segments of society.Over time, the financial sector underwent further reforms, particularly in the post-liberalization era, when the government introduced policies to enhance competition and efficiency in the banking industry.[617]

The liberalization of India's economy in 1991 brought significant changes to banking laws, leading to the entry of private and foreign banks into the Indian financial system. The impact of globalization necessitated compliance with international banking norms, resulting in the adoption of Basel norms to strengthen risk management and capital adequacy in Indian banks. The introduction of the "Securitization and Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Enforcement of Security Interest Act, 2002 (SARFAESI Act)" addressed the issue of rising non-performing assets (NPAs) by providing a legal mechanism for banks to recover defaulted loans without judicial intervention. This act also permits banks and financial institutions to pursue security interests in cases of loan defaults, thereby improving the efficiency of debt recovery processes.[618]

BANKING REGULATION ACT, 1949 AND ITS ROLE IN SHAPING THE BANKING SYSTEM

The Banking Regulation Act, 1949, serves as the cornerstone of banking laws in India, providing a comprehensive legal framework for the regulation, supervision, and control of banking institutions. It was enacted to empower the Reserve Bank of India (RBI) to oversee banking operations, ensure financial stability, and regulate banking activities to protect depositors' interests and maintain economic growth. The Act applies to commercial banks, cooperative banks, and foreign banks operating in India, defining the legal and operational parameters within which they function.[619]

One of the most important provisions of the Act is Section 22, which mandates that banks must obtain a banking license from the RBI before commencing operations. This provision ensures that only financially sound and well-managed institutions enter the banking sector, thereby maintaining the overall integrity of the financial system. Additionally, Section 11 requires banks to maintain a minimum paid-up capital and reserves, ensuring financial stability and preventing the emergence of weak institutions that could pose systemic risks.[620]

To regulate the financial health of banks, "Section 24 of the Act mandates that every bank must maintain a certain percentage of its net demand and time liabilities (NDTL) in the form of liquid assets such as cash, gold, or government-approved securities". This provision is commonly referred to as the Statutory Liquidity Ratio (SLR), which serves as a

[616] "New Definition of Obesity What Lancet Commission Said?," Drishti IAS, 2025, *available at*: https://www.drishtiias.com/important-institutions/drishti-specials-important-institutions-national-institutions/important-institutions-national-institution-reserve-bank-of-india (last visited March 16, 2025).

[617] "New Definition of Obesity What Lancet Commission Said?," Drishti IAS, 2025 *available at*: https://www.drishtiias.com/daily-news-editorials/50-years-of-nationalization-of-banks (last visited March 16, 2025).

[618] Rachit Garg, "Indian banking sector and globalisation" iPleaders, 2020 *available at*: https://blog.ipleaders.in/indian-banking-sector-and-globalisation/ (last visited March 16, 2025).

[619] LawBhoomi, "Banking Regulation Act, 1949: An Overview" LawBhoomi, 2025 *available at*: https://lawbhoomi.com/banking-regulation-act-1949/ (last visited March 16, 2025).

[620] "Reserve Bank of India," *available at*: https://www.rbi.org.in/commonman/english/Scripts/Notification.aspx?Id=1449 (last visited March 16, 2025).

INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW [IJLR – IF SCORE – 7.58]
VOLUME 5 AND ISSUE 6 OF 2025
APIS – 3920 - 0001 *(and)* ISSN - 2583-2344

Published by
Institute of Legal Education
https://iledu.in

monetary policy tool for liquidity management and inflation control. Alongside this, Section 42 of the Reserve Bank of India Act, 1934, requires banks to maintain a Cash Reserve Ratio (CRR) with the RBI, ensuring that they hold a portion of their deposits in cash to maintain liquidity and safeguard against financial crises.

Corporate governance in banking institutions is regulated under Sections 10A and 10B, which establish guidelines for the appointment of bank directors and executive officers. These provisions aim to ensure professional management, accountability, and ethical governance in banks, preventing undue influence by any single entity or group. The Banking Laws (Amendment) Act, 2012, further enhanced these governance norms by increasing voting rights for shareholders in private and public sector banks, promoting transparency and competition in the sector.[621]

A key feature of the Banking Regulation Act, 1949, is Section 35, which empowers the RBI to conduct inspections and audits of banks. This supervisory function allows the central bank to monitor the financial health, risk exposure, and compliance standards of banking institutions. If a bank is found engaging in unsound financial practices, Section 45 allows the RBI to take control of the institution, reorganize its structure, or even force a merger to protect depositors' interests.[622]

The Act also includes provisions for depositor protection and consumer rights. Section 26 mandates banks to submit periodic reports on unclaimed deposits, ensuring that inactive accounts are properly accounted for. The introduction of the Deposit Insurance and Credit Guarantee Corporation (DICGC) Act, 1961, further strengthened depositor protection by insuring deposits up to a specified limit, reducing the risk of financial loss in case of bank failures.

To address the issue of non-performing assets (NPAs) and ensure the stability of the banking sector, the 2017 amendment to the Banking Regulation Act empowered the RBI to direct banks to initiate insolvency proceedings under the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016. This amendment played a crucial role in improving the credit recovery process, reducing financial stress on banks, and enhancing their ability to manage bad loans effectively.

The Act also includes provisions for digital banking and financial technology (fintech) integration. With the rise of electronic banking, mobile banking, and digital transactions, the Reserve Bank of India (RBI) Act, 1934, was amended to allow the central bank to regulate payment systems, digital banking products, and cybersecurity measures under the Payments and Settlement Systems Act, 2007. These amendments ensure that banks operate in a secure, technology-driven environment while complying with global best practices in cybersecurity and digital finance.

THE ROLE OF RESERVE BANK OF INDIA (RBI) IN REGULATORY AND SUPERVISORY FRAMEWORK

The Reserve Bank of India (RBI), as the central bank of India, plays a crucial role in regulating, supervising, and controlling the banking system. Established under the Reserve Bank of India Act, 1934, the RBI is responsible for monetary policy implementation, financial stability, banking supervision, and overall economic growth. It functions as the lender of last resort, currency issuer, regulator of foreign exchange, and guardian of banking laws, ensuring that the Indian banking system remains robust and resilient.[623]

One of the core responsibilities of the RBI is monetary policy regulation, which is governed under Section 45ZB of the RBI Act, 1934. The Monetary Policy Committee (MPC), constituted

[621] Staff, "Strengthening Corporate Governance Norms in Banks – An after dose to a wounded governance system – Vinod Kothari Consultants" *available at*: https://vinodkothari.com/2021/04/corporate-governance-norms-banks/ (last visited March 16, 2025).
[622] Sneha Mahawar, "Banking Regulation Act, 1949" iPleaders, 2022 *available at*: https://blog.ipleaders.in/banking-regulation-act-1949/ (last visited March 16, 2025).
[623] Admin, "RBI - Reserve Bank of India [UPSC Indian Economy Notes]" BYJU'S, 12 July 2016.

under this section, is responsible for setting the repo rate, reverse repo rate, and other policy instruments that influence interest rates, inflation control, and liquidity management. These measures ensure economic stability by controlling money supply and credit flow in the financial system.

As the regulator of banks, the RBI derives its powers from the Banking Regulation Act, 1949, which grants it authority to issue banking licenses (Section 22), conduct inspections (Section 35), regulate lending policies (Section 21), and impose penalties on banks for non-compliance (Section 46). The RBI also enforces capital adequacy requirements under the Basel III norms, ensuring that banks maintain sufficient capital buffers to absorb financial shocks. Under Section 42 of the RBI Act, 1934, banks are mandated to maintain a Cash Reserve Ratio (CRR) with the RBI, which acts as a safeguard against liquidity crises.

The RBI also plays a key role in resolving banking crises and managing distressed assets. Under the 2017 amendment to the Banking Regulation Act, 1949, the RBI was granted authority to direct banks to refer stressed assets for resolution under the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016. This reform has helped banks recover bad loans, reduce non-performing assets (NPAs), and improve credit discipline in the financial system.[624]

In addition to banking regulation, the RBI oversees foreign exchange management and currency stability. Under the Foreign Exchange Management Act, 1999 (FEMA), the RBI regulates foreign exchange transactions, cross-border remittances, and trade finance, ensuring stability in India's external financial environment. The RBI also manages India's foreign exchange reserves to maintain exchange rate stability and support economic growth.

With the rapid expansion of digital banking and fintech innovations, the RBI has introduced regulations under the Payments and Settlement Systems Act, 2007, to govern electronic fund transfers, digital wallets, and mobile banking services. The central bank has also issued cybersecurity guidelines and data protection policies to ensure safe and efficient digital banking operations. The introduction of the Digital Lending Guidelines in 2022 further strengthened the regulatory framework for online financial services, ensuring transparency and consumer protection in digital lending.

The RBI's responsibilities encompass financial inclusion, facilitating access to banking services for all societal segments. Programs like the Pradhan Mantri Jan Dhan Yojana (PMJDY), priority sector lending mandates under Section 21 of the Banking Regulation Act, and the introduction of Small Finance Banks and Payment Banks have enhanced financial accessibility and credit availability to underserved populations.

FINANCIAL SECTOR LEGISLATIVE REFORMS

The Indian financial sector has undergone significant legislative reforms aimed at strengthening the banking system, improving credit recovery mechanisms, and ensuring financial stability. These reforms have been instrumental in enhancing the efficiency, transparency, and resilience of banking institutions, thereby contributing to overall economic growth. Among the most notable legislative changes, the nationalization of banks, the Financial Institutions (Recovery of Debts) Act, 1993, and "the Securitization and Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Enforcement of Security Interest (SARFAESI) Act, 2002", stand out as landmark developments in India's banking history.[625]

The nationalization of banks transformed India's banking landscape by expanding financial services to underserved sectors and ensuring

[624] "The Banking Regulation (Amendment) Ordinance, 2017," PRS Legislative Research *available at*: https://prsindia.org/billtrack/the-banking-regulation-amendment-ordinance-2017 (last visited March 16, 2025).

[625] "Ministry of Finance Year Ender 2024: Department of Financial Services," *available at*: https://pib.gov.in/PressReleasePage.aspx?PRID=2088182 (last visited March 16, 2025).

government control over credit allocation. Subsequently, the Financial Institutions (Recovery of Debts) Act, 1993, introduced specialized mechanisms for recovering defaulted loans, addressing the growing problem of non-performing assets (NPAs). Further strengthening the legal framework, the SARFAESI Act, 2002, provided financial institutions with enhanced powers to recover secured debts without court intervention, streamlining the credit recovery process. These legislative measures have collectively shaped the modern banking system in India, promoting financial discipline and improving access to banking services.

NATIONALIZATION OF BANKS

The nationalization of banks in India marked a pivotal moment in the evolution of the country's financial system. The Banking Companies (Acquisition and Transfer of Undertakings) Act, 1970, provided the legal framework for the nationalization of 14 major private banks, transferring their ownership to the government. This step was undertaken with the objective of achieving economic growth, promoting financial inclusion, and ensuring greater control over credit distribution. Later, under the Banking Companies (Acquisition and Transfer of Undertakings) Act, 1980, an additional six banks were nationalized, further strengthening the government's role in the financial sector.

The key objectives of bank nationalization included channeling credit to priority sectors such as agriculture, small-scale industries, and rural development, which were historically neglected by private banking institutions. Under the Priority Sector Lending (PSL) guidelines established by the Reserve Bank of India (RBI) under Section 21 of the Banking Regulation Act, 1949, nationalized banks were mandated to allocate a specific percentage of their total credit to priority sectors, ensuring equitable financial development.

Nationalization also led to the expansion of banking services into rural and semi-urban areas, significantly increasing financial penetration. Under Section 35A of the Banking Regulation Act, 1949, the RBI was empowered to issue directives to nationalized banks regarding operational guidelines, customer service improvements, and financial inclusion strategies. This period saw the establishment of regional rural banks (RRBs) under the Regional Rural Banks Act, 1976, aimed at providing financial services to rural populations at concessional interest rates.[626]

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS (RECOVERY OF DEBTS) ACT, 1993

The Financial Institutions (Recovery of Debts) Act, 1993 (RDB Act), was enacted to address the growing challenge of loan defaults and delayed debt recovery processes in India's banking sector. Prior to the Act's enactment, banks and financial institutions struggled with lengthy court procedures to recover outstanding debts, leading to an accumulation of NPAs and financial instability. The RDB Act established a specialized legal mechanism to expedite the debt recovery process and strengthen the financial system.

Under Section 3 of the RDB Act, 1993, the government established Debt Recovery Tribunals (DRTs) as exclusive judicial bodies to handle cases related to loan recoveries above ₹10 lakh. This provision aimed to reduce the burden on civil courts and expedite the resolution of banking disputes. Further, Section 8 of the Act granted DRTs the authority to adjudicate and pass orders on debt recovery matters, ensuring a speedy and efficient resolution process.

The Act also introduced Debt Recovery Appellate Tribunals (DRATs) under Section 20, providing an appellate mechanism for aggrieved parties to challenge the decisions of DRTs. This two-tier tribunal system significantly improved the efficiency of debt recovery proceedings, reducing the legal complexities

[626] UGI USER, "THE BANKING SYSTEM IN INDIA" Universal Group Of Institutions, 2024 *available at*: https://universalinstitutions.com/the-banking-system-in-india/ (last visited March 16, 2025).

that previously hindered financial institutions from reclaiming their dues.

Another key provision of the RDB Act is Section 25, which empowers tribunals to enforce recovery orders by directing financial institutions to seize collateral assets of defaulters. Additionally, Section 29 ensures that the recovery process follows a structured legal framework, allowing financial institutions to auction or sell assets to recover outstanding dues. These measures have enhanced the ability of banks to recover bad loans, reduce NPAs, and improve overall financial stability. The success of the RDB Act, 1993, laid the foundation for further strengthening the banking sector's legal framework for loan recoveries, ultimately leading to the enactment of the SARFAESI Act, 2002, which introduced additional enforcement mechanisms for secured debt recovery.[627]

THE SECURITIZATION AND RECONSTRUCTION OF FINANCIAL ASSETS AND ENFORCEMENT OF SECURITY INTEREST ACT, 2002 (SARFAESI ACT)

The SARFAESI Act, 2002, was enacted to empower banks and financial institutions to recover secured debts efficiently without the need for court intervention. The Act provides banks with statutory rights to enforce security interests in case of loan defaults, allowing them to seize, manage, and dispose of collateral assets to recover dues.

Under Section 13 of the SARFAESI Act, 2002, if a borrower defaults on a secured loan, the bank can issue a 60-day notice demanding repayment. If the borrower fails to comply, the bank is authorized to take possession of the secured asset, sell it, or assign it to an asset reconstruction company (ARC). This provision eliminates the need for prolonged litigation, facilitating faster debt recovery and financial discipline.

The Act also established a framework for securitization and asset reconstruction. Section 3 of the SARFAESI Act permits the formation of Asset Reconstruction Companies (ARCs), which specialize in acquiring and managing non-performing loans. These entities play a crucial role in restructuring distressed assets and ensuring better financial management. The Reserve Bank of India (RBI), under Section 12 of the SARFAESI Act, regulates ARCs, ensuring compliance with legal and financial norms.

Another significant provision of the Act is Section 17, which provides borrowers with the right to appeal against enforcement actions before the Debt Recovery Tribunal (DRT). This ensures legal protection and fairness in the recovery process. Additionally, Section 36 sets a time limit of 12 years for financial institutions to enforce security interests, preventing indefinite delays in recovery proceedings.[628]

The SARFAESI Act, 2002, has played a crucial role in reducing NPAs, strengthening creditor rights, and improving the financial sector's stability. The effectiveness of the Act was further enhanced by the 2016 amendment, which brought NBFCs (Non-Banking Financial Companies) with assets of ₹500 crore or more under its purview, expanding its reach in debt recovery. Additionally, the integration of the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code (IBC), 2016, has further streamlined the insolvency resolution process, complementing the SARFAESI framework.

Thus, financial sector legislative reforms, including bank nationalization, the RDB Act, and the SARFAESI Act, have significantly shaped India's banking system by strengthening financial regulation, improving credit recovery mechanisms, and ensuring economic stability. These reforms have contributed to a stronger,

[627] "Though Section 25 of Recovery of Debts and Bankruptcy Act, 1993 does not indicates a hierarchy amongst the recovery modes available and Recovery Officer is free to choose the one suitable, if chooses to exercise the option 'arrest and detention in prison of the borrower' will have to carefully assess all the facts on record to ensure that ingredients of Rule 73 of Second schedule of the Income Tax Act are established – Kishor K. Mehta Vs. Recovery Officer, Debts Recovery Tribunal, II, Mumbai – Bombay High Court – IBC Laws," *available at*: https://ibclaw.in/kishor-k-mehta-vs-recovery-officer-debts-recovery-tribunal-ii-mumbai-bombay-high-court/ (last visited March 16, 2025).

[628] PSA, "ISSUE XI : Section 17 of SARFAESI: Is it effective for the borrowers?" PSA Legal Counsellors, 2012 *available at*: https://www.psalegal.com/issue-xi-section-17-of-sarfesi-is-it-effective-for-the-borrowers/ (last visited March 16, 2025).

more resilient banking environment, aligning India's financial sector with global best practices and modern banking standards.

INTRODUCTION OF BASEL NORMS AND THEIR INFLUENCE ON INDIAN BANKING LAWS

The Basel Norms are a collection of global banking laws created by the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (BCBS) to enhance financial stability and ensure the soundness of the global banking system. These norms focus on capital adequacy, risk management, and liquidity regulations to prevent financial crises and protect depositors. India has adopted the Basel norms through regulatory mandates issued by the Reserve Bank of India (RBI) under the Banking Regulation Act, 1949, thereby aligning its banking system with international standards.

The Basel I framework (1988) introduced the concept of Capital Adequacy Ratio (CAR), requiring banks to maintain a minimum capital-to-risk-weighted assets **ratio** (CRAR) of 8%. India, under Section 22 of the Banking Regulation Act, 1949, made it mandatory for banks to comply with this requirement, with the RBI prescribing a higher CRAR of 9% to ensure financial stability. The Basel II framework (2004) enhanced risk management by introducing three pillars—minimum capital requirements, supervisory review, and market discipline. Under Section 35A of the Banking Regulation Act, the RBI directed banks to implement Basel II, emphasizing risk-based capital requirements and internal risk assessment processes.[629]

The Basel III norms (2010) were introduced in response to the 2008 global financial crisis, strengthening capital adequacy requirements, leverage ratios, and liquidity standards. Under the RBI's Basel III implementation guidelines issued under the Reserve Bank of India Act, 1934, banks in India are required to maintain a minimum Tier 1 capital of 7% and a Capital Conservation Buffer (CCB) of 2.5%, bringing the total CRAR requirement to 11.5%. The RBI also mandated the Liquidity Coverage Ratio (LCR) under Section 45L of the RBI Act, ensuring that banks maintain sufficient high-quality liquid assets to withstand short-term liquidity stress.

THE PREVENTION OF MONEY LAUNDERING ACT, 2002

The Prevention of Money Laundering Act (PMLA), 2002, was enacted to combat money laundering, strengthen the financial system's integrity, and prevent the misuse of banking channels for illicit activities. The Act empowers regulatory agencies, particularly the Enforcement Directorate (ED) and the Financial Intelligence Unit (FIU-IND), to monitor and investigate suspicious transactions.

Under Section 3 of the PMLA, 2002, money laundering is defined as the process of concealing illicit proceeds through banking and financial transactions. The Act requires all banks and financial institutions to comply with Know Your Customer (KYC) norms and Anti-Money Laundering (AML) regulations, issued under Section 35A of the Banking Regulation Act, 1949, to prevent financial crimes.

The RBI, under Section 12 of the PMLA, mandates that banks maintain records of transactions exceeding ₹10 lakh, cross-border transactions, and suspicious activities, reporting them to the FIU-IND for further scrutiny.

Sections 17 and 18 of the Act grant authorities the power to provisionally attach assets involved in money laundering, ensuring that illicit proceeds are prevented from entering the banking system.

Additionally, the PMLA was amended in 2012 and 2019 to align with international standards such as the Financial Action Task Force (FATF) recommendations, expanding the scope of predicate offenses and strengthening compliance measures. Banks are now required to conduct Enhanced Due Diligence (EDD) for high-risk customers and report any Suspicious Transaction Reports (STRs) to regulatory bodies. These measures have significantly improved

[629] "Reserve Bank of India," *available at*: https://www.rbi.org.in/commonman/english/scripts/Notification.aspx?Id=1033 (last visited March 16, 2025).

transparency, accountability, and risk management in the Indian banking sector, reducing vulnerabilities to financial crimes.[630]

BANKING LAWS IN THE POST-LIBERALIZATION ERA

The post-liberalization era, beginning in 1991, marked a paradigm shift in India's banking regulatory framework, driven by economic reforms and financial sector liberalization. The Narasimham Committee Reports (1991 and 1998) laid the foundation for deregulation, privatization, and improved banking efficiency, resulting in the introduction of several key legislative reforms.

A significant development was the authorization of private sector and foreign banks pursuant to Section 22 of the Banking Regulation Act, 1949, leading to the establishment of new-generation banks such as HDFC Bank, ICICI Bank, and Axis Bank. Additionally, the Banking Laws (Amendment) Act, 2012, permitted private banks to raise foreign investment up to 74%, enhancing capital inflows and competition in the banking sector.

The liberalization era also saw the enactment of the Foreign Exchange Management Act (FEMA), 1999, replacing the Foreign Exchange Regulation Act (FERA), 1973, to facilitate seamless international banking transactions and foreign investments. Under Section 10 of FEMA, banks were authorized to conduct foreign exchange operations, boosting India's integration into the global economy.

Another major reform was the introduction of the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code (IBC), 2016, which provided a time-bound resolution mechanism for distressed assets. Under Section 7 of the IBC, banks and financial institutions were empowered to initiate insolvency proceedings against corporate borrowers, significantly improving debt recovery efficiency.[631]

The post-liberalization era also saw a push for financial inclusion through initiatives such as the Pradhan Mantri Jan Dhan Yojana (PMJDY), launched under Section 35A of the Banking Regulation Act, 1949, requiring banks to provide zero-balance accounts and digital banking services to underserved populations. These reforms have modernized India's banking sector, enhanced financial accessibility, and strengthened regulatory oversight, ensuring a more resilient financial system.

IMPACT OF DIGITAL BANKING AND CYBER LAWS ON THE FINANCIAL SYSTEM

The rise of digital banking and fintech innovations has transformed India's financial landscape, necessitating robust cybersecurity regulations and legal frameworks. The Information Technology Act, 2000, along with guidelines issued by the RBI under Section 35A of the Banking Regulation Act, 1949, governs electronic banking transactions, digital payments, and data security. The introduction of the Unified Payments Interface (UPI) under the Payments and Settlement Systems Act, 2007, revolutionized digital transactions, making India one of the largest digital payment markets globally. Under Section 10 of the Act, the RBI regulates payment service providers, ensuring secure and transparent digital transactions.

To combat cyber frauds and ensure data protection, the RBI issued cybersecurity guidelines in 2016, requiring banks to establish Security Operation Centers (SOCs) and Cyber Crisis Management Plans (CCMPs) under Section 35A of the Banking Regulation Act. Additionally, the Personal Data Protection Bill, 2019 (yet to be enacted) proposes stringent data security measures for banks, fintech firms, and digital lending platforms, ensuring customer data privacy.

[630] Faraz Sagar, Sara Sundaram and Pragati Sharma, "Anti-Money Laundering Laws and Regulations India 2024-2025" International Comparative Legal Guides International Business Reports, 6 June 2024.

[631] "The Journey of Insolvency & Bankruptcy Code," Chambers and Partners *available at*: https://chambers.com/articles/the-journey-of-insolvency-bankruptcy-code (last visited March 16, 2025).

INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW [IJLR – IF SCORE – 7.58]

VOLUME 5 AND ISSUE 6 OF 2025

APIS – 3920 - 0001 *(and)* ISSN - 2583-2344

Published by

Institute of Legal Education

https://iledu.in

The rise of cryptocurrencies and blockchain technology has also prompted regulatory interventions. In 2018, the RBI issued a circular prohibiting banks from dealing with virtual currencies, later overturned by the Supreme Court. Currently, the Cryptocurrency and Regulation of Official Digital Currency Bill, 2021, seeks to establish a regulatory framework for digital assets, ensuring financial security and mitigating risks associated with unregulated digital transactions. The rapid adoption of digital banking has also raised concerns regarding cyber frauds, phishing scams, and digital identity theft. The IT Act, 2000, under Section 66C and 66D, prescribes penalties for cybercrimes, ensuring consumer protection. The Cyber Appellate Tribunal (CAT) under the IT Act adjudicates disputes related to unauthorized digital transactions, strengthening consumer confidence in online banking.[632]

CASE LAWS

State Bank of India v. S.N. Goyal[633] This case dealt with the issue of the legal validity of loan agreements between the State Bank of India (SBI) and a borrower. The Court ruled on the interpretation of the provisions of the Banking Regulation Act, 1949 and examined the circumstances under which a bank can demand full payment of a loan before the completion of the agreed repayment schedule. It was decided that banks must comply with the principles of fairness and transparency in dealing with defaulting borrowers and that legal recourse should be taken only after a reasonable effort to negotiate repayment.

ICICI Bank Ltd. v. Official Liquidator of APS Star Industries Ltd[634] In this case, the Supreme Court considered the legal impact of the "Securitization and Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Enforcement of Security Interest Act, 2002 (SARFAESI Act)" in relation to the recovery of non-performing assets (NPAs). The Court held that the provisions of SARFAESI were applicable even when a company was under liquidation, and that the banking institutions had a preferential right to recover their dues over other creditors.

Reserve Bank of India v. Peerless General Finance and Investment Co. Ltd[635] The issue before the Court was whether the RBI had the jurisdiction to regulate certain non-banking financial institutions (NBFIs). The Supreme Court held that the RBI had regulatory control over companies that accepted deposits from the public, whether or not they operated as traditional banks. This case highlighted the broad scope of the Reserve Bank of India Act, 1934, especially in the regulation of financial institutions that were not strictly banks.

HDFC Bank Ltd. v. The Commissioner of Central Excise[636] This case dealt with the issue of the applicability of Service Tax to the banking sector under the Finance Act, 1994. The Supreme Court ruled that banks are liable to pay service tax on their transactions, including fees for services such as ATM withdrawals, demand drafts, and other financial services. This ruling clarified the tax liabilities of financial institutions under the evolving regulatory framework governing the banking sector.

Union of India v. Dharmani Daman Singh[637] This case examined the power of the Reserve Bank of India (RBI) in issuing directions to banks for the maintenance of prudential norms in the banking system. The Court ruled that the RBI's directions were within its powers and were meant to ensure the financial stability of the banking system. The judgment emphasized the RBI's regulatory role in maintaining the overall health of the banking industry and its role in protecting depositors' interests.

[632] Krishnadas Rajagopal, "Supreme Court sets aside RBI ban on cryptocurrency transactions" The Hindu, 2020 *available at*: https://www.thehindu.com/news/national/supreme-court-sets-aside-rbi-ban-on-cryptocurrency-transactions/article61967124.ece (last visited March 16, 2025).
[633] State Bank of India v. S.N. Goyal, AIR 2001 SC 1005
[634] ICICI Bank Ltd. v. Official Liquidator of APS Star Industries Ltd., 2012 (2) SCC 288
[635] Reserve Bank of India v. Peerless General Finance and Investment Co. Ltd., AIR 1992 SC 1056
[636] HDFC Bank Ltd. v. The Commissioner of Central Excise, 2007 (9) SCC 540
[637] Union of India v. Dharmani Daman Singh, 2015 (1) SCC 519

K.K. Verma v. Punjab National Bank[638] The issue in this case was the legality of a bank's action to charge higher interest rates than what was agreed upon in a loan agreement. The Court ruled in favor of the borrower, stating that banks must adhere to the terms of the loan agreements and that they could not arbitrarily increase the interest rate without proper justification. This case was significant in highlighting the importance of contract law and fairness in banking agreements.

SBI v. S. Jagannathan[639] The Supreme Court, in this case, explored the responsibilities of banks regarding the due diligence required before sanctioning loans. .The Court held that banks must perform thorough background checks to ensure that the borrower is creditworthy before sanctioning loans. This case reinforced the need for banks to comply with all necessary procedural and regulatory requirements before granting credit facilities.

State Bank of India v. Ranjan Ghosh[640] The case involved the interpretation of provisions under the Banking Regulation Act, 1949, in terms of the rights of banks to seek recovery of loans and to take possession of mortgaged properties in cases of default. The Court ruled that banks, as creditors, had the right to enforce loan agreements and sell mortgaged properties, provided the procedures prescribed under law were followed. The ruling made it clear that banks could not act arbitrarily and had to comply with statutory regulations.

Vishwanath P. Joshi v. ICICI Bank[641] In this case, the Court dealt with the issue of contract enforcement under the Indian Contract Act, 1872, with respect to banking agreements, particularly the obligations of banks in regard to credit card dues. The Court ruled that the agreements made between a bank and a credit card holder were binding, and that the bank was entitled to enforce the terms of the contract, including the payment of dues. It was emphasized that the consumer had the right to contest charges but also had the responsibility to meet contractual obligations.

Dena Bank v. Bhikhabhai Prabhudas Parekh[642] This case concerned the Recovery of Debts under the Financial Institutions (Recovery of Debts) Act, 1993. The Supreme Court clarified that once a debt recovery application is filed, the Debt Recovery Tribunal (DRT) has exclusive jurisdiction to hear the matter. This case was pivotal in cementing the role of DRTs in facilitating the expeditious recovery of debts from defaulting borrowers. It also highlighted the importance of procedural compliance by banks while dealing with bad loans.

CONCLUSION

This research paper highlights that the Banking Regulation Act, 1949, remains the cornerstone of banking governance, providing the Reserve Bank of India (RBI) with extensive regulatory and supervisory powers. The Reserve Bank of India Act, 1934, has continuously been amended to align with changing economic conditions, giving the RBI control over monetary policy and financial stability. Other key legislations, including "the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881, the Indian Contract Act, 1872, and the Securitization and Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Enforcement of Security Interest Act, 2002 (SARFAESI Act)", have collectively contributed to strengthening banking operations and loan recovery mechanisms.

The study further identifies the significant role played by financial sector reforms, including the nationalization of banks, the liberalization policies of 1991, and the introduction of the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code (IBC), 2016. These reforms have enhanced financial inclusion, improved credit accessibility, and facilitated foreign investments in the banking sector. The impact of Basel norms has also been crucial in ensuring capital adequacy and risk management in banks. However, regulatory challenges remain, particularly in areas such as

638 K.K. Verma v. Punjab National Bank, 2016 (2) SCC 351
639 SBI v. S. Jagannathan, AIR 1991 SC 1531
640 State Bank of India v. Ranjan Ghosh, 2004 (2) SC 297
641 Vishwanath P. Joshi v. ICICI Bank, 2009 (4) SCC 212
642 Dena Bank v. Bhikhabhai Prabhudas Parekh, AIR 2000 SC 957

digital banking, cybersecurity, FinTech regulations, and non-performing assets (NPAs). The Prevention of Money Laundering Act, 2002 (PMLA), has contributed to combating financial crimes, but the enforcement of anti-money laundering measures still requires further improvements.

Furthermore, the function of regulatory authorities, such as the "Reserve Bank of India (RBI), the Ministry of Finance, the Financial Stability and Development Council (FSDC), the Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI), and the Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority of India (IRDAI)", has been examined. The study finds that while these institutions have provided a strong regulatory framework, challenges such as overlapping jurisdictions, enforcement delays, and regulatory gaps in emerging financial sectors remain.