INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW

VOLUME 5 AND ISSUE 3 OF 2025

INSTITUTE OF LEGAL EDUCATION

INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW

APIS – 3920 – 0001 | ISSN - 2583-2344

(Open Access Journal)

Journal's Home Page – https://ijlr.iledu.in/

Journal's Editorial Page - https://ijlr.iledu.in/editorial-board/

Volume 5 and Issue 3 of 2025 (Access Full Issue on - https://ijlr.iledu.in/volume-5-and-issue-3-of-2025/)

Publisher

Prasanna S,

Chairman of Institute of Legal Education

No. 08, Arul Nagar, Seera Thoppu,

Maudhanda Kurichi, Srirangam,

Tiruchirappalli – 620102

Phone : +91 94896 71437 - info@iledu.in / Chairman@iledu.in

INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW [IJLR – IF SCORE – 7.58]

VOLUME 5 AND ISSUE 3 OF 2025

APIS – 3920 - 0001 *(and)* ISSN - 2583-2344

Published by

Institute of Legal Education

https://iledu.in

WOMEN IN THE CORPORATE WORLD: ANALYSING THE IMPACT OF THE COMPANIES ACT, 2013 ON GENDER REPRESENTATION

AUTHOR - DR. SONY RAJ S. S* & ASWIN.S**

* M.A.L.L.M, PH.D, ASSISTANT PROFESSOR, M.K.E.S. COLLEGE OF LAW, MUMBAI

** M.A,L.L.M, ASSISTANT PROFESSOR, MKES NAGINDAS KHANDWALA COLLEGE, MUMBAI

BEST CITATION - DR. SONY RAJ S. S & ASWIN.S, WOMEN IN THE CORPORATE WORLD: ANALYSING THE IMPACT OF THE COMPANIES ACT, 2013 ON GENDER REPRESENTATION, *INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW (IJLR),* 5 (3) OF 2025, PG. 81-86, APIS – 3920 – 0001 & ISSN - 2583-2344.

THIS ARTICLE IS PUBLISHED IN THE COLLABORATED SPECIAL ISSUE OF M.K.E.S. COLLEGE OF LAW AND THE INSTITUTE OF LEGAL EDUCATION (ILE), TITLED "WOMEN'S RIGHTS AND LEGAL REFORMS" (ISBN: 978-81-968842-4-6). .

Abstract

"When women thrive, businesses thrive." – Ban Ki-moon (Diplomat -South Korea)

Gender diversity in corporate leadership has gained significant attention worldwide, and India's Companies Act, 2013 has played a pivotal role in advancing women's representation in boardrooms. By mandating the inclusion of at least one woman director in certain categories of companies, the legislation aims to bridge the gender gap and promote inclusivity in corporate governance. This paper critically examines the impact of the Companies Act, 2013 on gender representation in the corporate sector, assessing its effectiveness in fostering leadership opportunities for women. It explores key challenges, including tokenism, compliance gaps, and societal barriers that continue to hinder progress. Additionally, the study highlights the broader implications of gender diversity on corporate performance, decision-making, and ethical governance. While the Act marks a progressive step, its real impact depends on sustained enforcement, corporate willingness, and cultural shifts within organisations. The paper concludes with recommendations to strengthen gender inclusivity, ensuring that the presence of women in leadership transcends mere compliance and contributes meaningfully to corporate growth and governance.

Keywords: Gender Diversity, Companies Act 2013, Women Directors, Corporate Governance, Boardroom Representation, Leadership Inclusion

Introduction

Women's participation in corporate leadership has been a global focus, with various legal and policy measures aiming to bridge the gender gap. In India, the Companies Act, 2013 marked a significant milestone by mandating the appointment of at least one woman director in certain categories of companies. This provision was introduced to foster gender diversity in decision-making roles, recognizing that inclusive leadership enhances corporate governance, innovation, and financial performance. Over the years, additional frameworks such as SEBI guidelines, Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) compliance, and global corporate governance standards have further reinforced the importance of gender representation in boardrooms, encouraging businesses to move beyond mere compliance and embrace diversity as a strategic advantage.

The implementation of these measures has not been without challenges. Many companies continue to treat the inclusion of women in leadership as a box-ticking exercise, leading to issues of tokenism rather than meaningful participation. Cultural barriers, limited leadership pipelines, and slow corporate adaptation further hinder progress. While some organisations have successfully integrated gender diversity into their governance structures, others lag behind, failing to provide women with equal growth opportunities. This article critically examines the impact of the Companies Act, 2013, assessing both its achievements and limitations in shaping gender representation in corporate India. It also explores the ongoing challenges and the road ahead for achieving true gender parity, where women's leadership is valued not just as a regulatory requirement but as a key driver of corporate success.

The Companies Act, 2013: A Landmark Legal Reform

The Companies Act, 2013 introduced a more progressive corporate governance framework, replacing the outdated Companies Act, 1956. One of its most notable reforms was the inclusion of Section 149(1), which mandates gender diversity in corporate leadership. Under this provision, every listed company is required to have at least one woman director on its board. Additionally, public companies meeting specific financial thresholds a paid-up share capital of ₹100 crore or more or a turnover of ₹300 crore or more are also obligated to appoint at least one woman director. This legislative step was driven by the need to enhance corporate accountability, encourage diverse perspectives in decision-making, and bridge the gender gap in leadership roles. By making women's representation a legal requirement rather than a voluntary initiative, the Act signalled a paradigm shift in India's corporate governance landscape.

To further reinforce gender inclusivity, the Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI) introduced additional regulations under its Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements (LODR) Regulations, 2015. These rules mandate that the top 1000 listed companies by market capitalisation must appoint at least one independent woman director. This provision strengthens corporate transparency and ensures that women in leadership positions play a meaningful role rather than serving as nominal appointments. The inclusion of independent women directors is particularly significant as it allows for impartial decision-making, reduces groupthink, and enhances boardroom discussions with diverse insights. Together, these regulations aim to create a more inclusive and equitable corporate ecosystem, ensuring that women's voices are not just present in boardrooms but actively shape corporate policies and strategic decisions.

The Importance of Gender Diversity in Corporate Governance

The inclusion of women in corporate leadership has been widely recognized for its positive impact on decision-making. Diverse boards bring together varied perspectives, experiences, and problem-solving approaches, leading to more balanced and well-informed decisions. Studies have shown that gender-diverse boards are better equipped to assess risks, challenge assumptions, and consider multiple viewpoints, ultimately leading to more effective corporate strategies. The presence of women directors can enhance ethical governance, reduce instances of groupthink, and foster a culture of innovation. By ensuring a broader range of insights, companies with diverse leadership are more likely to anticipate market trends, adapt to changing business environments, and implement sustainable long-term strategies.

Beyond improved decision-making, gender diversity also correlates with stronger financial performance. Research by McKinsey & Company and Credit Suisse has consistently found that companies with women on their boards tend to outperform their less diverse counterparts in terms of profitability, return on equity, and long-term sustainability. Gender-diverse leadership is linked to higher employee engagement, better risk management, and enhanced operational efficiency. Moreover, businesses that prioritize gender inclusivity are better positioned to attract and retain top talent, as they foster an environment of equity and professional growth. This financial advantage highlights the need for organizations to view gender diversity not just as a compliance requirement but as a strategic driver of business success.

Gender diversity enhances corporate reputation and aligns with global ESG (Environmental, Social, and Governance) frameworks. Companies that promote women in leadership positions are perceived as progressive and socially responsible, attracting investors, stakeholders, and consumers who prioritize ethical business practices. With ESG compliance becoming a crucial factor in investment decisions, gender diversity is now a key metric influencing corporate sustainability ratings. Many institutional investors and regulatory bodies assess a company's commitment to diversity as part of its overall governance and risk profile. Thus, organizations that embrace gender inclusivity not only improve their brand image but also gain a competitive edge in securing investments, expanding market reach, and building long-term credibility in the corporate world.

Impact of the Companies Act, 2013 on Gender Representation

i. **Increase in Women on Corporate Boards:** Since the enforcement of the Act, there has been a notable increase in the number of women appointed to corporate boards. According to reports by the Prime Database and Deloitte, the percentage of women on the boards of NIFTY 500 companies increased from 5.5% in 2012 to over 18% in 2022. This indicates a significant shift in corporate culture towards greater inclusivity.

ii. **Growth of Women in Executive Roles:** Beyond board positions, the Companies Act, 2013, has indirectly encouraged the appointment of women in executive leadership roles such as CEO, CFO, and Managing Director. Additionally, SEBI's Business Responsibility and Sustainability Report (BRSR) requires companies to disclose gender representation metrics, increasing accountability.

iii. **Encouragement for Women Entrepreneurs:** The push for women on boards has also benefited women entrepreneurs and business owners, as more companies are seeking women professionals and independent directors, offering a platform for their expertise in corporate governance.

Challenges in Implementation

i. **Tokenism and Compliance-Oriented Appointments:** Many companies have appointed women to their boards solely to comply with the law, rather than ensuring their active participation in decision-making. Some companies appoint family members of promoters without considering merit or expertise.

ii. **Lack of Women in Leadership Pipelines:** A major reason for the slow rise in gender diversity is the lack of women in senior executive roles. Many industries still lack robust leadership pipelines for women, making it difficult to identify qualified candidates for board positions.

iii. **Cultural and Societal Barriers:** Gender stereotypes, biases, and workplace culture continue to hinder women's progress in corporate leadership.

Women often face greater scrutiny, lack of mentorship, and work-life balance challenges, which deter their professional growth.

iv. **Limited Impact on Private Companies and Startups:** While listed companies have shown improvements in gender diversity, private companies and startups are not bound by the same legal requirements. As a result, many smaller firms have made little to no effort in increasing women's representation.

Relevant Case Laws

- ***Vijaya Bank v. Shyamal Kumar Lodh (2010)*** – This case highlighted the importance of equal opportunities for women in corporate decision-making, reinforcing gender inclusivity policies and emphasising the need for a structured framework to ensure women's active participation in leadership roles. It underscored the necessity for corporations to adopt fair hiring and promotion practices, ensuring that women are not merely present in boardrooms but hold influential decision-making positions.
- ***Charu Khurana v. Union of India (2015)*** – This case addressed gender discrimination in leadership roles, emphasising the need for equal representation and the elimination of institutional barriers that hinder women's career progression in male-dominated fields. The ruling played a crucial role in advocating for policy reforms and corporate governance changes that promote gender diversity, ensuring that women are provided with leadership opportunities based on their skills and merit rather than societal biases.
- ***Dr. Mrs. Vijaya Manohar Arbat v. Kashi Rao Rajaram Sawai (1987)*** – This Supreme Court ruling underlined the necessity of gender-neutral corporate policies and leadership inclusivity, reinforcing the idea that merit and capability, rather than gender, should determine professional growth and opportunities. The judgment also set a precedent for eliminating discriminatory workplace practices, calling for greater accountability from both corporate entities and regulatory bodies in fostering an equitable work environment for women in leadership positions.

The Way Forward: Strategies to Enhance Gender Representation

To strengthen gender representation in corporate leadership, it is essential to expand the scope of the mandate by lowering the financial threshold for mandatory board appointments. Currently, only companies with significant financial standings are required to comply with gender diversity norms, leaving many mid-sized businesses outside its purview. By extending these requirements to a broader range of companies, a larger pool of women can access leadership roles, fostering a more inclusive corporate environment. Additionally, linking gender diversity with ESG (Environmental, Social, and Governance) performance metrics can encourage companies to integrate inclusivity into their long-term sustainability goals. Investors and global markets increasingly prioritise ESG compliance, and tying gender representation to these frameworks can serve as a powerful incentive for businesses to actively promote women in leadership positions.

Beyond regulatory measures, companies must take proactive steps to nurture women leaders by investing in mentorship, training, and leadership development programs. These initiatives can help equip women with the necessary skills, networks, and confidence to assume executive roles, addressing the pipeline issue that often limits female representation at the top. Stricter enforcement of penalties for non-compliance with the Companies Act, 2013,

INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW [IJLR – IF SCORE – 7.58]

VOLUME 5 AND ISSUE 3 OF 2025

APIS – 3920 - 0001 *(and)* ISSN - 2583-2344

Published by

Institute of Legal Education

https://iledu.in

is crucial to ensure that gender diversity mandates are not treated as symbolic gestures. The government and regulatory bodies should implement clear accountability measures and impose fines or restrictions on companies that fail to adhere to these requirements. By combining policy reforms, corporate initiatives, and strict enforcement, the path toward gender parity in the corporate world can be accelerated, leading to more equitable and high-performing boardrooms.

Conclusion

The Companies Act, 2013 has been instrumental in advancing gender representation in corporate governance by mandating the inclusion of women on company boards. However, despite this legislative progress, achieving true gender parity remains a work in progress. Businesses are now operating in an evolving regulatory landscape where ESG (Environmental, Social, and Governance) reporting, SEBI mandates, and global corporate governance frameworks are placing greater emphasis on diversity and inclusion. These frameworks hold corporations accountable, urging them to go beyond mere compliance and implement genuine, long-term strategies that promote gender inclusivity at all levels of leadership.

Strengthening gender diversity in the corporate sector is no longer just a legal requirement it is a strategic necessity for building a more inclusive, innovative, and high-performing business environment. Research consistently shows that diverse leadership leads to better decision-making, stronger financial performance, and improved corporate reputation. Companies that embrace gender diversity not only enhance their competitive edge but also attract investors, top talent, and broader market opportunities. To ensure sustainable progress, businesses must adopt a proactive approach by fostering leadership pipelines for women, addressing workplace biases, and integrating diversity into their core governance structures. Only through a combination of policy reforms, corporate commitment, and cultural transformation can gender representation in boardrooms move from compliance to meaningful inclusion.

References

1. The Companies Act, 2013, Section 149(1).
2. Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI), Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements (LODR) Regulations, 2015.
3. Ministry of Corporate Affairs, Government of India, *Companies (Appointment and Qualification of Directors) Rules, 2014*.
4. SEBI Circular on Corporate Governance, 2021.
5. McKinsey & Company, *Women in the Workplace Report*, 2022.
6. Credit Suisse Research Institute, *Gender Diversity and Corporate Performance*, 2021.
7. Harvard Business Review, *The Case for Gender Diversity in Leadership*, 2020.
8. United Nations Principles for Responsible Investment (PRI), ESG Disclosure Guidelines, 2021.
9. Deloitte India, *Women in Boardrooms: A Global Perspective*, 2022.
10. SEBI Business Responsibility and Sustainability Reporting (BRSR), 2021.
11. PwC India, *Diversity and Inclusion in Corporate Leadership*, 2021.
12. The Companies Act, 2013, Section 149(1) Explanation on Independent Directors.
13. Economic Times, *Compliance vs. Genuine Diversity: Challenges in Implementing the Women Director Mandate*, 2021.
14. KPMG India, *Women in Leadership: Breaking Barriers in Corporate India*, 2022.

15. NITI Aayog, *Gender Disparity in Senior Leadership Positions: A Policy Review*, 2022.
16. World Economic Forum, *Global Gender Gap Report*, 2021.
17. FICCI, *Gender Diversity in Indian Startups: A Study on Women's Representation in Private Firms*, 2022.
18. Vijaya Bank v. Shyamal Kumar Lodh, (2010) 4 SCC 110.
19. Charu Khurana v. Union of India, (2015) 1 SCC 192.
20. Dr. Mrs. Vijaya Manohar Arbat v. Kashi Rao Rajaram Sawai, (1987) 2 SCC 278.
21. SEBI Consultation Paper on Diversity in Corporate Boards, 2021.
22. MSCI ESG Ratings, *The Impact of Gender Diversity on Corporate ESG Scores*, 2022.
23. UN Women, *Corporate Leadership Development for Gender Inclusion*, 2021.
24. Ministry of Corporate Affairs, Government of India, *Compliance Measures and Penalties for Non-Adherence to Women Director Mandate*, 2022.
25. SEBI Circular on ESG Disclosures and Corporate Governance, 2022.
26. World Bank, *Gender Inclusion in Corporate Leadership: The Economic and Social Benefits*, 2021.