INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW [IJLR – IF SCORE – 7.58]

VOLUME 5 AND ISSUE 1 OF 2025

APIS – 3920 - 0001 *(and)* ISSN - 2583-2344

Published by

Institute of Legal Education

https://iledu.in

FINANCING THE GLOBAL CLIMATE AGENDA: THE CONTRIBUTION OF INTERNATIONAL FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS – AN INTERNATIONAL LAW PERSPECTIVE

AUTHOR - AARYA BANKAR, STUDENT AT MODERN LAW COLLEGE, PUNE

BEST CITATION - AARYA BANKAR, INVESTIGATING THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN DIET AND MENTAL HEALTH IN ADULT, *INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW (IJLR)*, 5 (1) OF 2025, PG. 811-816, APIS – 3920 – 0001 & ISSN - 2583-2344.

ABSTRACT:

The escalating climate crisis necessitates unprecedented financial mobilization to support mitigation and adaptation efforts globally. International Financial Institutions (IFIs), such as the World Bank Group, the International Monetary Fund (IMF), and regional development banks, play a crucial role in channelling these funds. This article examines the contribution of IFIs to financing the global climate agenda from an international law perspective. It analyses the legal frameworks governing IFI operations, their evolving climate finance mandates, and the challenges they face in ensuring effective and equitable climate action. The article argues that while IFIs possess significant financial leverage, their actions must be aligned with the principles of international environmental law, including common but differentiated responsibilities and respective capabilities (CBDR-RC), and must prioritize the needs of vulnerable states and communities.

1. Introduction: The Urgency of Climate Finance and the Role of IFIs

The spectre of climate change looms large, casting a long shadow over the future of humanity and the planet. This existential crisis, characterized by rising global temperatures, extreme weather events, and ecological disruptions, demands urgent and concerted action on a scale never before witnessed. At the heart of this challenge lies the imperative to transition to a low-carbon, climate-resilient global economy. This transition, however, is not merely a technological or policy undertaking; it is fundamentally a financial one. The scale of investment required to mitigate greenhouse gas emissions and adapt to the inevitable impacts of climate change is staggering, necessitating a radical rethinking of how we mobilize and deploy financial resources.

The Paris Agreement, adopted under the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC), established a global framework to limit global warming to well below 2, preferably to 1.5 degrees Celsius, compared to pre-industrial levels. Achieving this goal requires substantial financial flows to support the transition to low-carbon and climate-resilient development pathways.

The scale of investment needed far exceeds the capacity of public budgets alone, necessitating the mobilization of private sector capital and the strategic deployment of public finance through IFIs.

IFIs, established through multilateral treaties, possess unique advantages in addressing the climate finance gap. They command significant financial resources, technical expertise, and established relationships with governments and the private sector. Their mandate to promote sustainable development positions them as key actors in channelling climate finance, particularly to developing countries. However, the effectiveness of their contribution depends on their adherence to international law principles and their ability to adapt their operational frameworks to the evolving climate agenda.

2. Legal Foundations of IFI Operations and Climate Finance Mandates

IFIs are governed by their respective Articles of Agreement, which outline their purposes, powers, and operational procedures. These foundational documents, while not explicitly addressing climate change in their original form, provide a basis for incorporating environmental considerations into their lending and investment activities.

- The World Bank Group: The World Bank's Articles of Agreement mandate it to promote sustainable economic development and poverty reduction. In recent years, the Bank has increasingly integrated climate change considerations into its operations, establishing climate finance targets and launching initiatives such as the Climate Investment Funds (CIFs) and the Green Bond program. The Bank's Environmental and Social Framework (ESF) provides crucial safeguards for ensuring that its projects do not exacerbate climate risks or harm vulnerable communities.
- The International Monetary Fund (IMF): While traditionally focused on macroeconomic stability, the IMF has recognized the macroeconomic implications of climate change. The IMF's Resilience and Sustainability Trust (RST) provides long-term, affordable financing to help countries build resilience to climate change and other long-term structural challenges. The IMF is also increasingly integrating climate risk assessments into its surveillance and lending activities.
- Regional Development Banks (RDBs): RDBs, such as the Asian Development Bank (ADB), the African Development Bank (AfDB), and the Inter-American Development Bank (IDB), play a crucial role in addressing the specific climate finance needs of their respective regions. They are increasingly aligning their strategies with the Paris Agreement and developing innovative financing instruments to support climate action.

The evolution of IFI mandates reflects the growing recognition of climate change as a systemic risk and a development imperative. This evolution is also driven by the development of international environmental law, including the UNFCCC, the Paris Agreement, and related instruments.

3. International Law Principles and IFI Climate Finance

The application of international law principles is essential for ensuring that IFI climate finance is effective, equitable, and sustainable.

- Common but Differentiated Responsibilities and Respective Capabilities (CBDR-RC): This principle, enshrined in the UNFCCC, acknowledges the shared responsibility of all states to address climate change while recognizing the different historical contributions and capabilities of developed and developing countries. IFIs must ensure that their climate finance mechanisms reflect this principle by providing preferential access and concessional financing to developing countries, particularly those most vulnerable to climate impacts.
- Principle of Equity and Justice: Climate finance should be distributed equitably, taking into account the needs of vulnerable populations and addressing the historical injustices associated with climate change. IFIs must ensure that their projects do not exacerbate existing inequalities and that they prioritize the

participation and empowerment of affected communities.

- Principle of Sustainable Development: Climate finance should support development pathways that are both environmentally sustainable and economically viable. IFIs must integrate climate risk assessments into their project appraisal processes and promote investments in renewable energy, energy efficiency, and climate-resilient infrastructure.
- Principle of Precaution: In the face of scientific uncertainty, IFIs should adopt a precautionary approach to climate finance, prioritizing investments that reduce greenhouse gas emissions and enhance climate resilience. They should also avoid investments that could lead to irreversible environmental damage.
- Due Diligence: IFIs must exercise due diligence in their climate finance activities, ensuring that their projects comply with international environmental law and human rights standards. This includes conducting thorough environmental and social impact assessments and establishing robust monitoring and evaluation mechanisms.

4. Challenges and Opportunities for IFI Climate Finance

Despite their significant potential, IFIs face several challenges in effectively contributing to the global climate agenda.

- Mobilizing Private Sector Capital: The scale of climate finance needed requires a significant increase in private sector investment. IFIs must develop innovative financing mechanisms, such as blended finance and risk mitigation instruments, to attract private capital to climate-friendly projects.
- Ensuring Additionally and Avoiding Double Counting: Climate finance should be additional to existing development assistance and should not be double-counted. IFIs must establish clear criteria for defining climate finance and develop robust tracking and reporting systems.
- Addressing the Needs of Vulnerable States and Communities: Small island developing states (SIDS) and least developed countries (LDCs) are particularly vulnerable to climate impacts. IFIs must prioritize their needs and provide them with access to concessional financing and technical assistance.
- Enhancing Transparency and Accountability: IFIs must enhance the transparency and accountability of their climate finance activities by providing clear information on their projects and engaging with civil society organizations.
- Aligning with National Climate Priorities: IFI climate finance should align with the nationally determined contributions (NDCs) and national adaptation plans (NAPs) of recipient countries. This requires close coordination with national governments and other stakeholders.
- Addressing Loss and Damage: The issue of loss and damage associated with climate change impacts is gaining increasing prominence. IFIs must explore ways to support countries in addressing loss and damage, including through innovative financing mechanisms and technical assistance.

5. Recommendations and Conclusion

To enhance their contribution to the global climate agenda, IFIs should:

- Strengthen their climate finance mandates and align their operational frameworks with the Paris Agreement.
- Increase the volume and accessibility of concessional climate finance for developing countries.

- Develop innovative financing mechanisms to mobilize private sector capital.
- Enhance the transparency and accountability of their climate finance activities.
- Prioritize the needs of vulnerable states and communities.
- Strengthen their partnerships with national governments, civil society organizations, and the private sector.
- Incorporate the outcomes of COP27 and COP28, specifically concerning loss and damage, and the operationalization of the loss and damage fund.
- Implement safeguards that ensure that climate finance does not contribute to human rights violations or environmental degradation.

In conclusion, the role of International Financial Institutions in financing the global climate agenda is pivotal, yet fraught with complexities. They stand as critical intermediaries in channelling the vast sums of capital required for the transition to a low-carbon and climate-resilient future. However, their effectiveness hinges on a profound commitment to the principles of international law, particularly those pertaining to environmental protection and sustainable development. The imperative to adhere to the principle of common but differentiated responsibilities and respective capabilities (CBDR-RC) is paramount, ensuring that the burden of climate action is distributed equitably, with developed nations taking the lead in providing financial and technological support to developing countries.

The challenges are manifold. Mobilizing the necessary private sector capital requires innovative financial instruments and risk mitigation strategies. Ensuring additionally and avoiding double counting necessitates robust tracking and reporting mechanisms. Addressing the needs of vulnerable states and communities demands a focus on concessional financing and technical assistance. Enhancing transparency and accountability requires open communication and stakeholder engagement. Aligning with national climate priorities demands close coordination with governments and civil society. And, perhaps most pressingly, addressing loss and damage requires a fundamental shift in how we approach climate finance, acknowledging the irreversible impacts of climate change on vulnerable populations.

The outcomes of recent Conferences of the Parties (COPs), particularly COP27 and COP28, have underscored the urgency of addressing loss and damage. The operationalization of the loss and damage fund represents a significant step forward, but its success will depend on the willingness of developed countries to fulfill their financial commitments and on the ability of IFIs to effectively channel these resources to those in need.

Moving forward, IFIs must embrace a transformative approach to climate finance. This requires a shift from a project-by-project approach to a systemic approach, focusing on building climate resilience across entire economies and sectors. It requires a greater emphasis on adaptation finance, recognizing that even with ambitious mitigation efforts, the impacts of climate change are already being felt and will continue to intensify. It requires a commitment to ensuring that climate finance is gender-responsive and socially inclusive, empowering women and marginalized communities to participate in and benefit from climate action.

Furthermore, IFIs must strengthen their internal governance structures to ensure that climate change considerations are fully integrated into their decision-making processes. This includes strengthening their environmental and social safeguards, enhancing their climate risk assessments, and promoting greater transparency and accountability. They must also foster greater collaboration with other multilateral organizations, national governments, civil society organizations, and the private sector, recognizing that climate action requires a collective effort

IFIs possess significant financial leverage and technical expertise to play a crucial role in financing the global climate agenda. However, their effectiveness depends on their ability to adapt their operational frameworks to the evolving climate landscape and to adhere to the principles of international environmental law. By prioritizing equity, sustainability, and transparency, IFIs can contribute to a just and resilient transition to a low-carbon future.

References

1. **International Agreements & Legal Instruments**
   - United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change, May 9, 1992, 1771 U.N.T.S. 107.
   - Paris Agreement, Dec. 12, 2015, T.I.A.S. No. 16-1104.
2. **International Financial Institutions' Reports & Documents**
   - World Bank, *Climate Investment Funds* (2023), https://www.worldbank.org/en/topic/climatechange/brief/climate-investment-funds.
   - Int'l Monetary Fund, *Resilience and Sustainability Trust: Questions and Answers* (2022), https://www.imf.org/en/Topics/climate-change/RST.
   - Asian Dev. Bank, *Climate Change Financing at ADB* (2023), https://www.adb.org/what-we-do/themes/climate-change-financing.
   - African Dev. Bank, *Climate Finance Action Plan 2021-2025* (2021), https://www.afdb.org/en/topics-and-sectors/initiatives-partnerships/climate-finance.

3) **Climate Science & International Reports**
   - Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, *Sixth Assessment Report: Climate Change 2023* (2023), https://www.ipcc.ch/assessment-report/ar6/.
   - United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change, *Standing Committee on Finance Report on Climate Finance* (2022), https://unfccc.int/topics/climate-finance.
   - United Nations Environment Programme, *Emissions Gap Report 2023* (2023), https://www.unep.org/resources/emissions-gap-report-2023.

4) **Conference of the Parties (COP) Documents**
   - United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change, *Report of the Conference of the Parties on its Twenty-Seventh Session (COP27)*, U.N. Doc. FCCC/PA/CMA/2022/6 (Mar. 17, 2023).
   - United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change, *Decisions of the Conference of the Parties on the Loss and Damage Fund (COP28)*, U.N. Doc. FCCC/CP/2023/L.5 (Dec. 2023).

5) **International Environmental Law & Principles**

- Philippe Sands & Jacqueline Peel, *Principles of International Environmental Law* (4th ed. 2018).
- Daniel Bodansky, *The Art and Craft of International Environmental Law* (2011).