INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW

VOLUME 3 AND ISSUE 2 OF 2023

INSTITUTE OF LEGAL EDUCATION

INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW

APIS – 3920 – 0001 | ISSN - 2583-2344

(Free and Open Access Journal)

Journal's Home Page – https://ijlr.iledu.in/

Journal's Editorial Page - https://ijlr.iledu.in/editorial-board/

Volume 3 and Issue 2 of 2022 (Access Full Issue on - https://ijlr.iledu.in/volume-3-and-issue-2-of-2023/)

Publisher

Prasanna S,

Chairman of Institute of Legal Education (Established by I.L.E. Educational Trust)

No. 08, Arul Nagar, Seera Thoppu,

Maudhanda Kurichi, Srirangam,

Tiruchirappalli – 620102

Phone : +91 94896 71437 - info@iledu.in / Chairman@iledu.in

INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW [IJLR]

VOLUME 3 AND ISSUE 2 OF 2023

APIS – 3920 - 0001 *(and)* ISSN - 2583-2344

Published by

Institute of Legal Education

https://iledu.in

CORPORATE RESTRUCTURING: MERGERS AND ACQUISITIONS

AUTHOR - MANTHANI MEDHA REDDY, STUDENT AT BENNETT UNIVERSITY

BEST CITATION - MANTHANI MEDHA REDDY, CORPORATE RESTRUCTURING: MERGERS AND ACQUISITIONS, *Indian Journal of Legal Review (IJLR)*, 3 (2) of 2023, Pg. 266-274, APIS – 3920 – 0001 & ISSN - 2583-2344.

Abstract

The corporate world is evolving quickly in terms of science and technology, rivalry, goods, people, places, marketplaces, and clients. Keeping up with such enhancements is not enough; instead, firms must be creative and outperform their rivals so they can consistently maximize the value they offer shareholders. Inorganic development methods like mergers, acquisitions, reorganizations, and spin-offs are viewed as crucial tools that help businesses go into emerging markets, increase their client base, reduce opponents, strengthen, and expand rapidly, and use emerging technologies pertaining to their products, employees, and procedures. Corporate restructuring's reach includes boosting economic growth (savings) and boosting effectiveness (profitability). A corporation must reorganize itself and put its focus on its edge in the market if it intends to expand or survive in a world of competition. The advantages that shareholders obtain from restructuring are less favorable than for corporations in their prior position.

Despite the abundance of studies on corporate restructuring, research will either concentrate on the opinions of shareholders of corporate restructuring methods at organizations or the actual application of the approaches at those firms. By creating predictions of what to anticipate and ensuring the organization can get the financing necessary to execute those adjustments, the conclusions will also assist a company during the restructuring technique. In mergers and acquisitions (M&A), businesses combine their activities through an acquisition or transfer of ownership. M&A is a prevalent type of corporate restructuring. This paper will cover the advantages, difficulties, and strategies of corporate restructuring in mergers and acquisitions.

Introduction

The developments, privatization, and unheard-of technical advancement have totally rebuilt the world's production process over the past few years. Companies are now competing with one another for superiority and a competitive nature, developing with diverse techniques and skills. This competitiveness has been created by the above factors. The way businesses are run is drastically changing due to the shifting domestic and global environments.

Because of how quickly things are changing, corporate restructuring is now of the greatest priority. Today's commercial companies operate in a difficult climate due to globalization. One of the main goals of companies in the current business world is economic expansion.

This growth can be achieved in two different ways-

- Internal- by developing new products and by expanding
- External- by mergers and acquisitions

The two of aforementioned techniques have advantages and disadvantages. In a highly competitive marketplace, the development of a business has to be rapid and long-lasting. Internal expansion typically includes more Uncertainty and a lengthier operational period. The acquisition company already had the resources and products that the marketplace would accept, thus external expansion accelerates the rate of growth. So, a rising company can constantly be looking for alternative companies that could merge. If a merger can increase the assets of shareholders, the company will choose to pursue it. It is now considered the best practice in the business world in safeguarding, maintaining, expanding, and globalizing businesses and attaining a wide range of goals. M and A have recently begun to occur in India.[574]

Meaning

Making changes to a company's control, administration, or business structure to strengthen its financial stability or competitive position is known as corporate restructuring. Mergers and acquisitions, privatizations, spin-offs, partnerships, and reorganizations are just a few examples of the different actions they could entail. Corporate restructuring's primary goal is to boost a company's output, revenue, or competition through cost-cutting, cost-optimization, business expansion, or the resolution of financial difficulties.

Various circumstances, such as alterations to the market environment, changes in customer behavior, technical advancements, or financial pressures, can lead to corporate restructuring. Corporate restructuring can be a complicated and complicated process since it calls for careful planning, implementation, and communication with all relevant parties, such as employees, shareholders, clients, and suppliers. The long-term objectives of the organization, the viability of the restructuring plan, the accessibility of assets, and the management team's capacity to drive and implement the changes are just a few of the variables that influence whether corporate restructuring is successful or not.

Need for Corporate Restructuring

Several factors, such as the following, may necessitate corporate restructuring:

- Increasing Profits: By streamlining activities and eliminating redundant positions, a corporation may decide to restructure to raise productivity, cut expenses, and boost revenue.
- Firms may reorganize to respond to adjustments in the marketplace, such as developments in customer behavior or technological advances. By doing this, they can maintain their competitiveness and satisfy clients' changing wants.
- Growing Company activities: A firm may restructure to increase its scope. This can be done by broadening its goods or services offerings or by entering new markets.
- Addressing Financial difficulty: Companies in financial difficulty may require restructuring to lower debt, enhance cash flow, or restructure their business to resume profitability.
- Restructuring in response to recent laws or regulations, such as adjustments to tax laws, environmental laws, or labor laws, may be necessary for companies.

In conclusion, there may be a need for corporate restructuring due to a variety of internal and external issues, such as the need to increase productivity, adjust to shifting market conditions, broaden commercial operations, deal with monetary crisis, or conform to new regulations or laws.

Benefits of Mergers and Acquisitions

Due to the numerous advantages they provide to businesses, mergers and acquisitions are a common method of corporate restructuring. The potential for improved effectiveness and economies of scale is one of the main advantages. By consolidating redundant tasks like administration, marketing, or operations,

[574] Hitt, Michael A. Ireland, Duane R. and Hoskisson, Robert E. (2001).

combining two or more businesses might result in cost reductions. In addition to increasing income, this can streamline operations.

The possibility for greater market share and power is another advantage of mergers and acquisitions. The new firm can increase its market strength and leverage over suppliers, consumers, and rivals by integrating two or more businesses. Profitability may rise and pricing power may be strengthened as a result. The combined company might also gain access to fresh markets or new goods, which would increase its market share and income potential.

Disadvantages of Mergers and Acquisitions

Mergers and acquisitions have several potential advantages, but they can also create difficulties.

The fusion of various corporate cultures, management philosophies, and organizational structures is one of the main difficulties. When two or more businesses merge, their operational styles could differ significantly, which could lead to friction and inefficiency. Conflicts over decision-making could arise, for instance, when one organization has a more decentralized management structure than the other.

The potential for overspending for the target company presents another difficulty for mergers and acquisitions. This may occur if the purchasing business performs insufficient due diligence or overestimates the merger's possible benefits. Overpaying for a target firm may result in lower shareholder value and profitability.

Tactics for Successful Mergers and Acquisitions:

Companies must use a variety of tactics to get beyond the obstacles that come with mergers and acquisitions. Prior to finalizing the acquisition, proper due diligence is one of the most important techniques. In order to assess the target company's value and potential hazards, due diligence includes examining its financial statements, management structure, operations, and other aspects. By doing so, the acquiring business can avoid paying too much for the target and spot any potential conflicts or inefficiencies that might need to be fixed after the merger.

Another crucial tactic is to create a thorough integration plan that considers the operational, management, and cultural differences among both companies. This strategy should specify how the two businesses will be combined and point out any overlaps or conflicts that should be resolved. This can lessen the possibility of conflicts and inefficiencies following the merger and ensure a seamless transition.

Mergers and Acquisitions in India

Many companies engaged in separate diversifications throughout the licensing period, based on Time Warner's accessibility to licenses. Acquisition offers, mergers, and regrouping were not uncommon. Because licensing restrictions limited the market's overall ability, firms prospered despite their shortcomings. Over the years, companies evolved into multinationals with an unfavorable mix of diverse activities.

Given the poor economic climate, attempts to acquire companies occasionally occur in the corporate world. Both amicable takeover proposals on a planned agreement and aggressive bids made by hastily purchasing the stock shares of companies outside the market have been documented often since 1986. There were several cases of corporate raiding by Indian commercial investors and non-resident Indians against local corporate operations. For instance, NRIs raided several Indian corporate entities in 1988:

Escorts Ltd. and DCM Ltd. were the targets of failed attack attempts by the Swaraj Paul and Sethia gangs. In addition to raiding and occupying Ashok Leyland and Ennore Foundries, the Hinduja family also acquired strategic stakes in IDL Chemicals and Astra IDL. Shaw

Wallace, Dunlop India, and Falcon Tyres all now belong to the Chhabria Group. Important industries in the nation have also participated in acquisition negotiations. For instance, the Goenka group from Kolkata was effective in taking control of Polychem, Herdilla Chemicals, and Ceat Tyres. The Rane Group's Pleasant Hotels have been acquired by the Oberoi Group.

Tata Tea did progress in September 1988 following the company placed an initial public offering for the purchase of Consolidated Coffee Ltd. In December 1989, the corporation bought 50% of the stock from local shareholders. HCL Ltd. was created by the merger of the following companies. "Hindustan Computers, Hindustan Reprographics, Hindustan Telecommunications, and Indian Computer Software Co." Additionally, there was a proactive plan for the Board of Directors for Industrial and Financial Reconstruction, also known as BIFR, to exercise control over failing businesses. Among the acquisitions orchestrated by BIFR are those of 'Miami Pharma Ltd. by Lakme,' 'Mahindra Nissan Allwyn Ltd. by Mahindra and Mahindra,' and 'Hyderabad Allwyn Ltd. by Voltas Ltd.'

Like in other regions of the world, the main goal of mergers and acquisitions in India is to increase a company's revenue and profit margins. Acquisitions promote a company's general growth and development by bringing in new clients and company operations, which generates more revenue for the company. Thus, to achieve rapid expansion, more companies are turning to acquisitions. To combat the impacts of rising company globalization, lowering tariff barriers, pricing decontrols, to request the ever demanding and sensitive clients, mergers have become an urgently necessary requirement. In addition, in the future, it is anticipated that these challenges will worsen and continue to pummel every industry.

To combat these risks, corporations are using M&A activity to restructure, obtain shares or get into marketplaces, rationalize costs, and purchase assets. A significant rise in the value of shares is evidence that many firms' shareholders are likewise in favor of these actions. To survive a wave of rivalry, scale and concentration are important variables, hence mergers and acquisitions have become one of the main development pillars of the Indian industry. In the past, tax advantages were the only factor used to support mergers, but for numerous Indian supporters, this is no longer a motivating factor. There are numerous reasons why Indian corporations have embraced M&A. According to experts, Indian companies consider M&As because of their size, their industry sector, or to broaden their customer base.

Additionally, they believe that acquisitions aid in the inorganic (and faster) expansion of a company's operations. In addition to these elements, pricing constraints and the merger of multinational corporations through the development of overseas skills have rendered M&A important for Indian businesses.[575]

To expand their workforce and enable overall growth by entering new markets, several Indian companies have additionally chosen the M&A approach. Gaining new clients has been a further consideration in M&A. As an IT (Information Technology) company, vMoksha, developed significantly in the US market and capitalized on its current customer base, it increased the variety of its clients because of acquisitions. Like Mphasis, upon acquiring Navion, new clients were gained in the Chinese and Japanese markets. Another crucial factor for mergers and acquisitions among companies appears to be the requirement for talent improvement. The Polaris-OrbiTech merger enabled the expertise of the two companies to be combined, which subsequently facilitated the development and spread of the combined company. In a similar vein, Wipro purchased GE Medical Systems Information Technology (India) to benefit from its experience in the field of health sciences.

[575] Srivastava, R.M. (1999).

INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW [IJLR]

VOLUME 3 AND ISSUE 2 OF 2023

APIS – 3920 - 0001 *(and)* ISSN - 2583-2344

Published by

Institute of Legal Education

https://iledu.in

Case Study on Nicholas Piramal India Ltd: Profiting from M and A

The most prominent ten companies in the local compositions industry are Nicholas Piramal India Ltd (NPIL), which is most recognized for its expansion through mergers and acquisitions and has a significant position in the anti-bacterial, CNS, and CVS-Diabetic markets. While the Nicholas group acquired Nicholas Laboratories in 1986, NPIL actively grew. Throughout the past ten years, revenue and net profits have increased at respectable cumulative annual growth rates (CAGR) of 33% and 45%, accordingly. Alongside over twelve partnership agreements with pharmaceutical firms in various healthcare fields, NPIL has perfected the art of creating JVs and effectively managing them.

Through DPCO, the government regulates rates for more than 60% of the medications and products sold in India's 130-billion-dollar pharmaceutical sector. Lower obstacles to entry have led to excess capacity and price conflicts in the local mass medicine industry.

Thus, significant local companies are concentrating on compositions, where entry hurdles such as reputation and a marketing system exist. To increase the volume of their exports (of generic medicines to industrialized countries and below-patent medicines to third-world nations), they are expanding their international production and distribution networks.

Multinational firms are expanding their activities in India in preparation for the WTO (World Trade Organization) framework by establishing 100% branches or by forming commercial alliances with significant local competitors. By partnering with multinational corporations on contract production, co-marketing, and branding acquisitions, the major local companies are also expanding their businesses.

In keeping with this pattern, NPIL is concentrating on expanding its R&D to get ready for future administration. One of the top R&D facilities in India belongs to the corporation, which has more than 100 researchers working there. Quest Science Institute was acquired from Hoechst Marion in 1999. To increase efficiency, NPIL split off its Falcon age (glass) and wholesale medicine divisions into separate companies.

Seven novel chemical entities (NCEs) are being worked on by it. The initial one, a medication to treat malaria, is currently on the market. Using active new product introductions, mergers, and acquisitions of companies and names in the medical segment of anti-bacterial, CVS-diabetes, nutritional and Gastrointestinal tract, and Central Nervous System (CNS), NPIL has established an expansion goal of over 30%.[576]

Restructuring is typically done

- to increase earnings and
- to better utilize core strengths.
- Selling off and networking
- to assure aims, plans, and organizational clarity
- independence of staff
- effective management, and
- redesigning Process

1) Induce Higher Wages: Higher profitability and the development of business value could be the two primary objectives of corporate restructuring. The company's capacity to earn enough funds is a key factor in the development of corporate value.

2) Use core competencies: Companies are placing increasing focus on taking advantage of the growth in the educational curve as the idea of corporate learning gains popularity. Only if firms concentrate on their main capabilities will this happen. The following is considered the most effective technique to increase shareholder earnings.

3) Networks and divestiture: Corporations should withdraw from ancillary businesses while maintaining focus on their core capabilities.

[576] Ramaswamy, V.S. and Namakumari, S. (1999).

INDIAN JOURNAL OF LEGAL REVIEW [IJLR]
VOLUME 3 AND ISSUE 2 OF 2023
APIS – 3920 - 0001 *(and)* ISSN - 2583-2344
Published by
Institute of Legal Education
https://iledu.in

Partnerships, connections, and agreements can be entered into to achieve this.

4) Making sure that the vision, strategy, and structure are clear is important for corporate restructuring. Organizations ought to be extremely explicit about their objectives and what heights they hope to reach. The importance of the timeline and the tools that determine achievement need to be emphasized.

5) Offer Aggressive Management: The process of restructuring is significantly influenced by management style. Every successful business has exhibited management techniques that emphasize close interpersonal relationships with workers.

6) Independence: A key element of every restructuring process is empowerment. Companies benefit from efficient management technology thanks to autonomy and organized making choices.

7) Re-engineering Operation: The company's assets must be aligned, and numerous procedures must be improved to be successful in a restructuring process. When a company is restructuring, changing the company's strategy should come first.

Evolution of Mergers and Acquisitions in India

Mergers and acquisitions (M&A) have gained popularity as an approach in India for firms aiming to develop and flourish. Amid the liberalization of the Indian economy in the 1990s, the M&A phenomenon in the country accelerated. Laws enforced by the government were loosened during this period, which gave corporations more options to explore mergers and acquisitions. India experienced major economic changes in the 1990s, such as privatization, globalization, and liberalization, which increased the amount of merger and acquisition (M&A) operations. Many local companies sought to grow through mergers and acquisitions (M&A) during this time, while foreign enterprises saw possibilities due to India's rising consumer sector and rising economy.

Following then, the Indian M&A industry has expanded dramatically, and several high-profile transactions including both domestic and foreign businesses have taken place. Since the 1990s, some significant M&A transactions have taken place in India.

- one of the biggest international investments in India at the time was Unilever's acquisition of Hindustan Lever Limited in 1996.
- One of the biggest M&A transactions in the Indian pharmaceutical sector was Daiichi Sankyo's acquisition of Ranbaxy Laboratories in 2008.
- the 2018 merger of Vodafone India and Idea Cellular, which led to the creation of one of India's biggest telecom companies.
- It became one of the biggest e-commerce mergers in history when Walmart acquired Flipkart in 2018.

These M&A transactions had a substantial effect on the Indian economy. M&A aided Indian businesses in growing, gaining access to emerging markets and technologies, and becoming more competitive. Additionally, it encouraged foreign investment and facilitated the transfer of technology, which boosted economic expansion and employment creation.

However, regulatory obstacles, cultural disparities, and a lack of transparency were some of the difficulties that M&A in India encountered in the 1990s. several M&A transactions also led to employment losses and sector consolidation, which had a detrimental effect on several facets of the Indian economy. In general, M&A activity in India all through the 1990s paved the way for continuous expansion in the following decades, with M&A emerging as a strategy of choice for businesses seeking to grow and acquire a competitive edge.

Indian companies used the acquisition strategies the most, making up 85% of all purchases, as reported by the Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI) research paper titled II "Impact of Acquisition Code Rules on the Company Sector in India - A Critical' Appraisal". 1,011 enterprises have been acquired since the SEBI (Substantial Acquisitions of Shares and Takeovers) Regulations of 1997 were established, including for reasons of merging, shifts in ownership or administration, and major acquisition. The modification of managerial power was the purchases' primary goal. In 2001–2002, there were 98 open offers, up from two in 1994. Most M&A transactions have been on cash terms.

The banking and information technology sectors outperformed other sectors where mergers became more frequent in terms of the total number of firms bought, while the sums associated with these sectors were modest. The technological and electrical industries came out on top in spending, later to metals, cement, and architecture. Entry requirements are minimal in the emerging economy, which increases the pace of new company formation and M&A opportunities. Because many Online entrepreneurs are smaller, single-location companies with fewer employees than brick-and-mortar behemoths, the real installation procedure is less strenuous and painful.

M&As made it possible to expand the range of goods and services offered, enhance regional reach, cut down on the cost of marketing, and shorten the period of development. M&A deals have frequently been discovered to be beneficial for strengthening market positions. For example, in the cement sector, Gujarat Ambuja bought DLF Cement and half of Tata's stake in ACC to gain most of the market share among the two parties. Lafarge, a French company, also purchased the cement group operated by Tisco. It is simpler to buy companies than to build out new capabilities. India's budding cellphone market was rocked by the auction battle for licenses to allow the fourth telecom carrier to carry out business in each of the nation's 21 telecom regions.

Several smaller companies were compelled to offer for sale as they lacked the financial resources to compete for more licenses or to invest money in their company's expansion. Through acquisitions, the larger companies increased their share of the market.

If large companies unite globally, their Indian operations automatically integrate. even though the merger was forced by a global approach instead of the local market's needs at the time, it still had an impact on the Indian market. Thus, it occurred when ANZ Grindlays Bank and Standard Chartered Bank merged (resulting in significant company and personnel restructuring in each Indian organization) or the HP-Compaq merger (which is anticipated to have a significant impact on the Indian technology market).

The benefits of M&A transactions at the business level, however, have not yet been widely acknowledged. Only 30% of M&A deals in India, according to recent research by KPMG (a renowned accounting firm), provided value for shareholders. In 31% of these transactions, the investor's value was diminished, compared to 39% in which there was hardly any difference. Even though the results are stunning to most people, they are the result of flaws that plague many nations, but particularly India's.

Having too many entities operating at a loss, the Indian banking industry would have profited from mergers, but M&As have not been successful. Substantial amounts of information must be readily accessible for the acquisition process to function effectively, that is not the situation in India.

Additionally, the high transaction expenses associated with acquisitions reduce the mechanism's effectiveness. In normally reasonable marketplaces, it may benefit smart executives to have a limited perspective if knowledge of how a firm operates is asymmetrical or believed to be such. As a result, rates of investment are likely to be less than they would normally be for short-term policies. In this case, the value of the stockholders is the first to suffer. The practical outcomes are in contrast to what was anticipated, primarily because M&As are occasionally motivated only by the desire to improve the value of shareholders. Mergers are frequently started when businesses are in danger of going out of business.

The danger may result from factors such as the scope or makeup of a certain market, competition from bigger economies of production, or when large multinationals having the ability to access comparatively less expensive sources for funding seek to expand their dominance by purchasing domestic companies. The strain on local companies to be acquired is quite considerable in fields where intangible property benefits like trademarks increase the price for financial benefit. As a result, the frequency of M&As has dramatically expanded in markets for lifestyle-related products including rapid consumption goods, white goods, and cars. Therefore, the shareholders' value will be preserved at an identical level when market imperatives and cost concerns drive M&A.

To maximize the benefits of M&A agreements, exceptional administrative skills are needed to integrate various functional cultures, realign the workforce towards shared objectives, and simplify operations to core capabilities. Greater market competition brought on by M&As does not necessarily translate into greater market dominance. Researchers of the corporate structure have endorsed a case-to-case analysis that assesses when M&As produce improved profitability or unwarranted exclusivity because they have not identified a direct association between the two variables. Most countries use the US approach, where antitrust laws are implied in broad terms, allowing it up to the judges to determine specific company procedures. Regardless of how the needs of consumers were affected, a monopoly in India is clearly defined by the Monopolies and Restrictive Trade Practices (MRTP) Act as being no more than 25%.

The MRTP Act, which was superseded by the Competition Act, 2002, describes a dominant situation as an advantage of power that allows a corporation to act independently of the current market conditions, impacting the objectives of rivals and customers,

In a situation like this, when competition regulations remain in flux, M&As take place on an equal working surface, and it will be a while before the needs of manufacturers as well as customers are fully protected. Large-scale improvements in efficiency or influxes of FDI through M&As are unlikely to occur before then. To establish market dominance, however, businesses engage in strategic partnerships, mergers, acquisitions, and even hostile acquisitions.

Conclusion

Instead of expanding their company's activities, mergers and acquisitions allowed firms to concentrate on their key strengths while assessing and analyzing their market position. Major companies started to restructure their operations so as to establish their brands in their key economic sectors. Among the most effective instruments for corporate restructuring are mergers and acquisitions, which have integrated themselves into company strategies.

Considering the benefits, it could fall through either prior to the agreement happens or after the merger since the companies could start to experience worse conditions than they had prior the merger or incur damages.

References

1. Ramachandran, Ramakrishnan, Corporate Restructuring Related to M & A, Amalgamations, Takeovers, etc. RESEARCH GATE (January 2006), https://www.researchgate.net/publication/228241349_Corporate_Restructuring_Related_to_M_A_Amalgamations_Takeovers_etc.

2. CLEAR TAX, https://cleartax.in/s/corporate-restructuring (last visited July 28, 2021).
3. FINANCE MANAGEMENT, https://efinancemanagement.com/corporate-restructuring (last visited April 2023)
4. CORPORATE FINANCE INSTITUTE, https://corporatefinanceinstitute.com/resources/valuation/types-of-mergers/ (last visited April 9, 2023)
5. Jonas Elmerraji, The Basics of Mergers and Acquisitions, INVESTOPEDIA (January 26, 2022), https://www.investopedia.com/articles/basics/06/themerger.asp
6. Hitt, Michael A. Ireland, Duane R. and Hoskisson, Robert E, Strategic management: competitiveness and globalization (4th ed., 2001)
7. Srivastava, R.M., Management Policy and Strategic management (concepts, skills and practice) (1st ed., 1999)
8. Ramaswamy, V.S. and Namakumari, S., Strategic Planning: Formulation of Corporate strategy (Texts and Cases)- The Indian context, (1st ed., 1999)