



# INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW

VOLUME 5 AND ISSUE 4 OF 2025

INSTITUTE OF LEGAL EDUCATION



## INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW

APIS – 3920 – 0001 | ISSN – 2583-2344

(Open Access Journal)

Journal's Home Page – <https://ijlr.iledu.in/>

Journal's Editorial Page – <https://ijlr.iledu.in/editorial-board/>

Volume 5 and Issue 4 of 2025 (Access Full Issue on – <https://ijlr.iledu.in/volume-5-and-issue-4-of-2025/>)

### Publisher

Prasanna S,

Chairman of Institute of Legal Education

No. 08, Arul Nagar, Seera Thoppu,

Maudhanda Kurichi, Srirangam,

Tiruchirappalli – 620102

Phone : +91 94896 71437 – [info@iledu.in](mailto:info@iledu.in) / [Chairman@iledu.in](mailto:Chairman@iledu.in)



© Institute of Legal Education

**Copyright Disclaimer:** All rights are reserve with Institute of Legal Education. No part of the material published on this website (Articles or Research Papers including those published in this journal) may be reproduced, distributed, or transmitted in any form or by any means, including photocopying, recording, or other electronic or mechanical methods, without the prior written permission of the publisher. For more details refer <https://ijlr.iledu.in/terms-and-condition/>

# LEVERAGING ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION (ADR) FOR CYBER SECURITY AND DATA PRIVACY DISPUTES: A MODERN APPROACH TO DIGITAL CONFLICT RESOLUTION

**AUTHORS-** VAIBHAV DHAROD & SHEETAL SABLE, ASSISTANT PROFESSORS AT DY PATIL UNIVERSITY

**BEST CITATION** – VAIBHAV DHAROD & SHEETAL SABLE, LEVERAGING ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION (ADR) FOR CYBER SECURITY AND DATA PRIVACY DISPUTES: A MODERN APPROACH TO DIGITAL CONFLICT RESOLUTION, *INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW (IJLR)*, 5 (4) OF 2025, PG. 115-118, APIS – 3920 – 0001 & ISSN – 2583-2344.

## ABSTRACT

*This research explores the role of Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) in resolving cybersecurity and data privacy conflicts. ADR mechanisms such as mediation, arbitration, and negotiation provide efficient, confidential, and cost-effective solutions to disputes arising from cyber incidents. The study examines real-world cases, challenges, and best practices while emphasizing the importance of integrating technical expertise into ADR processes. Recommendations include strengthening legal frameworks, adopting technology-driven ADR solutions, and training professionals to handle complex cybersecurity disputes effectively.*

**Keywords:** ADR, Cybersecurity, Data Privacy, Dispute Resolution, Mediation

## OBJECTIVES

1. To analyze the effectiveness of ADR mechanisms in resolving cybersecurity and data privacy disputes.
2. To identify challenges and propose solutions for enhancing ADR processes in cybersecurity conflict resolution.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

### 1.1. Definition and significance of ADR

Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) stands for methods to find settlement solutions beyond normal judicial proceedings. The dispute resolution methods encompass arbitration, mediation, conciliation, negotiation as well as Lok Adalats. People appreciate ADR because it delivers profits by reducing costs and offers privacy protection and maintains relationship connections between disputing parties. ADR functions as a key system that eases judicial system pressure while delivering expedient

justice services<sup>192</sup>.

### 1.2. Overview of cybersecurity and data privacy conflicts

Cybersecurity and data privacy disputes emerge when entities face situations including breached data, unauthorized system entry and unmanaged personal information and failure to meet privacy regulations. Multiple groups of participants consisting of businesses together with individuals and governments form the core parties in these disputes. Digital environments together with advancing cyber threats create conflicts that are complicated to handle. Digital disputes need proper management for safeguarding private information while establishing trust in digital environments<sup>193194</sup>.

<sup>192</sup> LawBhoomi. 2025. "Importance of Alternative Dispute Resolution." *Arbitration Law Blogs - Subject-wise Law Notes*, January 15.

<sup>193</sup> NIST. n.d. "Relationship Between Cybersecurity and Privacy." *National Cybersecurity Center of Excellence (NCCoE)*. Retrieved March 16, 2025 (<https://www.nccoe.nist.gov/relationship-between-cybersecurity-and-privacy>).

<sup>194</sup> Editorial Team. 2025. "The Intersection of Privacy Laws and Cybersecurity: Protecting Data in a Digital Age." Last updated January 3.

### 1.3. Importance of ADR in resolving such conflicts

ADR proves especially powerful for handling cybersecurity along with data privacy disputes because of its flexible and adaptable characteristics. ADR offers parties the opportunity to tackle technological and legal aspects along with each other thus delivering faster settlements than conventional legal processes. Such ADR mechanisms help cybersecurity cases through their protection of case confidentiality. ADR enables organizations to prevent risks and speed their recovery from incidents and maintain stakeholder trust through its efforts to reduce hostility and foster cooperation<sup>195</sup>.

## 2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Existing research highlights ADR as a viable solution for resolving cybersecurity and data privacy conflicts due to its efficiency and confidentiality. Legal frameworks governing ADR in cyber disputes vary globally, impacting enforcement. Case studies demonstrate successful applications of ADR in cybersecurity incidents, such as Equifax and Target breaches. Scholars emphasize the necessity of integrating technical expertise into ADR processes to address complex digital evidence.

## 3. METHODOLOGY

This research assimilates qualitative research techniques, such as case study research and legal frameworks review. Data from sources such as academic journals, legal documents, case law, and cybersecurity dispute reports are all compiled. ADR professionals speak about the best practices and challenges to ADR implementation through interviews and expert opinions. As such, the comparative study of ADR outcomes across jurisdictions facilitates the understanding of the efficiency of different ADR mechanisms.

Retrieved March 16, 2025 (<https://gauravtiwari.org/privacy-laws-and-cybersecurity-protecting-data-in-a-digital-age/>).

<sup>195</sup> India Legal. n.d. "Dispute Resolution Mechanism of Cyber Laws in India." *India Legal Live*. Retrieved March 16, 2025 (<https://indialegalive.com/laws-research-in-depth/dispute-resolution-mechanism-of-cyber-laws-in-india/>).

## 4. CHALLENGES IN IMPLEMENTING ADR FOR CYBERSECURITY DISPUTES

### • Jurisdictional Issues and Cross-Border Disputes

Cybersecurity disagreements often involve entities that function across a number of different countries with coexisting legal systems. This is further complicated when issues under consideration involve international organizations and cross-border data breaches, and the applicable laws that apply to ADR. In absence of uniformity, there exist issues around recognition and enforcement of ADR decisions.

### • Complexity of Technical Evidence and Forensic Analysis

However, because they are often specific and analytical, technical cyber security disputes require expert interpretation of forensic and profit evidentiary data. In such cases, quality of resolution suffers since finding appropriate mediators or arbitrators with legal domain knowledge and technical knowledge becomes challenging. The need for continuous learning becomes critical for ADR professionals given the fact that cyber security threats and their technologies are evolving at such a pace that disputing them remains to be tricky.

### • Enforcement of ADR Outcomes

Though ADR is binding, enforcing its results is a graying area. Data sovereignty creates data assets that can be hard for one party to enforce against the other across different jurisdictions, as one of the parties may refuse to obey decisions reached by mutual agreement. In cybersecurity, there is a bottleneck in ADR mechanisms specifically because of absence of global governing documents validating these settlements and therefore necessitating court processes for enforcement.

### • Confidentiality Concerns in Dispute Resolution

The confidentiality benefits of taking disputes to ADR, however, are undermined when it involves cyber security disputes, as these types

of cases contain sensitive information capable of causing harm to all parties involved. Strict measures that protect private information further complicate the way ADR administrators can carry out their case from beginning to end. ADR processes dealing with cyberspace violations should apply specific confidentiality arrangements and encryption channels, non-disclosure agreements and specific privacy measures to prevent sensitive data violations.

## 6. CASE STUDIES AND PRACTICAL APPLICATIONS

### • Analysis of Real-World Cybersecurity Disputes Resolved Through ADR Case: Equifax Data Breach Settlement (2017)

Sensitive data of 147 million people was stolen in the Equifax breach. The parties reached a post-\$700 million mediated settlement (Compensation for Touch-affected Individuals / Investments in Cybersecurity Improvements). This settlement showed how with ADR, firms can efficiently reach settlements on a large scale while still protecting consumers' interests.

#### Case: Target Data Breach (2013)

Target Corporation suffered a massive data breach that compromised 40 million customers. The case was settled through arbitration and amounted to \$18.5 million spread across 47 states. It reiterated the efficacy of arbitration as a mechanism for resolution of multi-state cybersecurity disputes, as well as the establishment of compliance with data protection and privacy standards.

### • Lessons Learned from Industry Practices Case: Sony Pictures Hack (2014)

The dispute involved leaked confidential information in the Sony Pictures hack. This scenario involved mediation to confront disputes between the plaintiff and certain employees involved. This case illustrates the unique characteristics of mediation as an appropriate avenue to resolve extremely sensitive cyber incidents without adding to the reputational harm already wrought.

**Takeaway:** Some of the evidence in cyber really is complex and the industry and practitioners should think about using ADR professionals who can meet those needs. Amongst many others are input from forensic and cyber security specialists in ADR processes, which ensures that both parties understand the facts before achieving fair conclusion.

## ADR Success Stories in Data Privacy Conflicts

### Case: Facebook-Cambridge Analytica Scandal (2018)

The case concerned the unauthorized collection of information from millions of Facebook users. The dispute was resolved through mediation in which Facebook was fined \$5 billion and required to enact better privacy practices. [This case drawn attention to how the use of ADR processes enables the parties to reach a settlement, but still impacts greatly on the substantive policy reform relating to control over personal data.

#### Case: Healthcare Data Breach Arbitration

An arbitration settled a dispute between a software provider and a health care client arising out of a data breach. The settlement involved the payment of money and the enhancement of existing data protection measures. These supporting features included concluding the improved arbitration and security practice outcomes in healthcare with this case example.

These cases demonstrate how alternative dispute resolution can be used to resolve complex issues in a confidential and mutual fashion. They also stress the necessity of employing the right technological expertise, clear settlement frameworks, and proactive cybersecurity strategies in ADR cases.

## 7. SUGGESTIONS TO IMPROVE ON ADR IN CYBERSECURITY

### 7.1. Enhancing the Legal Landscape for Cybersecurity-Related ADR

- Clear legal frameworks for the use of ADR in cybersecurity disputes should be

established by the governments and regulatory bodies.

- International accords could align ADR protocols among jurisdictions to adequately resolve cross-border cyberspace disputes.

## 7.2. Digital Solutions for Technology-Driven ADR

- AI and blockchain driven Online Dispute Resolution (ODR) platforms can ensure transparency, scalability, and efficiency.

Secure communication tools allow to protect sensitive information and to maintain confidentiality throughout the ADR processes.

## 7.3. Empowering Cybersecurity Professionals: The Journey of ADR Methodologies

- Providing specialized ADR training to cyber specialists guarantees they mediate/arbitrate effectively.
- This is ever so important in relation to creating interdisciplinary training programs (e.g., legal + technical skills) that will help us 'handle' complex evidence in relation to disputes.

## 7.4. Increasing Awareness/Use of Mechanisms ADR

- Educational Workshops & Conferences: These can be held to spread awareness of the benefits of ADR in resolving cybersecurity and data privacy disputes.
- Triggering uptake: Encouraging businesses to include ADR clauses in their contracts should put these mechanisms into the mainstream.

## 8. CONCLUSION

ADR is a pragmatic and efficient mechanism for resolving disputes that relate to cybersecurity and data privacy. And it saves money, [it] keeps everything private and it's very easy and quick, so for many people these days, it trumps litigation." Yet, jurisdictional complexities, enforcement challenges, and the

need for technical knowledge must be resolved. We offer recommendations for improving ADR within the cybersecurity space through added legal frameworks, innovations in technology, and adaptive training for professionals. Future studies may benefit from being centred on establishing standardised international protocols for cyber disputes along with investigating artificial intelligence systems, which could increase efficiency, as well as make disputes more accessible.

Also, one of the advantages of applying ADR (Alternative Dispute Resolution) is that it is confidential, but this is not necessarily true when the dispute is with regard to cybersecurity: the facts of these cases involve sensitive data that can endanger stakeholders. Tight restrictions on the use of private information become yet another barrier for ADR administrators to implement from case initiation to conclusion. ADR processes with cybersecurity issues require special confidentiality arrangements and should be handled through encrypted channels, non-disclosure agreements and dedicated labour measures to prevent the loss of sensitive information.