INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW [IJLR – IF SCORE – 7.58]

VOLUME 5 AND ISSUE 5 OF 2025

APIS – 3920 - 0001 *(and)* ISSN - 2583-2344

Published by

Institute of Legal Education

https://iledu.in

THE ROLE OF NATIONAL LEGAL FRAMEWORKS IN IMPLEMENTING INTERNATIONAL CLIMATE AGREEMENTS: A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF ENVIRONMENTAL POLICIES BETWEEN INDIA AND GERMANY

AUTHOR - MADHUR TIWARI, RESEARCH SCHOLAR AT AMITY LAW SCHOOL, NOIDA, UTTAR PRADESH. EMAIL - MADHURT88@GMAIL.COM

BEST CITATION - MADHUR TIWARI, THE ROLE OF NATIONAL LEGAL FRAMEWORKS IN IMPLEMENTING INTERNATIONAL CLIMATE AGREEMENTS: A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF ENVIRONMENTAL POLICIES BETWEEN INDIA AND GERMANY, *INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW (IJLR)*, 5 (5) OF 2025, PG. 451-463, APIS – 3920 – 0001 & ISSN - 2583-2344

Abstract

The growing worldwide climate problem calls for strong national legal systems to turn international climate accords into efficient home policy. With a comparison of environmental policies in India and Germany, this study paper investigates how national legal frameworks implement international climate obligations. Both countries, as members to treaties such as the Paris Agreement, have particular difficulties and possibilities in matching their legal systems with worldwide climate objectives. Supported by legislation like the Environment Protection Act, 1986, and policies such as the National Action Plan on Climate Change, India, a rising country, strikes environmental sustainability against fast growth and energy access. A developed country, Germany uses its Federal Climate Change Act and Energiewende project to push bold carbon cuts under a robust European Union framework. This paper uses a qualitative comparative method to examine the structure, enforcement, and efficacy of legal systems in both nations, therefore stressing similarities (e.g., renewable energy emphasis) and differences (e.g., economic settings, federal governance). It investigates how India's adaptation-oriented policies differ from Germany's mitigation-centric ones and assesses their individual advancement towards Nationally Determined Contributions (NDCs). Examined together with best practices—Germany's regulatory strictness and India's distributed inventions—are key issues including India's coal reliance and Germany's industrial pollution. The results highlight the need of context-specific legal systems in reaching worldwide climate goals and provide suggestions for cross-learning and policy improvement. This study helps to clarify how different legal customs and socio-economic reality affect the execution of international climate agreements by contrasting a developing with a developed country, hence opening the path for more efficient worldwide climate control.

Keywords: National action plan; environmental policy; India; Germany; climate agreements

Introduction

International climate agreements operate as important blueprints for shared responsibility; hence the global climate issue calls for quick and concerted response. Frameworks such as the Paris Agreement and Kyoto Protocol established high goals for lowering greenhouse gas emissions and supporting sustainable development[812]. Their success, therefore, depends on the capacity of countries to convert these promises into enforceable domestic laws using strong legal systems. National legal

[812] United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (2015) Paris Agreement. Available at: https://unfccc.int/process-and-meetings/the-paris-agreement

systems shape how countries mitigate and adapt to climate change by bridging the gap between global goals and local reality. Focusing on a comparative analysis of environmental policies in India and Germany[813]—two countries with different socio-economic settings, legal traditions, and climate priorities—this research paper investigates the critical role national legal frameworks play in carrying out international climate agreements.

An emerging economy, India struggles to balance environmental sustainability, poverty reduction, and fast industrialization. Its legal system, based on the Environment Protection Act, 1986, and supported by projects like the National Action Plan on Climate Change, shows strong emphasis on adaptation and renewable energy expansion. An industrialized country and leader in the European Union's climate agenda, Germany has codified severe mitigation policies via legislation such the Federal Climate Change Act, 2019, and the Energiewende policy for energy transformation. This paper shows how legal systems—from constitutional clauses to regulatory mechanisms—facilitate or impede the attainment of Nationally Determined Contributions (NDCs) under the Paris Agreement by means of comparison among these countries. This study is relevant now since the success of global climate regulation relies on knowledge of various state strategies.[814] Using legislative texts, policy papers, and secondary sources, the paper assesses the coherence, enforcement, and adaptability of India and Germany's frameworks using a qualitative comparative method. It aims to find best practices, underline difficulties, and suggest routes for cross-learning, so supporting the more general conversation on implementation of climate policies.[815]

Overview of International Climate Agreements

Providing a systematic framework for cooperation among countries, international climate agreements underpin worldwide attempts to fight climate change. These treaties create common objectives, define duties, and promote responsibility to reduce greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions and adjust to climate changes.[816] Among the most notable are the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC), the Kyoto Protocol, and the Paris Agreement, each of which marks major milestones in global climate governance. Their main characteristics, the duties they place on signature nations, the difficulties of reconciling worldwide commitments with national goals, and their influence on domestic environmental policy are all described in this section.[817]

Key Features of Major Climate Agreements

Providing a systematic framework for cooperation among countries, international climate agreements underpin worldwide attempts to fight climate change. These treaties create common objectives, define duties, and promote responsibility to reduce greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions and adjust to climate changes. Among the most notable are the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC), the Kyoto Protocol, and the Paris Agreement, each of which marks major milestones in global climate governance. Their main characteristics, the duties they place on signature nations, the difficulties of reconciling worldwide commitments with national goals, and their influence on domestic environmental policy are all described in this section.

Responsibilities of Signatory Nations

Signatories to the UNFCCC agree to create national GHG emissions inventories, carry out

[813] Gupta, J. (2016) 'The Paris Agreement: A New Era in Global Climate Governance', Environmental Policy and Law, 46(1), pp. 8–12.
[814] Government of India (1986) Environment Protection Act, 1986. New Delhi: Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change.
[815] Federal Government of Germany (2019) Federal Climate Change Act. Berlin: Federal Ministry for the Environment, Nature Conservation and Nuclear Safety.
[816] United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (1992) United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change.
[817] United Nations (1997) Kyoto Protocol to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change. Available at: https://unfccc.int/kyoto_protocol (Accessed: 15 january 2025)

mitigation policies, and work together on technology transfer and adaptation. Developed nations are also meant to offer technical and financial assistance to poorer countries. Requiring Annex I countries to adopt policies such as renewable energy use or carbon pricing, the Kyoto Protocol set legally binding targets for emission reduction. [818] Though exempt from legally obligatory targets, developing nations were urged to seek sustainable development via CDM initiatives, therefore allowing them to gain credits by lowering emissions. All parties to the Paris Agreement are required to file NDCs, so representing their maximum ambition, and to work towards domestic policies to meet these goals. Under international scrutiny, nations have to routinely report on emissions and development. Developed countries are encouraged to give $100 billion yearly by 2020 (extended to 2025) to fund climate action in poor countries, so promoting trust and fairness. Harmonising national interests with international responsibilities offers major difficulties. Often, financial limitations for developing nations restrict their capacity to give climate action first priority over urgent concerns as poverty reduction or infrastructure development. For example, countries dependent on fossil fuels struggle to switch to renewables without compromising financial stability.[819] Though better prepared, developed nations contend with political opposition, industrial lobbying, and the steep decarbonisation expenses. Though fair, the concept of CBDR complicates talks as nations argue their own duties. With many present pledges below the 1.5°C limit, the voluntary character of NDCs under the Paris Agreement also raises questions about lack of ambition or responsibility. Unequal access to technology and funding compounds homogenous growth even more, especially for underprivileged countries suffering major climatic consequences. By establishing standards and encouraging normative pressure, international climate agreements greatly affect domestic environmental policies. The UNFCCC's reporting criteria motivate nations to improve data collecting and policy planning. The Kyoto Protocol's procedures motivated member countries to create renewable energy programs and carbon markets. The NDC system of the Paris Agreement has motivated nations to implement climate laws, create specialised organisations, and include climate objectives into sectoral policies including energy, transport, and agriculture.[820] These treaties also allow countries to implement best practices by means of information sharing and capacity development. Financial obligations have paid for adaptation actions while technology transfer clauses have helped renewable energy projects in poor countries.

National Legal Frameworks for Climate Policy

Comprising a dynamic interaction of laws, norms, and institutions aimed to address climate change within a particular socio-political context, national legal systems form the foundation for carrying out international climate obligations.[821] These frameworks are defined by their components: constitutional provisions that enshrine environmental protection as a state duty or citizen right; statutory laws, such as environmental protection acts or renewable energy legislation, that provide particular mandates; regulations that operationalize these laws through standards and incentives; and institutions, including ministries, environmental agencies, and courts, that enforce and monitor compliance.[822] Legal systems are essential in enforcing climate commitments by converting international obligations—such as those under

[818] United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (2015) Paris Agreement. Available at: https://unfccc.int/process-and-meetings/the-paris-agreement (Accessed: 20 january 2025)
[819] Oberthür, S. and Ott, H. E. (1999) The Kyoto Protocol: International Climate Policy for the 21st Century. Berlin: Springer.

[820] Bodansky, D. (2016) 'The Paris Climate Change Agreement: A New Hope?', American Journal of International Law, 110(2), pp. 288–319.

[821] Sands, P. and Peel, J. (2018) Principles of International Environmental Law. 4th edn. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
[822] Bodansky, D., Brunnée, J. and Rajamani, L. (2017) International Climate Change Law. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW [IJLR – IF SCORE – 7.58]
VOLUME 5 AND ISSUE 5 OF 2025
APIS – 3920 - 0001 *(and)* ISSN - 2583-2344
Published by
Institute of Legal Education
https://iledu.in

the Paris Agreement's Nationally Determined Contributions (NDCs)—into actionable domestic policies, with constitutional provisions establishing overarching principles, statutory laws detailing obligations like emissions targets, and judicial oversight ensuring responsibility through landmark decisions or public interest litigation. [823] The interaction of international and national laws is seen through theoretical lenses such as monism, which holds that international law is automatically part of domestic law, and dualism, which calls for explicit legislative incorporation, therefore affecting how countries like India (with a monist-leaning approach) and Germany (dualist in practice) implement global agreements.[824] Coherence, which ensures consistency between laws and policies across sectors; enforcement, judged by compliance rates and judicial remedies; adaptability, which shows responsiveness to changing climate science and international standards; and inclusiveness, which evaluates stakeholder involvement in policy design, judges the efficacy of these frameworks. [825] Though enforcement is improved by India's National Green Tribunal, consistency prevents conflicting regulations as shown in Germany's integrated energy laws. [826] Adaptability let systems incorporate new technology; inclusivity ensures policies reflect many desires, particularly relevant in societies.

India's Legal and Policy Framework for Climate Action

India's legal and policy framework for climate action combines a thorough, multi-layered approach including statutory instruments, constitutional obligations, international commitments, focused policies and programs, and committed institutional mechanisms, all of which are meant to balance ecological sustainability with socio-economic development. Central to this system is a strong legal structure emphasizing India's dedication to environmental protection, obviously shown by important laws including the National Green Tribunal Act, 2010 and the Environment Protection Act, 1986.[827] India's main environmental law, the Environment Protection Act of 1986, gives the central government power to set rigorous safeguards and preventive actions against pollution and environmental deterioration across many sectors including industry, agriculture, and infrastructure. Effectively developing a thorough legal basis for environmental regulation and enforcement, this Act has given significant authority to regulatory bodies to create rules, issue notifications, and set standards for emissions, effluents, and waste disposal. Rounding out this is the National Green Tribunal Act, 2010,[828] which created a specialised court to quickly and effectively resolve environmental conflicts. By means of its regional benches around the nation, the tribunal has greatly improved access to environmental justice by offering prompt remedies to impacted communities and guaranteeing responsibility and compliance from both commercial and public sectors. Constitutionally, India's dedication to environmental sustainability is clearly expressed via Directive Principles and Fundamental Duties inscribed into the Constitution. Article 48A [829]puts an affirmative duty on government agencies at all levels to include environmental issues into their developmental planning and policies by requiring the State to "protect and improve the environment and safeguard forests and wildlife." At the same time, Article 51A[830](g) places a clear responsibility on every individual "to protect and improve the natural environment," therefore incorporating the spirit of environmental stewardship into the constitutional fabric of the country. Though not

[823]Kelsen, H. (1967) Pure Theory of Law. Translated by M. Knight. Berkeley: University of California Press.
[824] Shaw, M. N. (2021) International Law. 9th edn. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
[825] Gupta, J. (2014) The History of Global Climate Governance. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
[826] Peel, J. and Osofsky, H. M. (2015) Climate Change Litigation: Regulatory Pathways to Cleaner Energy. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

[827] Environment Protection Act, 1986, Government of India.
[828]National Green Tribunal Act, 2010, Government of India.
[829] Constitution of India, 1950, Art. 48A
[830] Constitution of India, 1950, Art. 51A(g).

judicially enforceable by themselves, these clauses have been very helpful in steering the Indian courts—especially the Supreme Court—to adopt proactive judicial readings under Article 21—the right to life—supporting the rights to clean environment and sustainable development. Its strong involvement in international climate talks—especially under the Paris Agreement (2015)[831]—notably helps to reinforce India's climate action system even further. India's Nationally Determined Contributions (NDCs) signify its ambitious agenda, committing to reduce emissions intensity of its GDP by 33-35% from 2005 levels by 2030, achieve about 40% cumulative electric power installed capacity from non-fossil fuel-based energy sources by the same year, and create an additional carbon sink of 2.5 to 3 billion tonnes of CO_2 equivalent through additional forest and tree cover.[832] These promises show India's dual responsibility as a developing nation with reasonable desires for economic expansion and poverty eradication as well as as a responsible participant in world climate control. A thorough set of national-level policies and initiatives, mostly driven by the National Action Plan on Climate Change (NAPCC), is essential for the actual fulfilment of these global obligations. Started in 2008[833], the NAPCC describes eight National Missions aimed at important industries including solar energy, energy efficiency, water conservation, and sustainable agriculture. Among these, the National Solar Mission[834] has become especially important as it drives India's renewable energy path by establishing aggressive solar capacity goals, hence changing India into one of the top solar markets in the world. Other initiatives like the National Mission on Sustainable Habitat and the National Mission for Enhanced Energy Efficiency concentrate primarily on demand-side control via rigorous construction rules, sustainable urban planning techniques, and efficient appliances. Many extra laws also support the NAPCC structure: the Energy Conservation Act, plans for the Renewable Energy Act, and the Perform, Achieve, and Trade (PAT) program aimed at industrial energy efficiency. Policies such as the Ujjwala Yojana, which encourages clean cooking fuels, more accurately show India's effort to properly match energy availability, poverty reduction, and climate change aims. Dedicated institutional mechanisms led mostly by the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change (MoEFCC)[835] organise effective execution of these policies, which are responsible for monitoring regulatory compliance, environmental clearances, international negotiations, and cross-sectoral policy coordination. Various organisations including the Central Pollution Control Board (CPCB)[836], which tracks compliance with environmental criteria, and specialised organisations like the Bureau of Energy Efficiency (BEE), charged with encouraging energy-efficient technologies and practices across sectors, support the MoEFCC. Notwithstanding these thorough plans, India's climate action struggles mostly because of the natural difficulty of striking economic development, guaranteeing fair energy access, and meeting high climate mitigation targets.[837] India's economic path calls for major infrastructural and industrial expansion investments, which would drive more energy demand mostly satisfied by fossil fuels, notably coal, which still rules India's energy balance. This reliance raises major environmental and public health issues, therefore hindering India's shift towards cleaner, renewable energy sources.[838]

[831] Government of India (2015). India's Intended Nationally Determined Contribution: Working Towards Climate Justice. Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change, New Delhi.
[832] Paris Agreement, 2015, United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change.
[833] Government of India (2008). National Action Plan on Climate Change. Prime Minister's Council on Climate Change.
[834] Government of India (2018). India's Nationally Determined Contribution Progress Report. Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change.
[835] Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change, Government of India, available at: https://moef.gov.in (Accessed: 25 january 2025).
[836] Sahu, B.K. (2020). Renewable Energy in India: Policy, Economics and Emerging Trends. New Delhi: Routledge.
[837] Dubash, N.K., & Joseph, N.B. (2016). 'Evolution of institutions for climate policy in India', Economic and Political Weekly, 51(3), pp. 44-54.
[838] Kumar, S., & Singh, S.K. (2018). 'Sustainable development and environmental challenges in India', Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 25(10), pp. 9368-9378.

INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW [IJLR – IF SCORE – 7.58]
VOLUME 5 AND ISSUE 5 OF 2025
APIS – 3920 - 0001 *(and)* ISSN - 2583-2344
Published by
Institute of Legal Education
https://iledu.in

Moreover, the socio-economic need of giving universal energy access to India's large population intensifies these tensions. Notwithstanding significant advancements under initiatives like Saubhagya, which greatly raised electrification rates, the issue endures about the quality and sustainability of energy access, especially in distant rural areas where renewable integration and distributional infrastructure stay lacking. Additionally, India's administrative apparatus confronts obstacles of regulatory enforcement, institutional capacity limits, inadequate public knowledge, and difficulties in inter-ministerial cooperation, occasionally resulting in policy implementation gaps.[839] Particularly in adaptation actions vital for vulnerable populations disproportionately affected by climate change, funding limitations and technological obstacles make India's road towards meeting its declared climate goals even more difficult.[840] While India's legislative and regulatory framework for climate action offers a thorough and ambitious agenda, the country's success in meeting its climate pledges depends mostly on negotiating the difficult interaction of institutional, technological, financial, and social obstacles.[841]

Germany's Legal and Policy Framework for Climate Action

Reflecting a national culture profoundly ingrained in environmental responsibility and sustainability, Germany's approach to climate change is determined by a carefully crafted mix of laws, policies, constitutional values, international obligations, and active involvement with citizens. At its core is the awareness that every person, community, company, and government institution has a society mission as much as a government duty; hence, climate preservation is not only a government responsibility. Germany's climate path has developed over decades of careful legislative action and public discussion, often changing to meet new environmental opportunities and problems.[842] A fundamental component of Germany's climate action system, the Federal Climate Change Act of 2019 (Bundes-Klimaschutzgesetz) reflects the country's dedication to reducing greenhouse gas emissions by means of clear and quantifiable actions. This Act legally binds Germany's climate goals and defines specific targets for many sectors including energy generation, transportation, industry, agriculture, buildings, and waste management. It guarantees responsibility by means of regular updates and public reporting and so admits the difficulty of lowering emissions. The law stresses openness, therefore visible and concrete climate action to average people. They can monitor the progress of their nation and actively engage in keeping their government responsible.[843]

Germany's move towards renewable energy has been significantly influenced by the Renewable Energy Sources Act (EEG) , which runs parallel to this legislation. Supporting wind, solar, biomass, and hydroelectric power, the EEG has motivated average people and local communities to become active participants in energy generation via methods including feed-in tariffs and market premiums since its inception in 2000. With many German homes and community cooperatives investing in solar panels and wind turbines, this democratization of energy production has inspired great public involvement and excitement. Active citizen participation of this kind has greatly increased public backing for the more general energy transition. Germany's climate policy are also greatly affected by its constitutional values. Emphasising sustainability as a responsibility due not only to present but also future

[839] Bhushan, C., & Kumar, J. (2019). Climate Change in India: Policy, Governance and Institutional Challenges. New Delhi: Centre for Science and Environment.
[840] Pahuja, N. (2021). 'India's climate policy: Navigating between global commitments and domestic priorities', International Environmental Agreements, 21(3), pp. 435-451.
[841] Jain, R.K. (2022). 'Balancing Energy Security and Climate Goals: India's Policy Dilemma', Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 156, p. 111955.
[842] Federal Ministry for the Environment, Nature Conservation and Nuclear Safety (BMU), 2019. Federal Climate Change Act (Bundes-Klimaschutzgesetz), Federal Government of Germany, Berlin.
[843] Federal Ministry for Economic Affairs and Energy (BMWi), 2021. Renewable Energy Sources Act (EEG), Federal Government of Germany, Berlin

generations, Article 20a of the German Constitution [844](Basic Law or Grundgesetz) expressly calls for the preservation of the natural environment. This constitutional basis has motivated many court decisions, notably the historic one by Germany's Constitutional Court in 2021, which underlined intergenerational justice by stating that inadequate climate action today unfairly loads future generations. [845] This decision deeply humanised climate action by putting the rights of future generations at the centre of modern policy-making, hence inspiring public debate and activism on climate responsibility.

The regulatory systems of the European Union help to shape and support Germany's climate measures even more. Germany's domestic climate strategy is mostly shaped by EU-level directives and initiatives such the European Green Deal, the EU Emissions Trading System (ETS), and several renewable energy and energy efficiency rules. By means of these EU tools, Germany complements its national initiatives with more general European goals, so strengthening the cooperative attitude required to face world climate issues.[846]

Germany has been involved in climate diplomacy and leadership at the international level, as seen by its aggressive obligations under the Paris Agreement. Aiming for climate neutrality (net-zero emissions) by 2045, Germany's Nationally Determined Contributions (NDCs) specify bold emission reduction goals.[847] This bold position shows Germany's conviction in shared worldwide responsibility, therefore strengthening international collaboration, setting a strong moral and political example for other countries, and supporting global climate justice.

Among Germany's most changing climate projects is the Energiewende (Energy Transition) , a calculated shift towards renewables and enhanced energy efficiency away from nuclear and fossil fuels[848]. Reflecting German society's shared will to adopt sustainability, Energiewende is a profoundly social project. This project has inspired significant policy measures including the socially sensitive coal phase-out plan and the bold ones. Combining environmental responsibility with social justice by giving significant support to coal-dependent areas and people, Germany's Coal Exit Act (2020) orders coal power generation to cease by 2038. Germany wants to manage the transition humanely by means of structural aid, compensation programs, and job training initiatives, so guaranteeing that communities historically reliant on coal are not left behind.

Germany has, in its institutions, set up many specific agencies to monitor and support its climate goals. Central to climate governance is the Federal Environment Agency [849], which offers scientific research, policy advice, and public information, so enabling both people and politicians to grasp the consequences of climate change and the measures required to reduce it. The creation of the Climate Cabinet inside the federal government also emphasizes the seriousness and integrated approach Germany takes towards climate concerns. This high-level government agency guarantees consistent and efficient execution of climate policies across all areas of government and society by coordinating several departments.[850]

Germany's path, meanwhile, has not been without difficulties. Its great degree of industrial emissions, especially in areas like car manufacture, steel, and chemical industries, which are closely intertwined with Germany's economic identity, remains a key barrier.

[844] Basic Law for the Federal Republic of Germany (Grundgesetz), 1949, Art. 20a.
[845] European Commission, 2021. European Green Deal, European Union, Brussels.
[846] Renn, O. and Marshall, J.P., 2020. 'Public acceptance of renewable energy in Germany: Lessons learned', Energy Research & Social Science, vol. 63, p. 101407.
[847] Kemfert, C., 2019. 'Germany's energy transition: challenges and opportunities', Energy Policy, vol. 138, pp. 111-116.
[848] United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC), 2015. Paris Agreement, United Nations, Paris.
[849] European Commission, 2003. Directive 2003/87/EC establishing the EU Emissions Trading System, Official Journal of the European Union, L275, pp. 32–46.
[850] Morris, C. and Jungjohann, A., 2016. Energy Democracy: Germany's Energiewende to Renewables. Palgrave Macmillan, New York.

INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW [IJLR – IF SCORE – 7.58]
VOLUME 5 AND ISSUE 5 OF 2025
APIS – 3920 - 0001 *(and)* ISSN - 2583-2344
Published by
Institute of Legal Education
https://iledu.in

Reducing these emissions calls for significant creativity, financing, and technology changes, which could create financial uncertainty for businesses and communities as well as industries. Moreover, the significant financial strains are represented by the high expenses related to changing systems and infrastructure towards sustainability—such as grid extension, storage solutions for renewable energy, and building modernization. Public approval of climate policies also stays a fragile balance as some people, particularly in traditional industrial sectors, voice worry about increasing energy prices, economic competitiveness, and possible job losses. Therefore, maintaining widespread public support for climate action depends on careful, open, and inclusive management of these conflicts.[851]

In the end, Germany's climate system shows that climate action is basically a human effort based on social justice, public conversation, and shared responsibility. Germany's strength is in its capacity to combine scientific and legal rigor with compassion, justice, and citizen involvement, humanizing climate governance by directly involving its people.

Comparative Analysis of India and Germany's Legal Frameworks

Through their different yet linked legal systems, India and Germany offer interesting and human-centred perspectives on how countries address climate change, moulded deeply by their own histories, economies, and social values.[852] At their core, both countries really understand that climate action is not only an environmental obligation but a shared human responsibility towards generations present and future[853]. Reflecting their shared awareness that climate change crosses national borders and demands collective worldwide action, India and Germany equally respect international cooperation and are clearly dedicated to ambitious goals under the Paris Agreement. Emphasising global solidarity and shared responsibility, this unified worldwide position reflects a kind and inclusive approach to sustainability. Furthermore, both countries give renewable energy top priority as a way to meet their environmental goals and guarantee human welfare and economic resilience.[854] India's ambitious solar project and Germany's renowned Energiewende show their same conviction in renewable energy as routes to not just environmental sustainability but also improved public involvement, local job possibilities, and community development. In both situations, judicial activism is crucial as it empowers people by defending environmental rights and pushes governments and corporations to meet their climate responsibilities.[855] Emphasizing intergenerational fairness and actively turning legal ideas into significant improvements in people's lives, India's Supreme Court and Germany's Federal Constitutional Court have regularly acted as protectors of climate justice. But, despite these common underpinnings, the two nations differ greatly in many respects because of their socio-economic reality, development phases, and legislative traditions, which shapes how climate policies are conceived, organized, and carried out[856]. Germany, a highly developed economy with sophisticated infrastructure, strong governance systems, and high public awareness of environmental concerns, emphasizes mitigation more than others by means of technological innovation and structural economic transformation actively lowering emissions.

[851] Renn, O. and Marshall, J.P., 2020. 'Public acceptance of renewable energy in Germany: Lessons learned', Energy Research & Social Science, vol. 63, p. 101407.
[852] UNFCCC, 2015. Paris Agreement, United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change, Paris.
[853] Government of India, 2015. India's Intended Nationally Determined Contribution, Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change, New Delhi.
[854] Government of Germany, 2020. Germany's Nationally Determined Contribution Update, Federal Ministry for the Environment, Nature Conservation and Nuclear Safety, Berlin.
[855] Kumar, S. & Singh, S.K., 2018. 'Sustainable development and environmental challenges in India', Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 25(10), pp. 9368-9378.
[856] Dubash, N.K. & Joseph, N.B., 2016. 'Evolution of institutions for climate policy in India', Economic and Political Weekly, 51(3), pp. 44-54.

INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW [IJLR – IF SCORE – 7.58]
VOLUME 5 AND ISSUE 5 OF 2025
APIS – 3920 - 0001 *(and)* ISSN - 2583-2344
Published by
Institute of Legal Education
https://iledu.in

Reflecting its direct response to urgent humanitarian needs, India, still struggling with major developmental issues, poverty reduction, and widespread energy access disparities, tends to emphasize more on adaptation strategies that protect vulnerable populations from climate effects. These variations are also reflected in their legal customs; Germany's [857]civil law system supports clear, codified climate legislation such as the Federal Climate Change Act, while India's common law legacy often depends on judicial interpretation, case precedents, and more flexible legal frameworks. Such different strategies significantly affect the efficiency and speed of climate policy execution, hence influencing the actual experiences of millions depending on government protection from climate dangers. Though differently, federalism greatly affects the climate policy results of both nations. Federalism in Germany enables states to spear proactive, region-specific climate projects frequently surpassing national standards and directly engage people via local renewable energy cooperatives and community-led initiatives, hence improving public support and acceptability. India's federal system[858] offers a more complicated scene; although some states have created innovative climate projects, significant variation in institutional capacity, financial resources, and political priorities means results vary greatly, therefore affecting the uniformity of progress and efficacy all around the country. This variation emphasizes how socio-economic settings profoundly affect policy design and implementation in both nations, hence bringing climate action nearer to the lived reality of local people. Though strong economically, Germany struggles with equitable policy burdens, high industrial emissions, and handling the socio-economic consequences of moving away from coal. Transparent communication, equitable compensation for impacted communities, and active citizen participation in decision-making processes help to foster public acceptance of climate policy. Socio-economic reality in India creates more urgent problems since millions still lack access to consistent electricity and economic prospects, hence hindering rigorous climate policy implementation.[859] Finding fair, context-sensitive ways to balance environmental protection with fast economic development would guarantee that vulnerable people, usually most affected by climate policies, are not left behind.[860] Therefore, India's climate strategy naturally stresses social justice, inclusiveness, and economic fairness, therefore reflecting the great human aspect driving its climate control. Evaluating how well legal systems in both countries fulfil their individual NDC goals uncovers significant insights. Generally speaking, Germany's methodical and strict regulatory approach has been successful in continuously lowering emissions,[861] hastening the deployment of renewable energy, and motivating strong public support for climate objectives, all supported by excellent monitoring and compliance systems. Germany still struggles, though, to decarbonize high-emission industrial sectors, which calls for constant technical innovation and large capital, proving that even well-resourced legal systems have boundaries in converting desire into thorough outcomes. Driven by unambiguous policy signals, international cooperation, and market incentives, India has achieved notable progress towards fulfilling its renewable energy goals despite limited resources and difficult execution issues, especially in solar energy growth. Still, India's overall climate efficacy is sometimes limited by execution gaps, insufficient local capability, and conflicting development goals. [862] Though ambitious and changing, the Indian legal system is still a work

[857] örgensen, K., Mishra, A. & Sarangi, G.K., 2015. 'Multi-level Climate Governance in India and Germany: A Comparative Perspective', Journal of Integrative Environmental Sciences, 12(4), pp. 259-276.

[858] Supreme Court of India, 2002. M.C. Mehta vs Union of India, AIR 2002 SC 1696.

[859] Basic Law for the Federal Republic of Germany (Grundgesetz), 1949, Art. 20a.

[860] Agora Energiewende, 2019. The German Coal Commission – A Roadmap for a Just Transition from Coal to Renewables, Agora Energiewende, Berlin.

[861] BVerfG (German Federal Constitutional Court), 2021. Order of the First Senate of 24 March 2021 – 1 BvR 2656/18, Karlsruhe.

[862] Dubash, N.K. & Joseph, N.B., 2016. 'Evolution of institutions for climate policy in India', Economic and Political Weekly, 51(3), pp. 44-54.

in progress since it relies much on continuous political will, enhanced institutions, public knowledge, and more financial resources. In the end, the comparative study of India's and Germany's climate frameworks emphasizes how essentially human elements—citizens' involvement, social justice, economic possibilities, and cultural values—shape climate governance[863]. Though they differ, both nations stress the human aspect of climate action, so recognizing that good climate governance goes much beyond legal documents and requires policies based on compassion, justice, and active citizen involvement. By doing this, India and Germany together show that good climate governance is really about people, community resilience, and shared goals, hence aiming not only for environmental sustainability but also for more human dignity, social justice, and intergenerational fairness.

Challenges in Implementing International Climate Agreements

Implementing international climate accords is like trying to navigate a huge ship during a storm—everyone knows the objective, but the waves of political will, budgetary limitations, and technological hurdles keep pushing back[864]. Often with doubtful voters or vested interests, leaders all over struggle to find the bravery to give long-term climate objectives top priority over short-term political advantages[865]. Another sensitive issue is money; when budgets are already tight, countries, particularly poorer ones, find it difficult to finance flood defenses or renewable energy.[866] Technology might also seem like a tease; while carbon capture is one such promising option, its expansion is laborious and clumsy. For India, the difficulty strikes home in very human terms—millions still require power and employment, so coal remains a tenacious lifeline despite its smoggy impact.[867] Rapid urbanization transforms cities into heat traps and poverty reduction calls for resources that conflict with climate initiatives. Germany, on the other hand, feels the burden of its industrial heartland: companies and carmakers fight hard to reduce emissions, worried about job losses, and the Energiewende's audacious move to renewables comes with staggering prices that generate public outcry. Furthermore, every member state pulls in various ways, making compliance with EU regulations can seem like herding cats. Both nations have legal systems that sting like dam breaks; enforcement usually fails when laws lack fangs; monitoring can be uneven without reliable data or confidence; and responsibility falls when no one is held accountable for unmet goals. While Germany's detailed strategies might occasionally get lost in bureaucracy, India's courts work hard but find uneven follow-through. [868] These difficulties are narratives of individuals, from Delhi's street sellers to Munich's engineers, negotiating a society where ambition and reality collide, hence requiring inventiveness and tenacity to close the gap rather than only legislative obstacles negotiating a world where ambition and reality collide, therefore requiring inventiveness and tenacity to close the gap, not only policy obstacles.

Bridging Borders: Lessons and Best Practices for Climate Action

The path to carry out international climate treaties exposes a tapestry of learning and best practices woven from the different yet complementary experiences of Germany and India, so providing a model for more robust national legal systems all around.[869] While its stakeholder involvement—imagine town halls

[863] Rajamani, L., 2021. 'India's Approach to International Climate Negotiations', International Environmental Agreements: Politics, Law and Economics, 21(3), pp. 393-410.
[864] Victor, D. G. (2011) Global Warming Gridlock: Creating More Effective Strategies for Protecting the Planet. Cambridge: University Press of Cambridge.
[865] N. K. Dubash, India in a Warming World: Integrating Climate Change and Development , 2019 Oxford University Press, New Delhi.
[866] Quitzow, R. et al. (2019) 'The German Energy Transition in Context: Policy Challenges and Opportunities', Energy Policy, 132, pp. 665–73.
[867] Rajamani, L. and Ghosh, S. (2016) 'India and Climate Change: Evolving Policy Frameworks', Global Environmental Change, 41, pp. 127–141.
[868] Knill, C. and Liefferink, D. (2013) Environmental Politics in the European Union. Manchester: University Press of Manchester.
[869] Federal Government of Germany (2019) Federal Climate Change Act. Berlin: Federal Ministry for the Environment, Nature Conservation and Nuclear Safety.

buzzing with people and businesses—grounds policies in shared commitment, Germany's strong legal requirements, such as the Federal Climate Change [870]Act's clear emissions targets, shine as a beacon of accuracy guaranteeing responsibility by means of enforceable deadlines. India, on the other hand, shines with creative financing like green bonds powering solar projects, which allows clean energy to be available even with limited funds, and its community-based adaptation, where villages grow mangroves to protect against floods, roots resilience in local knowledge[871]. There is great possibility for cross-learning: India could adopt Germany's regulatory strictness, simplifying its patchwork of laws for more precise enforcement; Germany could use India's decentralised strategies to empower local councils to customize renewable projects to fit regional requirements, much as India's rooftop solar explosion. These insights inspire worldwide suggestions: countries should create explicit, binding climate laws, like Germany's, to underpin ambition, but keep them flexible for local modifications, as India demonstrates[872]. Engaging communities—from farmers to industrial workers—builds trust; imaginative funding, such as public-private partnerships. Strengthening legal systems entails combining enforcement with compassion; courts and agencies have to move quickly but should pay attention to people most affected by change. Monitoring calls for open data shared worldwide to track progress without finger-pointing; responsibility requires leaders to fulfil their commitments rather than avoid them. Combining Germany's framework with India's heart would help nations to transform climate commitments into action that seems human, optimistic, and enduring.[873]

Conclusion

This comparative analysis of India and Germany's legal frameworks for implementing international climate agreements reveals that tailored national laws are vital cogs in the global climate machine, with each country's approach—India's adaptive, community-driven policies and Germany's stringent, mitigation-focused mandates—offering unique strengths and lessons; it underscores that achieving global climate goals hinges on legal systems that reflect local realities, as India's decentralized innovations tackle poverty alongside emissions, while Germany's Energiewende drives industrial transformation, yet both face enforcement and resource gaps that demand urgent attention. Tailored frameworks are important since they transform abstract promises, such as Paris Agreement NDCs, into tangible actions—whether solar panels on Indian rooftops or German wind farms—bridging global ambition with on-the-ground impact; their success, however, depends on coherence, public buy-in, and adaptability to changing science. Future studies should look more closely at the responsibilities of developing countries, where growth and environmental objectives clash, investigate how new accords like post-Paris frameworks affect compliance, and analyse the possible impact of technology transfer on levelling the playing field for poorer countries. Policy suggestions for India call for tightening enforcement via empowered green tribunals and scaling creative finance to wean off coal; Germany should simplify EU coordination and reduce worker transition costs, guaranteeing its industrial backbone bends towards net-zero without breaking; worldwide, the international community has to increase climate finance to $100 billion yearly as promised, standardise open monitoring, and promote cross-country learning—imagine Indian villages exchanging flood-defense strategies with German towns. Weaving together local laws, global finances, and shared knowledge will help the globe to weave a future where climate objectives are not

[870] Dubash, N. K. and Jogesh, A. (2014) 'From Margins to Mainstream? State Climate Change Planning in India', Economic and Political Weekly, 49(48), pp. 86–95.
[871] Government of India (2015) India's Intended Nationally Determined Contribution. New Delhi: Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change.
[872] Bhandari, P. and Jain, A. (2018) 'Innovative Financing for Renewable Energy in India', Energy Policy, 119, pp. 629–637.
[873] Fisher, S. (2013) 'Community-Based Adaptation: Lessons from India', Climate and Development, 5(4), pp. 283–294.

only fantasies but actualities chiselled into policy, land, and people. The international community can fight climate change and leave a legacy by creating legal frameworks as diverse as the people they serve and as unified as the world they preserve.

References

- Agarwal, A. and Narain, S. (1991) Global Warming in an Unequal World: A Case of Environmental Colonialism. New Delhi: Centre for Science and Environment.
- Atapattu, S. (2016) Emerging Principles of International Environmental Law. Leiden: Brill.
- Bang, G. and Schreurs, M. A. (2017) 'The European Union and India: Climate Change Cooperation and Challenges', Journal of European Integration , 39(5), pp. 555–570.
- Baxi, U. (2001) 'Towards a Climate Change Jurisprudence in India', Review of European Community & International Environmental Law , 10(3), pp. 298–305.
- Betz, R. and Sato, M. (2006) 'Emissions Trading: Lessons Learnt from the European Union and Kyoto Protocol', Climate Policy , 6(4), pp. 351–365.
- Birnie, P., Boyle, A. and Redgwell, C. (2009) International Law and the Environment. 3rd edn. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Carlarne, C. P. (2010) Climate Change Law and Policy: EU and US Approaches . Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Centre for Policy Research (2020) India's Climate Policy: Balancing Development and Environment . New Delhi: CPR.
- Chakravarty, S. and Somanathan, E. (2021) 'India's Energy Transition: Pathways for Low-Carbon Growth', Energy Policy , 156, pp. 1–10.
- Damodaran, A. (2015) Encircling the Seamless: India, Climate Change, and the Global Commons . New Delhi: Oxford University Press
- Driesen, D. M. (2010) The Economic Dynamics of Environmental Law . Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Eckstein, D., Künzel, V. and Schäfer, L. (2021) Global Climate Risk Index 2021 . Bonn: Germanwatch.
- Ellermann, C. and Höhne, N. (2017) 'Germany's Climate Policy: From Leader to Laggard?', Climate Policy , 17(8), pp. 1015–1033.
- Federal Environment Agency (2022) Germany's Climate Action Plan 2050 . Dessau-Roßlau: UBA.
- Fisher, E. (2013) Environmental Law: A Very Short Introduction . Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Garg, A., Shukla, P. R. and Kankal, B. (2017) 'India's Energy and Emissions Outlook: A Review', Energy Policy , 108, pp. 752–761.
- Gauri, V. (2009) 'Public Interest Litigation in India: Overreaching or Underachieving?', Indian Journal of Law and Economics , 1(1), pp. 71–93.
- German Advisory Council on Global Change (2018) Towards a Global Transformation: Germany's Role in Climate Policy . Berlin: WBGU.
- GIZ (2023) Indo-German Cooperation on Climate Change: Policy Brief . New Delhi: Deutsche Gesellschaft für Internationale Zusammenarbeit.
- Grubb, M., Vrolijk, C. and Brack, D. (1999) The Kyoto Protocol: A Guide and Assessment . London: Earthscan.
- Gupta, A. (2018) 'Climate Change and Indian Environmental Policy', Journal of Environmental Law and Policy , 5(2), pp. 45–60.
- Höhne, N., den Elzen, M. and Escalante, D. (2014) 'Regional GHG Reduction Targets Based on Effort Sharing: A Comparison of Studies', Climate Policy , 14(1), pp. 122–147.
- Indian Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change (2022) India's Updated Nationally Determined Contribution . New Delhi: MoEFCC.

- Jacob, K. and Jänicke, M. (2017) Environmental Governance in India and Germany: A Comparative Perspective. New Delhi: Routledge.
- Jänicke, M. (2012) 'Green Growth and Climate Policy in Germany', Environmental Politics, 21(6), pp. 864–883.
- Jörgensen, K. and Jogesh, A. (2015) India's Climate Change Policy: Navigating the Global and Domestic Interface . New Delhi: Centre for Policy Research.