INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW

VOLUME 5 AND ISSUE 4 OF 2025

INSTITUTE OF LEGAL EDUCATION

INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW

APIS – 3920 – 0001 | ISSN - 2583-2344

(Open Access Journal)

Journal's Home Page – https://ijlr.iledu.in/

Journal's Editorial Page - https://ijlr.iledu.in/editorial-board/

Volume 5 and Issue 4 of 2025 (Access Full Issue on - https://ijlr.iledu.in/volume-5-and-issue-4-of-2025/)

Publisher

Prasanna S,

Chairman of Institute of Legal Education

No. 08, Arul Nagar, Seera Thoppu,

Maudhanda Kurichi, Srirangam,

Tiruchirappalli – 620102

Phone : +91 94896 71437 - info@iledu.in / Chairman@iledu.in

INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW [IJLR – IF SCORE – 7.58]

VOLUME 5 AND ISSUE 4 OF 2025

APIS – 3920 - 0001 *(and)* ISSN - 2583-2344

Published by

Institute of Legal Education

https://iledu.in

"TRADING IN THE CLOUD": THE LEGAL DIMENSIONS IN ONLINE INVESTMENTS, VIRTUAL TRADING AND DEMAT SYSTEMS

AUTHOR – SWASTIKA RANA, STUDENT AT AMITY LAW SCHOOL, AMITY UNIVERSITY, KOLKATA

BEST CITATION - SWASTIKA RANA, "TRADING IN THE CLOUD": THE LEGAL DIMENSIONS IN ONLINE INVESTMENTS, VIRTUAL TRADING AND DEMAT SYSTEMS, *INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW (IJLR)*, 5 (4) OF 2025, PG. 702-706, APIS – 3920 – 0001 & ISSN - 2583-2344.

ABSTRACT

Wealth generation has taken fresh routes in the era where financial market hums with digital energy. The significant rise of online investments rostrum from the traditional setup has changed the landscape of investment law in India. Imagine a fresh graduate sitting in a café trades on his smart phone--seems easy and more accessible, that is precisely how technology has evolved finance. More streamlined securities transactions, diminished paper work, less reliance on broker, etc. The contention arises on how the law keeps track with such affair that occur within seconds across screens and jurisdictions. With the advancements of digital wave this paper delves into the crossword of innovation and regulation in India's investment front.

At the core of the study remains virtual trading where real money is out of the game alongside the seamless DEMAT environment and prominent growth of online tools for investment. These technological-and-financial union innovations present both benefits and obstacles to society. Regulators need methods to achieve fairness during virtual trading transactions. Digital disturbances within online platforms can result in complete loss of savings while foreign financial flows entering digital transfer systems operate without proper tracking. This paper investigates the legal structures behind contemporary mechanisms to identify whether they support or break under current technological frameworks. It analyzes the legal underpinnings under SEBI Act and guidelines, Depositories Act.

Virtual Trading often tangles with practice and profit trajectory. Digitalization of financial market uncovers new paradigm shift where investments are no longer bound by paper or frontiers. Moreover, human stakes are paramount—hence equilibrium between innovation and regulation looms large. Through a legal lens this paper probes into the current regulations and grey areas if any prone to exploitation.

At last, "Trading in the Cloud" is more than just a captivating phrase—it's a call for understanding how law shapes the future of financial market in a digital world. The challenge is crucial therefore need a well crafted framework that manages both innovation and regulation.

INTRODUCTION

The financial industry has shifted entirely into cloud computing technology via virtual trading platforms together with DEMAT systems and online investment solutions. Where trading in stock market previously dealt with paper documents and hectic brokers on the floor the sector now operates through digital interfaces available to all smart phone users. This evolution propelled by dematerialization and liberalization has brought India into a dynamic period of fast-paced inclusive wealth-making. The Pre-1995 traditional paper-based system was a labyrinth of inefficiencies.[1191][1192] The

[1191] R.K. Sharma, *The Evolution of Indian Capital Markets- A Historical Perspective*, 12 J. Fin L. 45, 48 (2020) (highlighting the inefficiencies of paper-based trading and its exclusionary impact).

emergence of trades across cyberspace creates a vital concern regarding how existing laws founded in the SEBI Act of 1992 and the Depositories Act of 1996 can ensure fairness and security in this emerging market system. The conversion of paper shares into digital sphere housed in demat accounts birthed by dematerialization through the Act.[1193] Dematerialization was a milepost with "slashing settlement risks and opening markets to masses."[1194]

Since its formation under SEBI Act of 1992, the Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI) has prescribed for market conducting and safeguarding the investors with its purpose to take the test, spearheaded through the age of present digital speed. That is, those users who train through virtual trading face legal vulnerabilities since this activity lingers in the space between the educational house and the threat of SEBI's compliance issues. The Depositories Act of 1996 introduced DEMAT systems that allowed removal of paper shares for digital but these new systems are not secure as they are susceptible to system failures and security breaches. The SEBI Guidelines wrote rules on allotments and insider trading, but it is not able to catch up with the online platform involving foreign funds, algorithmic activity, across the previously set parameters. Investing through applications and mutual funds does not only stand for sort of own standards, there ought to be much more from the law contemplated in the case of protecting the security of people who engage on this systems.

Yet, this digital dawn cast new shadows. Although the move to demat accounts was a boon, it constructed a legal and regulatory frontier much more complex. Cyber fraud crept in, such as in that case where a hacker drains a widow's life savings from her demat account in minutes. Noiseless vandals also revealed themselves as system glitches, a 2021 BSE outage left traders helpless exposing week links in the electronic ecosystem.[1195] Regulations were just laying down the regulators in their crooked hands and regulatory loopholes were widened—how do you police that forged digital signature—and then enforcement was just lagging, with SEBI scrambling to apply for the rules of the pre-digital era to a digital world. Given investor protection but no protection against the threats its framers never foresaw, did the Depositories Act deliver?

The digital dilemmas are how does the Depositories Act, 1996 work alongside SEBI Act, 1992 and SEBI Guidelines. The demat system is very efficient—over 90 per cent of India's market transactions are electronic—but its legal scaffolding begins to creak. "The Depositories Act secures shares but falters on digital threats like cybersecurity," leaning on the squeeky Information Technology Act, 2000.[1196] But while India's laws are replete with the Information Technology Act, 2000, even a Depositories Act, per se, is not of much help in cybercrime.

Although market oversight is vested in SEBI through the SEBI Act, 1992, how far does the clasp of virtual trading's nebulous nature extend? The Deposteries Act, 1996, triumphs in dematerialisation, fails to care for system failures and fraud, and their text does not cover them. SEBI Guidelines, written in the vein of allotment and insider trading, are a drag on the digital speed: Can SEBI's own net speed emerge strong enough against a cyberleak or a cross-border dodge? And the stakes are human, a widow's pension burnt to the ground by a glitch, a youth's savings to a scam, and for that, legal clarity is necessary.[1197] With the soar of

1192 Securities and Exchange Board of India, *Annual Report 1995-96* (1996) (noting the pre-1995 system's disconnect from global financial systems).
1193 National Stock Exchange, *Market Statistics 2023* (2023) (reporting over 10 crore demat accounts and 90% electronic transactions).
1194 P. Gupta, *Dematerialization and Beyond- Legal Reforms in Indian Securities*, 8 Indian L. Rev. 112, 115 (2022) (crediting the Depositories Act with market democratization).

1195 A. Patel, *Digital Risks in Indian Markets- The BSE Outage of 2021*, Tech. & L. J. 78, 80 (2022) (detailing the systemic fallout of the BSE glitch).
1196 *Cybersecurity and Securities Law: Gaps in India's Framework*, 10 J. Cyber L. 33, 36 (2021) (critiquing the Depositories Act's silence on digital risks).
1197 A. Kumar, *Regulating the Digital Market: Challenges for SEBI*, 14 Sec. L. Rev. 22, 25 (2023) (arguing pre-digital laws are ill-equipped for modern markets).

INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW [IJLR – IF SCORE – 7.58]

VOLUME 5 AND ISSUE 4 OF 2025

APIS – 3920 - 0001 *(and)* ISSN - 2583-2344

Published by

Institute of Legal Education

https://iledu.in

digitalization, these legal frameworks must not only govern but ensure fairness and security.[1198]

REGULATORY FRAMEWORK

India's legal scaffolding for virtual trading, DEMAT systems, and online investment rests on a triad legal imperatives, that is, the SEBI Act, 1992, the Depositories Act, 1996, and SEBI Guidelines. Each pillar, forged in a pre-digital era, grapples with a market remade by technology.

SC(R) A- This Act is interpreted to regulate the virtual environment of securities through NSE or BSE.

IT ACT- lacks in addressing data protection law and cross border or borderless transactions. The Depositories act saw a revolution of trade that mandates demat account and settlement to be made within T+2 days from weeks. This is believed that it's the backbone of India's digital market. However, still the focal point—securing share ownership—sidesteps cybersecurity. According to NSDL 1.5 lakh accounts were breached in 2022 and the gaps are not addressed even by the Act and they remained uncynical. The failure of BSE in 2021, among the system glitches, has prominent liability questions about who pays the depositories, brokers or the investors. The IT Act, 2000, is 'inadequate for financial cybercrime'. The Act Established the functioning of demat accounts and ensured legal validity of electronic trading and ownership. This trading in virtual mode faces legislative re-dressal mechanisms.

The Securities and Exchange Board of India as brought into existence by the Securities and Exchange Board of India Act, seeks to "protect investors and regulate securities markets." This enables SEBI to supervise activities of stock exchanges. Additionally it deals with the cases of insider trading and fair practices. This mandate is tested in virtual trading platforms, where users simulate trades. This puts them in limbo without any checks on speculation. Certain of SEBI's insider trading rules are 'ill equipped for algorithmic speed,' according to A. Kumar, and online platforms that facilitate cross-border trades conflict with them. The brush is too broad for the project: digital details struggle to come out. It has issued framework to manage the cyber security issues by creating infrastructure institutions, regulating a two-factor authentication system across trading applications, among others.

SEBI rules on allotment as well as on insider trading and market conduct are for disciplining. The 2019 Insider Trading Regulations try to deal with misuse of price sensitive data, though online platforms that have real time leaks beat the enforcement. "Digital speed mocks pre digital rules." Demat guidelines are cleared so that allotments can take place but are inconsistent with control over virtual trading. Online tools cause cross-border investments to involve foreign exchange limits and regulatory lag. The traditional markets protection is done by SEBI's framework but it is falter in the cloud. These guidelines fill several regulatory gaps to protect the investor and comply with the social media demands.[1199]

India's stock market unshackled with digitalization. Unauthorized exchanges, ambiguous brokerage bonds and grey areas in legal framework often create peril to investor's security, especially the novices. Both inefficient legal and regulatory dimensions pose intricacies in the digitized financial market. Requirement for stricter accommodating the emerging technological threat is evolving.

EMERGING TECHNOLOGIES AND EVOLVING LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

The dynamic character of financial market enhanced with growing fin-tech, block chain and technologically driven instrument has evolved the investment landscape globally.

1198 Reserve Bank of India, *Financial Inclusion Report 2023*, at 15 (2023) (detailing the role of the legal frameworks).

1199 Securities and Exchange Board of India, SEBI/CIR/MRD/DP/13/2015, *Cyber Security and Cyber Resilience Framework for Stock Exchanges, Depositories, and Clearing Corporations* (July 6, 2015), https://www.sebi.gov.in/legal/circulars/jul-2015/cyber-security-and-cyber-resilience-framework-for-stock-exchanges-depositories-and-clearing-corporations_30294.html

Tokenized assets powered by block chain are supposed to be transparent; in Hyderabad a trader can own fractional shares on some tamper proof ledger. However, the traditional demat system tied to the Depositories Act, 1996 doesn't accept these assets and ownership disputes remain open. Scholars warn "Block chain is beyond the boundaries of our legal definitions."[1200] Tokenized issuances circumvent the norms here, and SEBI Guidelines on allotment fail here. Technology driven trading happens in mere seconds but poses threat to security. In 2022, BSE's value wiped ₹10 lakh ahead of rogue AI in just a few minutes. The SEBI Act, 1992 lacks tools to audit these 'black box' systems,[1201] and leaves game unpaltered. Argued, 'AI's speed requires legal agility.'[1202] Issues like data protection, block chain's permanence overlaps with the lag in Information Technology Act, 2000's weak cover. Uprising virtual trading leads the path to make stringent framework to handle upcoming technological developments.

RECOMMENDATION

I. TIGHTENING CYBERSECURITY

Cyber fraud consists of hacking the demat accounts or spoofing the trades. The condition of the Depositories Act requires that all such depositories, i.e NSDL and CDSL, must implement encryption and real time monitoring. An amendment to Section 10 that will ensure whoever breaches will be strictly liable. Also a "Two factor authentication is something that has to happen, for investor safety, SEBI Guidelines should," R. Patel stated. Financial laws must take precedence over Information Technology Act, 2000.

II. HANDLING VIRTUAL TRADING

Virtual trading or online trading has a gray zone in their legal status. SEBI should classify it under the SEBI Act while issuing licenses to platforms ensuring transparency. A. Kumar suggests "treating it as a regulated sandbox,"[1203] balancing innovation and oversight. Guidelines must cap simulated trades' transition to real markets, curbing speculative abuse. This clarity protects novices while harnessing virtual tools' educational potential.

III. ALIGNING WITH GLOBAL NORMS

Insider trading cases carried by SEBI take years. If there are cyber and online disputes, amend the SEBI Act to set up a Digital Markets Tribunal to fast track the same. Real time audits are needed in online platforms which sync with U.S. SEC standards. Whereas foreign investment should be eased out with cross-border flows, Depositories Act should align with FATF norms. N. Singh argues that "global parity is non-negotiable." These steps bring India's laws to a borderless market. These reforms, cyber focused, precise and forward looking, would strengthen India's trust while not inhibiting growth, a charge India's digital ascent has on us.

SEBI Act should be amended to enable a Digital Markets Tribunal for resolution of disputes quickly, for complaints raised by retail investors. Under SEBI's guidelines, real time platform audits and brokerage contracts should mandatorily have a clear outline. N. Singh argues that the Depositories Act should limit algorithmic trading risks in the same line as the circuit breakers, as well as adapt to cross-border standards as spelled out by the FATF. These steps shield retail investors from digital perils.

1200 T. Reddy, *Blockchain and Indian Securities Law*, Tech. 33, 36 (2023).
1201 SEBI Act, 1992, § 11(2)(e) (lacking AI-specific oversight).
1202 R. Patel, *Cybersecurity in Financial Markets*, 11 J. Tech. L. 55, 59 (2023).
1203 *Regulating the Digital Market: Challenges for SEBI*, 14 Sec. L. Rev. 22, 24 (2023).

INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW [IJLR – IF SCORE – 7.58]
VOLUME 5 AND ISSUE 4 OF 2025
APIS – 3920 - 0001 *(and)* ISSN - 2583-2344
Published by
Institute of Legal Education
https://iledu.in

CONCLUSION

The investment ecosystem has shown a stark shift from a floor-based system to virtual platforms with advanced technological integration in the financial market. This edifice though impressive faces challenges. The protection for investors, regulatory voids, systematic steadiness, electronic malpractices, and cyber security risks are few of the major concords. However, the current legislations lack cohesive synergy to address fin-tech innovations properly. As expressed, "The law must evolve with the market it governs."[1204] The failure of legal oversight needs to be addressed with an enhanced investor-friendly and security proof approach that rebuilds trust and redress the investor's grievances.

[1204] Gupta, supra note 4, at 118.