

INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW

VOLUME 5 AND ISSUE 1 OF 2025

INSTITUTE OF LEGAL EDUCATION



INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW

APIS - 3920 - 0001 | ISSN - 2583-2344

(Open Access Journal)

Journal's Home Page - https://ijlr.iledu.in/

Journal's Editorial Page - https://ijlr.iledu.in/editorial-board/

Volume 5 and Issue 1 of 2025 (Access Full Issue on - https://ijlr.iledu.in/volume-5-and-issue-1-of-2025/)

Publisher

Prasanna S,

Chairman of Institute of Legal Education

No. 08, Arul Nagar, Seera Thoppu,

Maudhanda Kurichi, Srirangam,

Tiruchirappalli – 620102

Phone: +91 94896 71437 - info@iledu.in / Chairman@iledu.in



© Institute of Legal Education

Copyright Disclaimer: All rights are reserve with Institute of Legal Education. No part of the material published on this website (Articles or Research Papers including those published in this journal) may be reproduced, distributed, or transmitted in any form or by any means, including photocopying, recording, or other electronic or mechanical methods, without the prior written permission of the publisher. For more details refer https://ijlr.iledu.in/terms-and-condition/



VOLUME 5 AND ISSUE 1 OF 2025

APIS - 3920 - 0001 (and) ISSN - 2583-2344

Published by

Institute of Legal Education

https://iledu.in

POLLUTER PAYS PRINCIPLE: AN EVOLVING PERSPECTIVE IN INDIA

AUTHOR - VAISHNAVI DESHPANDE, STUDENT AT SVKM'S NMIMS, INDORE

BEST CITATION - VAISHNAVI DESHPANDE, THE INTERWOVEN THREADS OF THE TELECOMMUNICATION BILL 2023, *INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW (IJLR)*, 5 (1) OF 2025, PG. 176-186, APIS - 3920 - 0001 & ISSN - 2583-2344.

ABSTRACT

The Polluter Pays Principle (PPP), a cornerstone of environmental policy, requires polluters to bear the costs of their environmental footprint. This article investigates the evolving use of PPP, particularly in India, following its evolution from international origins, such as the Trail Smelter arbitration and OECD recommendations, to its formalisation in the Rio Declaration. While PPP is widely recognised, implementation issues exist, particularly in assigning culpability and providing thorough cost coverage. Legislative initiatives such as the Water and Air Acts, as well as judicial activism, as seen in decisions such as M.C. Mehta v. Union of India, have shaped the implementation of PPPs in India. However, enforcement gaps, minimal sanctions, and limited corporate criminal responsibility make it ineffective. The article examines these issues, which include identifying polluters, insufficient incentives for smaller businesses, resource limits, and overexploitation of common pool resources. It also investigates success stories such as Sweden's carbon price and Germany's Energiewende, gleaning lessons for India. The essay emphasises the importance of greater enforcement, increased regulatory capacity, targeted financial support, and stronger international cooperation in realising PPP's promise for promoting environmental sustainability and fair resource usage.

Keywords: Polluter Pay Principle (PPP), Judicial Activism, Environmental Policy, Enforcement Gaps, Sustainability

I. Introduction

The Polluter Pays Principle (PPP) is a cornerstone of environmental policy, requiring those who bear the costs of pollution to management and disposal. The notion, based on the belief that the price of goods and services should represent their total production costs, including environmental externalities, aims to increase economic efficiency, eliminate waste, and promote sustainable resource usage. The PPP rose to international prominence following the OECD's 1972 Recommendation on Guiding Principles Concerning International Economic Aspects of Environmental Policies. The **OECD** emphasised assigning pollution prevention and control costs to polluters, efficient use of environmental resources, and reducing trade and investment distortions. Today, the PPP is a generally international accepted concept of

environmental law, appearing prominently in agreements such as the 1992 Rio Declaration's 16.422 **Principle** Globally, the PPP is seen as a critical tool for environmental governance. It maintains that polluters must bear the costs of pollution, establishing a financial incentive to reduce environmental damage. forbidding By unsustainable activities, this concept fosters accountability and supports the use of cleaner sustainable technologies and corporate practices. The OECD's articulation of the PPP arose in reaction to the environmental difficulties faced by industrialisation, with the goal of protecting ecosystems and public through the use of mechanisms that discourage pollution. These concepts have now infiltrated national and

⁴²² Rio Declaration on Environment and Development," A/CONF.151/26,



VOLUME 5 AND ISSUE 1 OF 2025

APIS - 3920 - 0001 (and) ISSN - 2583-2344

Published by

Institute of Legal Education

https://iledu.in

international politics, influencing the legal structures of governments around the world.⁴²³

II. Origin of the Polluter Pays Principle

The Polluter Pays Principle (PPP) has emerged crucial principle of environmental governance, influencing policies and legal frameworks around the world. Its origins can be traced back to early international legal precedents, including the Trail **Smelter** arbitration between Canada and the United States (1938-1941)⁴²⁴. This case established polluters' responsibility to mitigate and prevent environmental damage, signalling a watershed moment in the principle's evolution. The court ruled Canada and the Consolidated Mining and Smelting Company liable for air pollution that impacted the US state of Washington, emphasising polluters' need to accept the costs of their conduct. This precedent highlighted the importance of transboundary environmental accountability and compensation procedures.

In Europe, the notion of PPP first emerged in 1968 with the Council of Europe's "Declaration of Principles" on air pollution control. Principle 6 of this declaration emphasised that the expense of avoiding or reducing pollution should be borne by the polluter, setting the framework for its inclusion in European environmental laws. In 1972, Organisation for Economic the (OECD) Cooperation and Development formalised the notion with its Recommendation on Guiding Principles Concerning International Economic Aspects of Environmental Policies. This recommendation identified public-private partnerships as a vehicle for allocating the costs of pollution prevention and control measures polluters, guaranteeing of natural resources while rational use minimising distortions in international trade and investment.425

the 1992 Rio Globally, Declaration Environment and Development strengthened PPP through principle 16, which called on national governments to internalise environmental costs and implement economic aligned with the concept. It tools that emphasised the need of balancing public interest and economic growth while ensuring that polluters suffer the price of pollution without jeopardising international trade and investment. This inclusion in international accords represented a growing consensus on the role of public-private partnerships in tackling global environmental concerns. 426

Despite universal acceptance, the scope and application of public-private partnerships (PPPs) are frequently contested. Challenges remain in its implementation, particularly in determining accountability for historical contamination and providing broad covering of environmental expenses. Critics have pointed out instances in which the principle has been misconstrued, allowing polluters to justify contamination as long as they absorb the accompanying costs, undermining the principle's preventive objective. Nonetheless, the European Union has championed its implementation, emphasising preventive measures over corrective steps and emphasising the idea as a critical component of environmental governance. The Industrial Revolution of the late 18th century serves as a backdrop for the growth of PPP, demonstrating both industrialization's revolutionary potential and its environmental implications.427

Rapid technical breakthroughs and mass production resulted in widespread contamination, prompting regulatory solutions to safeguard ecosystems and human health. As industrial operations developed globally, so did the demand for environmental accountability, resulting in frameworks such as PPP. Today, the

⁴²⁷ Jose Felix Pinto-Bazurco, *How to Enforce the Polluter-Pays Principle*, IISD (February 2022)

⁴²³ Harshita Singhal & Sujith Koonan, *Polluter Pays Principle in India: Assessing Conceptual Boundaries and Implementation Issues*, 7(2), RGNUL Student Research Review, pp. 33-50 (2021)

⁴²⁴ Trail smelter case (Únited States, Canada), VOLUME III pp. 1905-1982 (16 April 1938 and 11 March 1941)

⁴²⁵ Jose Felix Pinto-Bazurco, How to Enforce the Polluter-Pays Principle, IISD (February 2022)

 $^{^{426}}$ Jose Felix Pinto-Bazurco, How to Enforce the Polluter-Pays Principle, IISD (February 2022)



VOLUME 5 AND ISSUE 1 OF 2025

APIS - 3920 - 0001 (and) ISSN - 2583-2344

Published by

Institute of Legal Education

https://iledu.in

Polluter Pays Principle remains a dynamic and changing notion that influences policy and promotes sustainability around the world. Its inclusion in international, regional, and national frameworks demonstrates its ongoing significance in tackling current environmental concerns and promoting fair and responsible resource use.

III. Evolution in India

India's implementation of the Polluter Pays Principle (PPP) illustrates a steady growth in environmental governance, fuelled by legislative and judicial initiatives. Following the Stockholm Declaration of 1972⁴²⁸, implemented significant environmental legislation, including the Water (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act of 1974429, the Air (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act of 1981⁴³⁰, and the Environment (Protection) Act of 1986⁴³¹. These rules attempted to combat pollution and protect natural resources in the of growing industrialisation urbanisation. While these measures lay the groundwork, the judiciary's involvement in strengthening and expanding the PPP has been crucial.

The courts have interpreted and enforced the PPP hold polluters responsible environmental deterioration. Notably, judicial interventions have ensured that polluters suffer the price of pollution, even when operations are within legal limitations. For example, in the Oleum Gas Leak case (M.C. Mehta v. Union of India⁴³²), the Supreme Court placed accountability on Shriram Industries notwithstanding their compliance regulatory standards, highlighting the concept of "absolute liability" for hazardous industrial activity. This landmark ruling demonstrated that complying with the law does not free polluters of responsibility for environmental damage.⁴³³

In another significant case, Indian Council for Environmental Legal Action v. Union of India⁴³⁴, the Supreme Court upheld the PPP by ordering polluters to compensate victims and repair environmental damage. The court underlined enforcement agencies' failures and emphasised the judiciary's role in assuring the protection of fundamental rights, notably the right to a healthy environment. It noted that, while law enforcement is primarily responsibility of the executive branch, court involvement is required when regulatory bodies fail to act efficiently.435

However, the application of the PPP has encountered criticism, with some suggesting that it has devolved into a "pay and pollute" system due to weak enforcement. The reluctance of regulatory organisations, such as the Pollution Control Boards, to pursue criminal procedures against violators has further eroded the principle's deterrent effect. Polluters have been fined, but these monetary penalties tend to be insufficient to deter future violations. Courts have repeatedly emphasised the significance of criminal prosecution as a greater deterrent, although such cases are rare. 436

The dispute concerning civil vs criminal culpability for polluters has gained traction in India's legal community. While civil lawsuits provide compensation and environmental rehabilitation, criminal culpability is necessary to deter deliberate or reckless pollution. Historically, provisions of the Indian Penal Code [Now Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS)], the sections 268 and 290⁴³⁷, addressing public nuisance and environmental harms, were

⁴²⁸ UN Doc. A/RES/2994(XXVII).

 $^{^{\}rm 429}$ The Water (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1974, Act No. 6 of 1974

⁴⁵⁰ The Air (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, 1981, Act No. 14 of 1981

⁴³¹ The Environment (Protection) Act, 1986, No. 29 of 1986

⁴³² M.C. Mehta v. Union of India, 1987 AIR 965

 $^{^{\}rm 433}$ Mahaseth, Harsh, Analysis of the Effectiveness of the Polluter Pays Principle in India (March 10, 2017).

⁴³⁴ Indian Council for Environment-Legal Action v. Union of India (UOI) and Ors, (1996) 5 SCC 281.

⁴³⁵ Harshita Singhal & Sujith Koonan, *Polluter Pays Principle in India: Assessing Conceptual Boundaries and Implementation Issues*, 7(2), RGNUL Student Research Review, pp. 33-50 (2021)

⁴³⁶ Harshita Singhal & Sujith Koonan, Polluter Pays Principle in India: Assessing Conceptual Boundaries and Implementation Issues, 7(2), RGNUL Student Research Review, pp. 33-50 (2021)

⁴³⁷ BNS, 2023, §§ 268, 290 (India)



VOLUME 5 AND ISSUE 1 OF 2025

APIS - 3920 - 0001 (and) ISSN - 2583-2344

Published by

Institute of Legal Education

https://iledu.in

instrumental in establishing criminal liability for environmental offences even before comprehensive environmental laws were enacted in India. Prior to the Stockholm Declaration, public nuisance was used to hold polluters criminally accountable. After 1972, statutes such as the Water and Air Acts included procedures for commencing criminal proceedings against polluters.

However, the enforcement of criminal culpability is still limited due to procedural hurdles and resource restrictions faced by Pollution Control Boards. For example, the Boards frequently lack the necessary staffing, technical competence, and financial resources investigate and punish complicated environmental infractions.438 According to a 2022 research, over 40% of Pollution Control across states major Boards face staff shortages, limiting their ability to perform inspections and investigate breaches.439 Furthermore, the scarcity of specialised forensic facilities slows the analysis of pollution samples, impeding speedy prosecution. Delays gathering forensic evidence, as well overlapping authority among regulatory organisations, make prompt and effective action even more challenging.440

Statistical data highlight this issue: a proportion considerable of pollution in modest prosecutions result fines administrative penalties rather than criminal convictions, undermining the principle's deterrent effect.441 Addressing these systemic hurdles is critical for increasing accountability and strengthening the role of criminal liability in environmental governance.

IV. Corporate criminal liability

The question of corporate criminal culpability hampers the implementation of the PPP in India. Historically, companies were not held criminally

⁴³⁸⁴³⁸ TERI, Strengthening Environmental Governance in India: Challenges and Prospects, TERI Research Report (2022)

liable for environmental crimes since they were considered separate legal entities. However, courts have steadily broadened the scope of corporation's culpability. In Standard Chartered Bank v. Directorate of Enforcement⁴⁴², the Supreme Court declared that companies cannot claim absolute protection from criminal prosecution. The judgement recognised the important influence of corporate actions on public health, safety, and the environment, emphasising the importance of accountability. Determining accountability within a corporation offers difficulties, particularly in identifying liable persons. Procedural barriers, such as the necessity for thorough investigations and the complexities that accompany organisations, can impede development. Pollution Control Boards confront resource constraints, such as staff shortages and limited access to advanced forensic technologies, which compound these issues. Senior executives or decision-makers are usually held accountable because of their authority and access to resources. However, this technique may not be sufficient to address underlying challenges within organisations. Smaller businesses, which frequently lack the financial resources to internalise environmental additional expenses, have obstacles complying with rules. Nonetheless, some have implemented novel pollution-reduction strategies to avoid penalties.

The lack of criminal prosecution of firms for environmental infractions in India demonstrates significant shortcoming in the implementation. For example, the Bhopal Gas Tragedy⁴⁴³ is stark reminder repercussions ineffective of enforcement measures. Despite the severity environmental harm and human suffering gas leak, Union Carbide caused by the Corporation was not held criminally accountable. This case demonstrates how inadequacies in regulatory frameworks and enforcement activities can lead to inadequate

⁴³⁹ Down to Earth, Pollution Control in Crisis: Staff Shortages and Challenges (2022)

⁴⁴⁰ Centre for Science and Environment, Annual Report on Pollution and Enforcement Gaps, CSE (2022)

⁴⁴¹ Central Pollution Control Board, Annual Report, CPCB (2022)

⁴⁴² Standard Chartered Bank v. Directorate of Enforcement, AIR 2006 SUPREME COURT 1301

⁴⁴³ In re Union Carbide Corp. V. UOI, 1990 AIR 273



VOLUME 5 AND ISSUE 1 OF 2025

APIS - 3920 - 0001 (and) ISSN - 2583-2344

Published by

Institute of Legal Education

https://iledu.in

responsibility for large-scale corporate offenders. Effective enforcement necessitates a two-pronged approach: issuing compensate victims and repair the environment, and beginning criminal actions to discourage future violations. To overcome this imbalance, the judiciary has asked for more rigid sanctions and stronger enforcement procedures. For example, courts treating emphasised the importance of environmental crimes as serious offences due to their impact on life, liberty, and public health.444 India's adoption of the PPP has been fuelled by a combination of legislative efforts, judicial activism, and international agreements. However, effective implementation necessitates closing enforcement gaps and ensuring that penalties, whether civil or criminal, serve as a deterrent. Incorporating criminal culpability for environmental crimes corporate into enhance governance systems can accountability. Furthermore, improving capacity and independence of regulatory authorities, such as Pollution Control Boards, is critical for successful enforcement.445

This might include additional money to solve personnel shortages, investments in advanced forensic laboratories to speed up pollution analysis, and thorough training programs to provide authorities with the necessary technical knowledge. Furthermore, adopting systems to ensure greater independence from political or industrial influences may improve their ability to take decisive action against polluters. For example, establishing independent oversight committees to supervise enforcement efforts could assist alleviate existing procedural issues.⁴⁴⁶

The PPP's significance in India stems from its capacity to match economic activity with environmental sustainability. By holding polluters accountable, the notion not only

444 M.C. Mehta v. Kamal Nath, (1997) 1 S.C.C. 388 (India)

promotes environmental conservation but also equity by ensuring that pollution costs are not borne by society as a whole. However, enforcement issues, particularly in the context of corporate criminal liability, must be dealt with in order to fully realise the principle's promise. Strengthening statutory frameworks, increasing regulatory capacity, and prioritising deterrence through criminal prosecution are all critical steps towards a healthier, and cleaner, more sustainable future.447

V. Implementation Mechanism in Enforcing the Polluter Pays Principle in India

The Polluter Pays Principle (PPP) is fundamental concept of environmental governance that requires polluters to bear the costs of the environmental damage they cause. In India, the implementation of PPP is supported by a strong legal and institutional structure. However, its effectiveness is dependent on the good execution of laws and the active engagement of numerous parties. The procedures below show how PPP is enforced in India:

A. Role of Central Pollution Control Board (CPCB) 448

The CPCB, which reports to the Ministry of Environment, Forest, and Climate Change (MoEFCC), is the primary regulatory authority for PPP implementation. The board monitors air and water pollution levels and assures compliance with discharge requirements. It holds industries accountable by conducting periodic audits and directing corrective actions. CPCB also enforces fines and penalties on violators, emphasising the financial costs of environmental noncompliance. By doing so, the CPCB enforces the idea that polluters bear financial responsibility for the damage they cause, incentivising compliance.

B. State Pollution Control Boards (SPCBs)

⁴⁴⁵ Harshita Singhal & Sujith Koonan, *Polluter Pays Principle in India: Assessing Conceptual Boundaries and Implementation Issues*, 7(2), RGNUL Student Research Review, pp. 33-50 (2021)

⁴⁴⁶ Mahaseth, Harsh, Analysis of the Effectiveness of the Polluter Pays Principle in India (March 10, 2017).

⁴⁴⁷ Harshita Singhal & Sujith Koonan, *Polluter Pays Principle in India: Assessing Conceptual Boundaries and Implementation Issues*, 7(2), RGNUL Student Research Review, pp. 33-50 (2021)

⁴⁴⁸ Central Pollution Control Board Annual Report 2022-23



VOLUME 5 AND ISSUE 1 OF 2025

APIS - 3920 - 0001 (and) ISSN - 2583-2344

Published by

Institute of Legal Education

https://iledu.in

SPCBs serve as regional extensions of the CPCB, guaranteeing targeted enforcement of environmental legislation. They create and adapted enforce rules to special state requirements, as well as raise awareness about pollution management among industry and citizens. SPCBs have the right to assess fines in proportion to the severity of rule infractions, increasing the financial accountability required under PPP.449

C. National Green Tribunal (NGT)

The National Green Tribunal, established in 2010, is a specialised judicial authority for resolving environmental disputes. Operating under Article 21 of the Indian Constitution, the tribunal protects the right to a clean and healthy environment. The NGT has played an important role in implementing PPP by holding polluters accountable with heavy penalties and mandating corrective activities. **Notable** instances, such as the imposing of fines on large industrial units for groundwater depletion and inappropriate aarbaae disposal, demonstrate the organization's proactive involvement in ensuring environmental iustice.450

D. Environmental Protection Act, 1986

This Act serves as a foundation for enforcing PPP by authorising the Central Government to establish environmental safeguards. The Act clearly mentions PPP, which holds polluters financially for responsible rehabilitating damaged ecosystems. Its restrictions are retroactively implemented, ensuring that previous infractions are addressed, SO reinforcing its deterrent effect.451

E. Hazardous and Other Wastes (Management and Transboundary Movement) Rules, 2016

This legislation focusses on the management of hazardous waste, emphasising polluters' financial obligation for proper disposal and site restoration. The laws encourage the reduction,

recycling, and reuse of hazardous waste, which is consistent with PPP's broader objectives. The guidelines prevent environmental harm from being passed on to society by requiring polluters to pay for proper disposal. Despite the complete legal structure, issues such as poor enforcement, limited resources, and a lack of awareness impede the efficient public implementation of PPP. Building institutional capacity, enhancing monitoring procedures, and encouraging public-private collaborations are critical steps towards closing these gaps. 452 Additionally, using global best practices, such as the EU's "polluter-pays" model under the Waste Framework Directive, can assist India in improving its enforcement procedures. In 2023-24, India created 1.75 million metric tonnes of ewaste, more than 90% of which was handled in the informal sector. This emphasises the importance of stronger implementation of PPP to ensure proper waste management and environmental protection.453 In 2023, the CPCB and SPCBs collected fines of ₹450 crores, indicating progress but also highlighting the severity of the violations. In order to effectively PPP in India, a multi-stakeholder enforce approach is required, combining rigorous legislative provisions with public awareness and industry cooperation. India can pave the road for a cleaner and more sustainable future by strengthening the institutional capacity of the CPCB, SPCBs, and NGT, as well as tackling systemic issues.454

VI. Success Stories and Case Studies

The Polluter Pays Principle (PPP) has been successfully applied in several locations, demonstrating its ability to reduce pollution, enhance sustainability, and encourage cleaner habits. These examples demonstrate the practical benefits of PPP while also teaching key lessons for broader applications.

⁴⁴⁹ The Air (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Act, No. 14 of 1981

⁴⁵⁰ National Green Tribunal Act, No. 19 of 2010

⁴⁵¹ The Environment (Protection) Act, No. 29 of 1986

⁴⁵² Directive 2008/98/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 19 November 2008 on Waste and Repealing Certain Directives, 2008 O.J. (L 312) 3

⁴⁵³ Central Pollution Control Board Annual Report 2022-23.

⁴⁵⁴ Hazardous and Other Wastes (Management and Transboundary Movement) Rules, 2016, Gazette of India, pt. II sec. 3(i) (Mar. 4, 2016)



VOLUME 5 AND ISSUE 1 OF 2025

APIS - 3920 - 0001 (and) ISSN - 2583-2344

Published by

Institute of Legal Education

https://iledu.in

A. Sweden's Carbon Tax and Its Role in Reducing Emissions

Sweden's carbon tax, which went into effect in 1991, serves as a global benchmark for PPP implementation. By putting a tax on fossil fuel consumption, the Swedish government successfully internalised the environmental cost of carbon emissions. Currently, the tax is one of the highest in the world, set at roughly €118 per tonne of CO₂ as of 2023. Despite its high rate, Sweden has maintained robust economic development while lowering emissions by about 33% 1990. since Revenue recycling is crucial to its success. The tax revenue has been reinvested in renewable energy projects, public transportation, and energy efficiency efforts, reducing popular opposition. Furthermore, exemptions businesses covered by the European Union Emissions Trading System (ETS)⁴⁵⁵ guaranteed a approach, eliminating disproportionate financial burdens on essential sectors.

B. Germany's Renewable Energy Initiatives Funded Through PPP Mechanisms

Germany's Energiewende (Energy Transition)⁴⁵⁶ demonstrates how public-private partnership structures can promote large-scale environmental transformation. The Renewable Energy Sources Act (EEG) of 2000 introduced feed-in tariffs funded by charges on consumers of electricity, thereby holding energy companies responsible for their environmental impact. This framework resulted in a significant rise in renewable energy output, accounting for more than 46% of total power consumption by 2023. The success of this program is due to Germany's thorough policy design. combining polluter-pay taxes and clean energy subsidies, the program struck a balance between market-driven incentives and Furthermore, public regulatory support. awareness efforts and stakeholder participation increased societal acceptance. However, recent problems, such as growing energy costs, show the significance of ongoing policy changes in order to sustain public trust.

C. The Cleanup of the Rhine River Through Coordinated Polluter-Pay Measures

The Rhine River, which was formerly extensively contaminated by industrial waste, now serves as a testament to the power of collaborative efforts within the PPP framework. In the 1980s, the International Commission for the Protection of the Rhine (ICPR) launched the Rhine Action Program, which required polluters to pay for wastewater treatment and pollution reduction measures. Within a decade, the program had extraordinary outcomes. By 2000, the river's water quality had greatly improved, resulting in the comeback of previously extinct species such as salmon. The program's effectiveness was dependent on careful surveillance, effective fine enforcement, and the collective commitment of Rhine-bordering nations. Strict Monitoring and Enforcement: The Rhine River clearing demonstrates the necessity of keeping polluters accountable through thorough monitoring and sanctions.457

VII. Measures India Should Adopt from These Success Stories

India, which faces enormous environmental concerns such as air pollution, plastic waste, and water contamination, might benefit from global examples of effective Polluter Pays Principle (PPP) implementation. It is critical to tailor these tactics to India's particular circumstances, while also embracing existing and new government programs. Implementing a Carbon Tax: Drawing influence from Sweden's carbon tax, India may set up a well-structured carbon pricing system. While a full-fledged carbon tax is still being considered, India has implemented a carbon tax in the form of a cess coal production since 2010 incorporated into the Goods and Services Tax (GST) Compensation Cess).

 $^{^{455}}$ EU-ETS, https://climate.ec.europa.eu/eu-action/eu-emissions-trading-system-eu-ets_en

⁴⁵⁶ German Federal Ministry for Economic Affairs and Climate Action, *The Energiewende*.

⁴⁵⁷ International Commission for the Protection of the Rhine (ICPR), *History of the ICPR*



VOLUME 5 AND ISSUE 1 OF 2025

APIS - 3920 - 0001 (and) ISSN - 2583-2344

Published by

Institute of Legal Education

https://iledu.in

This revenue, while not specifically designated for green activities, adds to the general pool of funds available to support environmental programs. Furthermore, the Indian carbon market is being launched, which will allow for the trading of carbon credits and so assist reduce carbon emissions. A more comprehensive carbon tax or Emissions Trading Scheme (ETS) could be considered, with proceeds deliberately spent in:

- Supporting renewable energy programs, including the Production Linked Incentive (PLI) scheme for solar module production and solar park promotion.
- 2. Public transportation initiatives include expanding metro systems and electric bus fleets through FAME-II (Faster Adoption and Manufacturing of Hybrid and Electric Vehicles) programs, as well as enhancing rural connections.⁴⁵⁸
- 3. Promoting energy-efficient appliances through PAT schemes and building codes. Rural communities could benefit from focused programs that promote energy-efficient cooktops and agricultural practices.⁴⁵⁹
- A. Strengthening Renewable Energy Policies:
 India has already achieved tremendous
 progress in renewable energy with the
 National Solar Mission, National Wind-Solar
 Hybrid Policy, and other programs. Building
 upon Germany's Energiewende, India can
 strengthen these by:⁴⁶⁰
- Improving feed-in tariffs and financial incentives for renewable energy producers, including distributed generation and rooftop solar.
- 2. Consider using polluter-pay fees to partially fund incentives aligned with the PPP.

- Investing in battery storage technologies to solve renewable energy's intermittent nature.⁴⁶¹
- B. Creating a River Clean-up Framework: The success of the Rhine River cleanup serves as a valuable pattern. India has established ambitious river cleaning efforts such as the Namami Gange Mission 462 for the Ganges and similar initiatives for other rivers. India can boost its efforts by adopting a regional cooperative approach. Strengthening interstate collaboration and establishing river basin bodies with specific mandates and enforcement powers.
- Implement harsh punishments for polluters.
 Increasing fines and even criminal punishment for industrial and municipal entities that discharge untreated wastewater.
- Invest in wastewater treatment plants to expand and upgrade infrastructure, particularly in metropolitan areas near waterways.
- 3. Encourage the use of decentralised wastewater treatment systems in smaller towns and villages.
- c. Promoting Stakeholder involvement: India is rapidly recognising the value of stakeholder involvement. To improve, consider launching focused public awareness efforts to educate residents about pollution, its effects, and how they can contribute to its reduction.
- 1. Building industry alliances to promote cleaner technology and best practices through voluntary programs and incentives.
- Empowering local communities to monitor pollution levels and contribute to policy design.
- D. Adapting Policies to Local Conditions: India's complex socioeconomic landscape necessitates adaptable policies. This includes targeted subsidies and exemptions for small-scale companies and farmers to

⁴⁵⁸ Department of Heavy Industry, Government of India. (n.d.). FAME India Scheme.

⁴⁵⁹ Ministry of Finance, Government of India, Goods and Services Tax. Retrieved from https://gstcouncil.gov.in/

⁴⁶⁰ Bureau of Energy Efficiency, Ministry of Power, Government of India, Perform Achieve and Trade (PAT)

⁴⁶¹ Ministry of New and Renewable Energy, Government of India. (n.d.). National Solar Mission.

⁴⁶² National Mission for Clean Ganga, Namami Gange.



VOLUME 5 AND ISSUE 1 OF 2025

APIS - 3920 - 0001 (and) ISSN - 2583-2344

Published by

Institute of Legal Education

https://iledu.in

help them shift to greener methods while maintaining accountability.

- Encouraging local and cost-effective pollution control technology.
- Developing measures to integrate the informal sector into formal waste management systems, including training and support.⁴⁶³
- E. Improving Monitoring and Enforcement:

 Proper monitoring and enforcement are
 essential. India can invest in digital
 monitoring technologies, including remote
 sensing and IoT sensors, for real-time
 pollution monitoring.
- Strengthen the National Green Tribunal (NGT)⁴⁶⁴ with more resources and capabilities to enforce environmental laws efficiently.
- 2. Create specialised courts to handle environmental cases more efficiently.
- Improve SPCB capacity through financing, training, and technical support for better monitoring and enforcement. India can considerably improve its execution of the Polluter Pays Principle and progress towards a more sustainable future.⁴⁶⁵

VIII. Challenges in Enforcement of the Polluter Pays Principle

The Polluter Pays Principle (PPP) has had various positive consequences, but it is not without drawbacks. These issues, if not solved, may impede its effective deployment. Here are some of the main challenges:

A. Identifying Polluters

Determining who is a "polluter" is a serious challenge. A polluter is defined legally as an individual or business that causes direct or indirect environmental harm. However, this broad term introduces ambiguity. For example, in industrial supply chains, contamination from raw material extraction, transportation, and final production stages might involve several participants. Determining culpability is more difficult in circumstances when indirect injury is

created, such as when a corporation outsources trash disposal to a third party contractor. Recent research suggests that many businesses take advantage of these ambiguities, resulting in delayed or diminished accountability. Creating explicit legal frameworks to address shared or layered duties is critical for better enforcement.⁴⁶⁶

B. Insufficient Incentives

Another significant difficulty is that certain groups, such as poor people, informal firms, small businesses, and farmers, cannot afford to pay higher rates for energy or waste management. According to a 2022 research by the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP)⁴⁶⁷, more than 40% of small enterprises in poor nations lack the funds to invest in environmentally friendly operations. Without subsidies or financial aid, these groups cannot afford to make the transition, resulting in unsustainable behaviours. Policies such as targeted subsidies, low-interest loans, and capacity-building initiatives might help to ease this burden and encourage greater involvement in PPP arrangements.468

C. Resource Constraints

Medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) in the formal sector confront similar issues due to limited resources. These companies, who mostly operate in local consumer markets, frequently lack the ability to pass on higher costs to their customers. According to a 2023 World Bank poll, 70% of SMEs in Southeast Asia struggle to internalise environmental expenses due to severe competition and low profit margins. Strengthening access to green funding and incentivising technology adoption will help these businesses overcome resource constraints and meet environmental criteria. 469

 $^{^{463}}$ Ministry of Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises (MSME) and the Ministry of Agriculture & Farmers Welfare, agricoop.nic.in

⁴⁶⁴ NGT, http://www.greentribunal.gov.in/

⁴⁶⁵ CPCB, cpcb.nic.in

⁴⁶⁶ Roy E. Cordato, The Polluter Pays Principle: A Proper Guide for Environmental Policy, Institute for Research on the Economics of Taxation Studies in Social Cost, Regulation, and the Environment: No. 6.

⁴⁶⁷ United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), (2022)

⁴⁶⁸ Mahaseth, Harsh, Analysis of the Effectiveness of the Polluter Pays Principle in India (March 10, 2017).

⁴⁶⁹ World Bank, (2023)



VOLUME 5 AND ISSUE 1 OF 2025

APIS - 3920 - 0001 (and) ISSN - 2583-2344

Published by

Institute of Legal Education

https://iledu.in

D. Customer Demand

Exporters in poor countries face difficulties with pass-through techniques, corporations pass on the cost of compliance to end consumers. **Exporters** elastic face international demand, which means that slight price increase might result in big sales loss. For example, studies of textile exporters in India and Banaladesh show that complying environmental standards increases production costs by 15-20%, making items less competitive in global markets. To remedy this, governments and international organisations should look into shared financial responsibility models or subsidies for eco-friendly exports, ensuring that exporters remain competitive while adhering to environmental norms.470

E. Overexploitation of Common Pool Resources

Many environmental issues in developing nations come from the overexploitation of common pool resources including forests, rivers, and fisheries. These resources are frequently viewed as free items due to weak property rights or a lack of enforcement. While PPP frameworks may attempt to govern their use, delegating private property rights as a solution might raise serious distributional difficulties, especially among marginalised populations who rely on these resources. A 2023 report by the International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN)⁴⁷¹ emphasises the importance of balanced policies that combine legislation and community participation. Co-management solutions, in which local communities are directly involved in resource monitoring and profit sharing, help to reduce the danger of overexploitation while also addressing equality concerns.

To successfully implement the Polluter Pays Principle, these enforcement issues must be addressed by innovative policy interventions, strong legal frameworks, and financial assistance mechanisms. By doing so, governments can ensure that the principle accomplishes its original purpose of environmental accountability without imposing unnecessary social or economic costs.

IX. Conclusion

India's dedication to the Polluter Pays Principle (PPP) is reflected in legislative and judicial actions targeted at environmental conservation. However, issues such enforcement gaps, inadequate resources for regulatory organisations such as the Central Pollution Control Board (CPCB) and State Pollution Control Boards (SPCBs), and disagreements about corporate accountability continue to impede its implementation. Recent initiatives show India's proactive reaction to these concerns. In 2019, the Gujarat government established the world's first market for trading particulate matter emissions in Surat. This Emission Trading Scheme enables industries to purchase and sell licenses to emit particulate matter, incentivising polluters to adopt cleaner methods. The Gujarat Pollution Control Board puts a cap on overall emissions, requiring enterprises who release more than their allowance to acquire permits from those emitting less. Despite these creative approaches, environmental deterioration remains a major concern. Air quality in many Indian cities remains frighteningly low. For example, in 2022, several Indian cities were among the most polluted in the world, with the capital, Delhi, routinely experiencing'severe' air quality. The informal sector, which contributes significantly to pollution, frequently operates outside of regulatory structures, creating enormous barriers to PPP enforcement. To address this challenge, informal industries must be integrated into formal regulatory structures and given incentives to adopt sustainable practices. International examples provide useful lessons. Sweden's carbon pricing approach and Germany's Energiewende both emphasise the need of revenue recycling, stakeholder participation, flexible rules, and monitoring and enforcement measures. India

⁴⁷⁰ Harshita Singhal & Sujith Koonan, *Polluter Pays Principle in India: Assessing Conceptual Boundaries and Implementation Issues*, 7(2), RGNUL Student Research Review, pp. 33-50 (2021)

⁴⁷¹ International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN), (2023)



VOLUME 5 AND ISSUE 1 OF 2025

APIS - 3920 - 0001 (and) ISSN - 2583-2344

Published by
Institute of Legal Education

https://iledu.in

can adapt these strategies by instituting a wellstructured carbon pricing system that reinvests in renewable energy projects, strengthening renewable energy policies with targeted incentives, and establishing comprehensive frameworks for environmental initiatives such as river cleanup projects. In conclusion, while India has made tremendous progress in implementing the Polluter Pays Principle, substantial obstacles remain. By learning from worldwide best practices and adapting them to the Indian context, the country may improve its environmental governance sustainable and promote development.

