



# INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW

VOLUME 5 AND ISSUE 4 OF 2025

INSTITUTE OF LEGAL EDUCATION



## INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW

APIS – 3920 – 0001 | ISSN – 2583-2344

(Open Access Journal)

Journal's Home Page – <https://ijlr.iledu.in/>

Journal's Editorial Page – <https://ijlr.iledu.in/editorial-board/>

Volume 5 and Issue 4 of 2025 (Access Full Issue on – <https://ijlr.iledu.in/volume-5-and-issue-4-of-2025/>)

### Publisher

Prasanna S,

Chairman of Institute of Legal Education

No. 08, Arul Nagar, Seera Thoppu,

Maudhanda Kurichi, Srirangam,

Tiruchirappalli – 620102

Phone : +91 94896 71437 – [info@iledu.in](mailto:info@iledu.in) / [Chairman@iledu.in](mailto:Chairman@iledu.in)



© Institute of Legal Education

**Copyright Disclaimer:** All rights are reserve with Institute of Legal Education. No part of the material published on this website (Articles or Research Papers including those published in this journal) may be reproduced, distributed, or transmitted in any form or by any means, including photocopying, recording, or other electronic or mechanical methods, without the prior written permission of the publisher. For more details refer <https://ijlr.iledu.in/terms-and-condition/>

## DATA SECURITY AND PRIVACY AS CHALLENGES FOR IPR

**AUTHORS** – ANUKRITI KATIYAR\* & DR.JYOTI YADAV\*\*

\* LLM SCHOLAR AT AMITY LAW SCHOOL, LUCKNOW

\*\* PROFESSOR AT AMITY LAW SCHOOL, LUCKNOW

**BEST CITATION** – ANUKRITI KATIYAR & DR.JYOTI YADAV, DATA SECURITY AND PRIVACY AS CHALLENGES FOR IPR, *INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW (IJLR)*, 5 (4) OF 2025, PG. 824-831, APIS – 3920 – 0001 & ISSN – 2583-2344.

### ABSTRACT

In the evolving digital age, data has emerged as the most valuable asset, often referred to as new oil<sup>1380</sup>. This transformation has brought with it significant challenge related to data security, privacy, and their intersection with intellectual property rights . As technological innovations continue to expand the scope of data creation ,collection, and sharing, the traditional legal frameworks governing IPR are increasingly being tested .

The research paper explores the complex and dynamic relationship between data protection, privacy and intellectual property rights .It critically examines whether existing IPR laws in India are adequately equipped to address the legal challenges posed by unauthorized data usage, AI generated content and the commoditization of personal information . The research also delves into the implication of recent legal developments such as enactment of digital personal data protection act ,2023 and evaluates its compatibility and potential conflicts with prevailing IPR regimes.

This research paper explores the complex relationship between data protection and IPR, evaluates the effectiveness of existing legal instruments, and identifies challenges posed by technological innovation and global data flows. The study concludes with recommendations for modernizing IPR laws and integrating them with robust data privacy standards.

GRASP - EDUCATE - EVOLVE

<sup>1380</sup> Julie E. Cohen, What Privacy Is For, 126 Harv. L. Rev. 1904 (2013)

## Introduction

The information age has led to an exponential increase in data creation, processing, and exchange. From digital media to AI-generated content and real-time analytics, data is at the heart of modern innovation. Intellectual Property Rights, which traditionally focused on protecting tangible assets like literary works or inventions, now face the challenge of adapting to intangible digital assets. The very nature of data—ubiquitous, replicable, and often lacking clear ownership—has blurred the lines between proprietary rights and individual privacy. The fusion of these two critical domains—data privacy and IPR<sup>1381</sup>—presents a multifaceted legal, ethical, and technological challenge that must be addressed with urgency and precision.

Moreover, the global nature of digital communication complicates the enforcement of IPR and data protection. A single data transaction may involve multiple jurisdictions each with its own legal standards. As a result companies face uncertainty about how to safeguard their intellectual assets while respecting users privacy rights.

Data security breaches can directly impact IPR by exposing confidential research, trade secrets, and other intellectual property assets to unauthorized parties. Similarly, privacy concerns can hinder the development and commercialization of new products and services that rely on personal data if individuals are unwilling to share their information due to privacy concerns.

Navigating the complex relationship between data security, privacy, and IPR requires a careful balancing act. While strong data protection measures are crucial for ensuring privacy and trust, they should not unduly hinder the

development and protection of intellectual property.

To effectively address these challenges, businesses and policymakers must:

- Implement robust data security measures to protect confidential information from unauthorized access.
- Develop clear and transparent privacy policies that respect the rights of individuals while enabling the development of innovative products and services.
- Develop legal frameworks that provide adequate protection for IPR while also respecting privacy rights.
- Promote collaboration between legal, technical, and business professionals to address the complex interplay between data security, privacy, and IPR.

Examples:

1. A software company's trade secret about a new algorithm could be compromised if its servers are hacked, impacting its IPR in the software industry.
2. A pharmaceutical company's research on a new drug could be jeopardized if personal data of patients participating in clinical trials is leaked, hindering its ability to obtain patents and market the drug.

## 2. Conceptual Framework

### 2.1 Intellectual Property Rights (IPR):

IPR provides legal recognition and protection to original works and innovations. In the digital domain, this includes software, multimedia content, digital databases, algorithms, and trade secrets. With increasing digitization, the scope of IPR has widened, making it imperative to redefine its boundaries.

There are numerous information privacy approaches based on the four major models of privacy protection. That is, Comprehensive Privacy Laws, Sectoral Privacy Laws, Privacy Self-Regulation, and Technologies of Privacy. These solutions, used individually or without

<sup>1381</sup> Peter K. Yu, Digital Copyright and Conflicted Laws, 25 Fordham Intell. Prop. Media & Ent. L.J. 1 (2014).

proper system privacy design considerations, have not been very effective. This is because there has been little in the way of instruction on how developers and designers are supposed to use these privacy tools. In this paper we address the problem by providing a privacy solution for integration into information systems called Shield Privacy. The Shield Privacy solution provides an effective system wide approach to privacy protection. It integrates relevant components from the various privacy models. We have implemented our Shield Privacy in a collaborative environment application. In this paper we also describe the prototype and discuss its advantages and areas of future work.

## 2.2 Data Security:

Data security<sup>1382</sup> encompasses strategies and tools to safeguard digital information from unauthorized access, corruption, theft, or destruction. It involves encryption, access control, secure protocols, and incident response mechanisms.

Data security encompasses the processes and practices implemented to protect digital information from unauthorized access, use, disclosure, disruption, modification, or destruction, ensuring its confidentiality, integrity, and availability. It involves a variety of measures, including encryption, access controls, and regular auditing, to prevent data breaches and maintain compliance with relevant regulations.

Here's a more detailed look at data security:

### Key Aspects of Data Security:

#### **Confidentiality:**

Preventing unauthorized individuals from accessing sensitive data, whether internally or externally.

#### **Integrity:**

Ensuring that data is accurate, complete, and unaltered, whether intentionally or unintentionally.

#### **Availability:**

Guaranteeing that authorized users can access the data when they need it.

#### **Authenticity:**

Verifying that the data comes from the claimed source and is not forged or tampered.

## 2.3 Privacy:

Privacy<sup>1383</sup> refers to the right of individuals and entities to control how their personal or sensitive information is collected, used, shared, and stored. The concept extends beyond personal privacy to include organizational data and trade secrets, which must also be protected under legal norms.

This position is based on the idea that data, especially personal data is a sensitive and vulnerable resource that may be misused, compromised, and subject to different cyber threats. Data security and privacy incidents can jeopardize the privacy, confidentiality, and accessibility of data, which can have negative consequences for users and data owners. Crucially, these kinds of occurrences can also affect IPR holders, putting their income, reputation, and competitive edge at risk from illegal access, usage, or disclosure of their data. Protecting the rights and interests of IPR holders requires addressing the issues raised by data security and privacy through legal means. These safeguards not only guarantee the quality, security, and dependability of the data but also shield IPR holders from any harm.

## 3. Interrelationship Between Data, Privacy, and IPR

Data privacy and IPR are increasingly converging in the digital ecosystem. While privacy focuses on safeguarding personal

<sup>1382</sup> Susan Landau, Highlights from the History of Cybersecurity, 33 IEEE Secur. & Privacy 70 (2020).

<sup>1383</sup> Pamela Samuelson, Privacy as Intellectual Property?, 52 Stan. L. Rev. 1125 (2000)



information, IPR ensures legal ownership and economic control over creations. For instance, when personal data is transformed into valuable analytics or AI training models, both privacy rights and intellectual property interests may overlap or even conflict. Moreover, the widespread use of cloud computing<sup>1384</sup>, big data, and social media blurs the boundaries between public and proprietary data. There is also a growing debate on whether data generated by users, devices, or algorithms constitutes intellectual property, and if so, who holds the rights.

Data privacy and Intellectual Property Rights (IPR) are intertwined, especially in the digital age, as they both relate to the protection of information and creations. Data privacy focuses on safeguarding personal information from unauthorized access, while IPR protects creative and innovative works, including data-driven creations. Balancing these two areas is crucial for fostering innovation while respecting individual autonomy over personal data.

Data privacy and IPR are not mutually exclusive; they are interconnected and influence each other in significant ways. Understanding their relationship is essential for fostering innovation, respecting individual rights, and navigating the complexities of the digital age.

#### 4. Key Challenges

##### 4.1 Digital Piracy:

Data piracy<sup>1385</sup>, also known as digital piracy or online piracy, is the illegal copying and distribution of copyrighted material over the internet. This includes software, music, movies, books, and other forms of digital content. It negatively impacts creative industries by diminishing revenue and can also involve the theft of personal information or financial data.

<sup>1384</sup> OECD, Enhancing Access to and Sharing of Data: Reconciling Risks and Benefits for Data Re-use across Societies (2021), <https://www.oecd.org/sti/enhancing-access-to-and-sharing-of-data-b1c5efc0-en.htm>.

<sup>1385</sup> European Union Agency for Cybersecurity (ENISA), Threat Landscape Report 2023, <https://www.enisa.europa.eu>.

The ease of copying and distributing digital content has fueled a rise in copyright infringement. Software, music, films, and books are often pirated, leading to significant losses for rights holders and undermining the integrity of IPR.

##### 4.2 Cyber security Threats:

Cyber attacks targeting intellectual assets, trade secrets, and proprietary databases pose a serious threat. These incidents not only cause economic loss but also raise legal questions about liability, data breach disclosure, and recovery.

##### 4.3 Inadequate Legal Frameworks<sup>1386</sup>:

Many existing IP laws were formulated before the advent of the internet, and as such, they fall short in addressing digital data and algorithmic content. The lack of clarity on AI-generated works and the protection of datasets calls for legal reform.

##### 4.4 Jurisdictional Issues:

Cybercrimes and IPR violations often cross national boundaries, making enforcement difficult. Discrepancies in IP laws and data protection regulations across countries complicate the prosecution of infringements.

##### 4.5 Data Ownership and Licensing:

Data licensing is a formal agreement that grants permission to use and access specific datasets, typically from one entity to another. It establishes the terms and conditions for data usage, including permissible activities like analysis, marketing, or research. This allows organizations to monetize their data while others can access valuable information for specific purposes.

There is no universal consensus on data ownership, especially in scenarios where data is co-created by users and platforms. This raises critical issues regarding licensing, reuse, and monetization.

<sup>1386</sup> Lawrence Lessig, Code and Other Laws of Cyberspace (Basic Books, 1999).

## 5. International Legal Instruments and Case Studies

### 5.1 TRIPS Agreement:

The TRIPS Agreement, or Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights, is an international agreement established by the World Trade Organization (WTO) to set minimum standards for the protection of intellectual property rights among WTO member nations. It covers various forms of IP, including patents, trademarks, copyrights, geographical indications, and trade secrets.

The Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights sets international standards for IP protection. However, it lacks detailed provisions on digital assets and privacy.

### 5.2 GDPR (EU):

The General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR)<sup>1387</sup> is a European Union (EU) law that protects the personal data of EU residents. It applies to organizations that collect or process personal data of EU citizens or residents, even if the organization is not based in the EU. The GDPR went into effect on May 25, 2018.

The General Data Protection Regulation mandates strict guidelines for data privacy and user consent. Its implications on IPR include restricting data use for profiling, algorithmic training, and digital marketing.

### 5.3 WIPO Treaties:

The World Intellectual Property Organization<sup>1388</sup> has initiated dialogues on IP challenges posed by AI, digital trade, and cross-border data flows.

- **Berne Convention:** A foundational treaty for copyright protection of literary and artistic works.

- **WIPO Copyright Treaty (WCT):** Specifically addresses copyright in the digital environment and extends protection to computer programs and databases.

- **WIPO Performances and Phonograms Treaty (WPPT):** Focuses on the rights of performers and producers of phonograms, particularly in the digital environment.

- **Patent Cooperation Treaty (PCT):** Simplifies the process of seeking international patent protection, reducing costs and streamlining applications.

- **Rome Convention:** Protects the rights of performers and producers of phonograms.

- **Madrid Protocol:** Provides for international registration of trademarks.

- **Marrakesh Treaty:** Facilitates access to published works by visually impaired persons and those with print disabilities.

- **Convention Establishing the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO Convention):** The foundational document establishing WIPO.

### 5.4 Case Studies:

- Oracle v. Google (2021)<sup>1389</sup>: Centered around the use of Java APIs, the case questioned whether functional elements of software are subject to copyright.

In the 2021 Google LLC v. Oracle America, Inc. case, the US Supreme Court ruled that Google's use of Oracle's Java APIs in creating the Android operating system was a fair use of the copyrighted material. The court sidestepped the question of whether APIs are copyrightable, focusing instead on whether Google's use of the code to create a new and transformative work qualified as fair use under the Copyright Act.

- Cambridge Analytica Scandal: Exposed the unauthorized extraction and use of personal data, sparking global discussions on data privacy and consent.

The Cambridge Analytica data scandal involved a consulting firm, Cambridge Analytica, acquiring and using the personal data of up to 87 million Facebook users without their explicit consent. This data was gathered

<sup>1387</sup> General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR), Regulation (EU) 2016/679.

<sup>1388</sup> World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO), 'Intellectual Property and Digital Trade, [www.wipo.int](http://www.wipo.int).

<sup>1389</sup> Oracle America, Inc. v. Google LLC, 593 U.S. \_\_\_\_ (2021).

through a personality quiz app, "This Is Your Digital Life", created by Aleksandr Kogan. The information was then used to target voters with political advertising, raising concerns about privacy and the potential for manipulation.

## 6. Emerging Technologies and Their Impact

### 6.1 Artificial Intelligence (AI):

AI<sup>1390</sup> and data privacy pose significant challenges to Intellectual Property Rights (IPR) due to AI's reliance on large datasets, which can lead to the accidental or intentional misuse of protected information. AI systems can unintentionally reveal proprietary data, infringe on copyrights, or create content that violates trademarks. This necessitates careful consideration of data privacy and IP protection in AI development and deployment.

AI challenges IPR by creating works without direct human involvement. Determining authorship and ownership of AI-generated content is an unresolved legal issue.

### 6.2 Blockchain:

Blockchain<sup>1391</sup> offers decentralized systems for tracking and verifying IP ownership, contracts, and licensing agreements, potentially reducing infringement and disputes.

The four main types of blockchain networks are public, private, consortium, and hybrid blockchains, each offering distinct features and use cases.

#### Public Blockchain:

Open and accessible to anyone, like Bitcoin and Ethereum.

#### Private Blockchain:

Restricted to a specific group or organization, offering more control and privacy.

#### Consortium Blockchain:

Managed by a group of organizations, combining elements of public and private blockchains.

#### Hybrid Blockchain:

Combines features of public and private blockchains, offering flexibility and customization.

Here's a more detailed look at each type:

#### Public Blockchain:

**Characteristics:** Open, permissionless, and decentralized, meaning anyone can participate in the network and validate transactions.

**Examples:** Bitcoin, Ethereum.

**Use Cases:** Cryptocurrencies, decentralized applications (dApps), and other applications that require transparency and immutability.

#### Private Blockchain:

**Characteristics:** Restricted to authorized participants, providing more control over security and privacy.

**Examples:** Hyperledger Fabric.

**Use Cases:** Supply chain management, secure data storage, and internal applications within a company.

#### Consortium Blockchain:

**Characteristics:** Managed by a group of organizations, offering a balance between decentralization and control.

**Examples:** R3 Corda.

**Use Cases:** Cross-industry applications, such as supply chain tracking and financial transactions between organizations.

#### Hybrid Blockchain:

**Characteristics:** Combines features of public and private blockchains, offering flexibility and customization.

<sup>1390</sup> UNESCO Recommendation on the Ethics of Artificial Intelligence, 2021.

<sup>1391</sup> Blockchain for IP Protection: WIPO Whitepaper on Emerging Technologies, 2023.



**Examples:** Komodo.

**Use Cases:** Applications that require both public and private elements, such as secure data sharing between different organizations.

### 6.3 Big Data Analytics:

Big data enables the creation of new insights from vast datasets, but it also raises questions about the ownership of derivative works and the legality of using personal or third-party data without consent.

## 7. Recommendations

### 7.1 Legislative Reforms:

Governments should revise IPR laws to include digital data, machine-generated content, and software. Definitions must evolve to encompass new forms of innovation.

A key legal recommendation to balance data privacy and intellectual property rights (IPR) is to establish clear, legally enforceable frameworks for data usage, ensuring that data is used responsibly while respecting creators' IP rights. This involves transparent data collection practices, citizen control over their data, and mechanisms for balancing data utility with privacy protection, such as differential privacy.

### 7.2 Global Harmonization:

International treaties must address digital IPR and data privacy collectively. Harmonized rules will ease enforcement and provide legal certainty across jurisdictions. Global harmonization in data privacy and intellectual property rights (IPR) aims to create a consistent legal framework across countries, ensuring that data privacy and IP rights are respected and protected in a way that fosters innovation and global trade. This harmonization efforts involve developing clear definitions, promoting principles like data minimization, and enhancing cooperation between different stakeholders.

### 7.3 Tech-Based Solutions:

Adoption of technologies like watermarking, smart contracts, and biometric authentication

can enhance IP protection in digital environments.

Echnology can significantly enhance data privacy and protect Intellectual Property Rights (IPR) by offering robust security measures like encryption, anonymization, and privacy-enhancing technologies. These solutions create safe spaces for data storage and sharing, mitigating risks associated with data breaches and unauthorized access. Moreover, AI-driven security tools can help identify and prevent phishing attacks and malware, further strengthening data protection.

## 8. Conclusion

The rapid digitization of our world has given rise to unprecedented challenges and opportunities for Intellectual Property Rights. Data security and privacy have become central issues as personal and organizational data become more accessible and valuable. Current legal systems are struggling to keep pace with technological innovation, leading to gaps in protection and enforcement. Addressing these issues requires a holistic and collaborative approach—integrating legal reform, international cooperation, and technological innovation. Only then can we ensure that intellectual property remains protected while respecting the rights and freedoms associated with data privacy.

This research has demonstrated that while the digital era brings unprecedented opportunities for innovation and knowledge dissemination, it simultaneously introduces significant risks. The unauthorized use of personal data, digital piracy, deepfakes, AI-generated content, and cross-border infringement are just a few manifestations of how technological growth can challenge traditional legal paradigms. Existing IPR frameworks were designed in an analog context and are often ill-equipped to handle the nuances of digital ownership, data monetization, and platform-based content sharing.

Moreover, data privacy and intellectual property law frequently intersect in ways that generate legal and ethical tensions. For example, protecting trade secrets may involve invasive surveillance of employees or third parties, thereby infringing on their privacy. Conversely, enforcing data protection laws may restrict the collection or use of data necessary to assert copyright or patent rights. These legal conflicts necessitate the creation of adaptive, forward-thinking legislation that is sensitive to both innovation and human rights.

Furthermore, the future of data and intellectual property protection must consider emerging technologies like artificial intelligence, quantum computing, and decentralized data systems such as blockchain. These tools can either empower IPR enforcement and user control over personal data or create new vectors for abuse. As AI begins to create original works and generate code, fundamental questions arise: Who owns the output? How should we treat AI-generated inventions under current patent or copyright laws? These questions require urgent deliberation by scholars, lawmakers, and technologists alike.

In conclusion, striking the right balance between data security, individual privacy, and intellectual property rights is not only a legal imperative but a societal one. A future-proof approach requires interdisciplinary collaboration, regulatory innovation, and a commitment to ethical governance. Only through such concerted efforts can we create a digital ecosystem that respects ownership, fosters creativity, and protects the fundamental rights of all stakeholders.

#### References

[1] World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO), 'Intellectual Property and Digital

Trade, [www.wipo.int](http://www.wipo.int).

[2] General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR), Regulation (EU) 2016/679.

[3] Oracle America, Inc. v. Google LLC, 593 U.S. \_\_\_\_ (2021).

[4] UNESCO Recommendation on the Ethics of Artificial Intelligence, 2021.

[5] Blockchain for IP Protection: WIPO Whitepaper on Emerging Technologies, 2023.

[6] World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO), Intellectual Property and Digital Trade, [www.wipo.int](http://www.wipo.int).

[7] General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR), Regulation (EU) 2016/679.

[8] Julie E. Cohen, What Privacy Is For, 126 Harv. L. Rev. 1904 (2013).

[9] Peter K. Yu, Digital Copyright and Conflicted Laws, 25 Fordham Intell. Prop. Media & Ent. L.J. 1 (2014).

[10] Susan Landau, Highlights from the History of Cybersecurity, 33 IEEE Secur. & Privacy 70 (2020).

[11] Daniel J. Solove, The Digital Person: Technology and Privacy in the Information Age (N.Y.U. Press 2004).

[12] OECD, Enhancing Access to and Sharing of Data: Reconciling Risks and Benefits for Data Re-use across Societies (2021), <https://www.oecd.org/sti/enhancing-access-to-and-sharing-of-data-b1c5efc0-en.htm>.

[13] Lawrence Lessig, Code and Other Laws of Cyberspace (Basic Books, 1999).

[14] European Union Agency for Cybersecurity (ENISA), Threat Landscape Report 2023, <https://www.enisa.europa.eu>.

[15] Pamela Samuelson, Privacy as Intellectual Property?, 52 Stan. L. Rev. 1125 (2000).