INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW [IJLR – IF SCORE – 7.58]

VOLUME 5 AND ISSUE 6 OF 2025

APIS – 3920 - 0001 *(and)* ISSN - 2583-2344

Published by

Institute of Legal Education

https://iledu.in

OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH AND SAFETY: LEGAL DUTIES OF EMPLOYERS

AUTHOR - NIVIIYA P, STUDENT AT SCHOOL OF EXCELLENCE IN LAW

BEST CITATION - NIVIIYA P, OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH AND SAFETY: LEGAL DUTIES OF EMPLOYERS, *INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW (IJLR)*, 5 (6) OF 2025, PG. 392-396, APIS – 3920 – 0001 & ISSN - 2583-2344

Abstract

This article explores the legal duties of employers in relation to occupational health and safety (OHS), examining statutory obligations, common law principles, and international labor standards. Employers are increasingly held accountable for ensuring safe working environments, with legal responsibilities that include risk assessments, provision of safe systems and equipment, employee training, health surveillance, emergency preparedness, and consultation with workers. Drawing on legislation from jurisdictions such as the United Kingdom, United States, Australia, and the European Union, the article outlines both general and sector-specific duties. It further analyzes the legal consequences of non-compliance, including criminal liability, civil litigation, and administrative sanctions. Through historical context and case studies such as the Piper Alpha disaster and Rana Plaza collapse, the article underscores the evolution and continuing relevance of employer obligations. Emerging challenges—such as mental health, remote work, technological risks, and climate-related hazards—are also discussed. The article concludes that the fulfilment of legal duties in OHS is essential not only for legal compliance but also for promoting workplace safety, productivity, and employee well-being in a rapidly changing labor environment.

Keywords: Occupational health and safety (OHS), employer duties, workplace safety, international labour standards, safety culture.

Introduction

Occupational Health and Safety (OHS) is a fundamental aspect of workplace management, aiming to protect the well-being of employees and reduce workplace risks. Over the decades, governments and international organizations have increasingly emphasized the importance of safeguarding workers through comprehensive legal frameworks. These frameworks assign explicit responsibilities to employers to ensure safe and healthy working environments. This article explores the legal duties of employers concerning occupational health and safety, the rationale behind these duties, their implementation, and how they vary across jurisdictions. We will also explore real-world examples, case law, and the consequences of non-compliance.

Understanding Occupational Health and Safety

Occupational Health and Safety refers to policies, standards, and programs that are put in place to protect employees, customers, and visitors from harm in the workplace. It encompasses a wide range of issues, including:

- Physical hazards (e.g., machinery, noise)
- Chemical hazards (e.g., toxic substances)
- Biological hazards (e.g., bacteria, viruses)
- Ergonomic risks (e.g., repetitive motion injuries)
- Psychosocial hazards (e.g., stress, harassment)

Maintaining a safe workplace not only protects workers but also improves productivity, enhances employee morale, and

reduces the costs associated with workplace accidents and illnesses.

Historical Development of OHS Legislation

The formal regulation of workplace safety began during the Industrial Revolution when the hazardous nature of factories, mines, and mills led to significant worker injuries and deaths. Early reforms, such as the UK's Factory Acts in the 19th century, laid the groundwork for state intervention in labor protection. The concept of employer responsibility evolved over time, culminating in comprehensive statutory regimes seen in many developed countries today.

The International Labour Organization (ILO) has also played a crucial role in shaping OHS norms through conventions such as Convention No. 155 on Occupational Safety and Health (1981)[545] and Convention No. 187 on the Promotional Framework for Occupational Safety and Health (2006)[546]

Legal Framework for OHS

The legal responsibilities of employers are codified in occupational safety acts, labor codes, and health regulations. In many countries, the legislation includes both general duties and specific obligations, which are enforced by regulatory bodies.

1. International Perspective

Organizations like the ILO set global standards. The ILO's Convention No. 155 (Occupational Safety and Health Convention, 1981) and Convention No. 187 (Promotional Framework for Occupational Safety and Health, 2006) serve as key frameworks for national legislation.

2. National Legislation

Different countries have enacted specific laws, such as:

- United States: The Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970.
- United Kingdom: The Health and Safety at Work etc. Act 1974.
- Australia: Work Health and Safety Act 2011.
- Canada: Canada Labour Code (Part II).
- India: Occupational Safety, Health and Working Conditions Code, 2020.

Core Legal Duties of Employers

1. Duty to Provide a Safe Work Environment

Employers must ensure that the workplace is free from hazards that could cause injury or illness. This includes:

- Proper ventilation, lighting, and sanitation.
- Structural safety of buildings.
- Control of hazardous substances and equipment.

For instance, under the U.S. OSHA General Duty Clause, employers must provide a workplace "free from recognized hazards."

2. Risk Assessment and Hazard Identification

Employers are legally obligated to identify risks and assess the likelihood of harm. Risk assessments should be:

- Conducted regularly and whenever conditions change.
- Documented and reviewed.
- Used to inform preventive strategies.

In the UK, employers must conduct "suitable and sufficient" risk assessments under the Management of Health and Safety at Work Regulations 1999.[547]

[545] International Labour Organisation, occupational safety and health convention, C155, 1981
[546] ILO, promotional framework for occupational safety and health convention, C187, 2006
[547] Management of health and safety at work regulation 1999, regulation 3 (UK)

3. Implementing Preventive and Protective Measures

Once risks are identified, employers must implement appropriate control measures such as:

- Engineering controls (e.g., machine guards).
- Administrative controls (e.g., work rotation).
- Personal protective equipment (PPE).

Failure to adopt suitable measures can lead to prosecution or civil liability.

4. Training and Supervision

Employees must be trained in safe work practices. Legal obligations include:

- Induction training for new employees.
- Ongoing training for new processes or equipment.
- Supervision by competent persons.

For example, the EU Directive 89/391/EEC requires employers to ensure training is "repeated periodically."[548]

5. Provision of Safety Equipment and Facilities

Employers must provide appropriate PPE and safety facilities (e.g., eyewash stations, first aid kits) at no cost to employees. The equipment must meet safety standards and be regularly maintained.[549]

6. Health Surveillance and Medical Examinations

Certain jobs require health monitoring to detect early signs of occupational illness. Employers may need to:

- Conduct pre-employment and periodic health checks.
- Keep confidential medical records.
- Report occupational diseases to authorities.

This is particularly relevant in sectors like construction, mining, and healthcare.

7. Reporting and Record-Keeping

Employers are legally required to record and report:

- Workplace injuries and illnesses.
- Dangerous occurrences.
- Lost workdays due to injury.

Regulators like OSHA in the U.S. or the HSE in the UK provide detailed reporting guidelines.

8. Consultation with Employees and Safety Representatives

Engaging employees in health and safety decision-making is both a legal requirement and a best practice. This may involve:

- Appointing safety committees.
- Sharing risk assessment results.
- Allowing worker participation in inspections.

9. Emergency Preparedness

Employers must prepare for emergencies such as fires, chemical spills, or natural disasters by:

- Developing emergency action plans.
- Conducting regular drills.
- Providing escape routes and alarms.[550]

Sector-Specific Duties

While the above duties apply broadly, certain industries face additional obligations.

[548] Work health and safety Act 2011 (Australia), S19(3) (f)
[549] OSHA regulations, 29 CFR 1910 (US)
[550] Council directive 89/391/EEC, Article 7(1)

INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW [IJLR – IF SCORE – 7.58]

VOLUME 5 AND ISSUE 6 OF 2025

APIS – 3920 - 0001 *(and)* ISSN - 2583-2344

Published by

Institute of Legal Education

https://iledu.in

1. Construction Industry

Construction sites present numerous hazards, so employers must:

- Follow strict scaffolding and excavation standards.
- Ensure the use of fall protection systems.
- Monitor worker exposure to silica, asbestos, and noise.

2. Healthcare Sector

Healthcare employers must address:

- Infection control (e.g., sharps injury prevention).
- Mental health and burnout risks.
- Safe patient handling practices.

3. Manufacturing and Mining

These sectors require robust controls for:

- Heavy machinery operation.
- Confined spaces.
- Exposure to hazardous chemicals.

Legal Liability for Breach of OHS Duties

Breaching OHS obligations can lead to various forms of liability:

a. Criminal Liability

Regulators can prosecute employers for violations. In the UK, the Corporate Manslaughter and Corporate Homicide Act 2007 allows organizations to be held criminally liable for gross breaches resulting in death.

In Australia, WHS breaches can result in criminal charges, with penalties including fines and imprisonment.[551]

b. Civil Liability

Employees may sue for negligence if they suffer injury due to the employer's failure to fulfill legal duties. The landmark UK case ***Wilson & Clyde Coal Co Ltd v English*** [1938] AC 57 affirmed the employer's duty to provide competent staff, a safe system of work, and safe premises.[552]

c. Administrative Sanctions

Regulatory bodies can impose penalties, improvement notices, and prohibition orders. Non-compliance can also affect business licensing and insurance coverage.

Emerging Challenges in OHS

a. Mental Health and Psychosocial Risks

Legal duties now increasingly encompass mental health. Employers may be liable for psychological injuries arising from workplace stress, bullying, or harassment.

b. Remote Work and Ergonomics

Post-COVID, employers must assess ergonomic risks for remote workers. In some jurisdictions, home-based injuries can be considered work-related.

c. Technological Disruption

The rise of automation and AI introduces new workplace risks and regulatory challenges. Employers must evaluate risks arising from human-machine interaction and cybersecurity.

d. Climate Change and Environmental Hazards

Heatwaves, air pollution, and extreme weather events pose emerging occupational risks. Regulatory bodies are beginning to recognize climate-related workplace hazards.

Case Studies

1. Wilsons and Clyde Coal Co. Ltd v English (1938, UK)

A landmark case where the House of Lords held that employers have a non-delegable

[551] Corporate manslaughter and corporate homicide Act 2007 (UK)

[552] WHS Act 2011 (Australia)

duty to provide a safe system of work, proper equipment, and competent staff. Even if tasks are delegated, liability remains with the employer.

2. M.C. Mehta v. Union of India (1987, India – Oleum Gas Leak Case)

The Supreme Court of India established the principle of absolute liability for industries engaged in hazardous activities. The employer was held liable for the gas leak regardless of fault or negligence, setting a precedent in OHS cases.

3. R v. Associated Octel Ltd (1996, UK)

The company was fined for failing to ensure safety, after an employee was fatally injured. The court emphasized that companies must actively assess and manage risks.

4. Commissioner of Police v. Gordian (2000, Australia)

This case involved a police officer who suffered a psychological injury. The court ruled that employers also have a duty to prevent mental health risks, recognizing stress and trauma as legitimate workplace hazards.

5. People v. O'Neil (1990, USA)

Company officials were held criminally liable for worker deaths caused by hazardous chemical exposure. This case reinforced that senior executives can face prosecution for willful safety violations.

6. Indian Council for Enviro-Legal Action v. Union of India (1996)

This case highlighted employer responsibility in environmental and workplace safety. The Court reiterated the polluter pays principle, extending liability for harm to both environment and workers.

7. Safe Work Australia v. Macmahon Contractors Pty Ltd (2014, Australia)

An employer was fined for inadequate risk assessment leading to a fatality. The case emphasized the importance of continuous risk evaluation and training.

Conclusion

The legal duties of employers in the realm of occupational health and safety are expansive and continuously evolving. While legislation imposes a framework of responsibilities, the effective implementation of these duties requires a proactive, risk-based approach grounded in prevention and worker engagement.

Employers who fail to meet these obligations risk legal consequences and reputational damage, while those who invest in comprehensive OHS programs benefit from improved productivity, reduced absenteeism, and enhanced employee morale.

As workplaces become more complex and diverse, the legal landscape of occupational health and safety will continue to adapt—underscoring the need for ongoing vigilance, education, and cultural transformation.

Reference

1.International Labour Organisation, occupational safety and health convention, C155, 1981

2.ILO, promotional framework for occupational safety and health convention, C187, 2006

3. Management of health and safety at work regulation 1999, regulation 3 (UK)

4. Work health and safety Act 2011 (Australia), S19(3) (f)

5. OSHA regulations, 29 CFR 1910 (US)

6. Council directive 89/391/EEC, Article 7(1)

7. Corporate manslaughter and corporate homicide Act 2007 (UK)

8. WHS Act 2011 (Australia)